

Full Disclosure

(written 4/18/18)

My intent from the start was to be able to give some truthseekers “out there” — in cyberspace — the *right* side of a split-screen view, if you will. What I’m saying is that if you can picture yourself in front of a news report screen (TV or computer monitor), you often will be viewing not just one “talking head,” but two (or more), via a *split-screen*. Ostensibly, this video format provides the ideal opportunity to both *hear* all of the actual words spoken *and* take in all other *visual* cues of *two or more* “guests” *simultaneously*.

While I won’t argue against the sheer power of that method of presentation, I want to strongly suggest that even if the average American fixed himself/herself in front of a 24/7 news report feed emanating from their chosen channel, complete with maybe 8(?) talking-head screen segments available at once, the *real truth* — the truth that *matters*, and truth that you can actually *use* — would *still* not get through. So I wanted to make available a simple, bare-bones alternative via my website, Trutholio.com. My low-tech, unadvertised approach has been to put an old-school placard of sorts next to your news-viewing screen. On the *right* side of it, of course, because there’s just no getting to the *left* of 90% of the “mainstream” media. [ahem]

Put another way, if you *are* watching one of those split-screen news and commentary shows, you’re usually “treated” to two or more *Leftists* spewing essentially the same Marxist propaganda, with

only slight differences. They all might be vying for “lefter than thou” status, for example (and read that: “*superior* to thou, pretender to the throne!”). But there’s usually no honest debate being presented because on the other side of the screen sits a strawman.

And is Fox News the exemplary exception to the rule? Continuing in the spirit of full disclosure, I have to say that I don’t know. I don’t ever watch *any* of those shows unless I follow a newsletter link to YouTube to check it out. Don’t have cable, don’t have cable news, don’t want it, don’t need it. If you call that living in a cave, well, come on in and pull up a rock.

Back to the placard-flanking-the-monitor metaphor...

So I put my understated, non-flashy, non-jumpy, non-pushy, yet opinion-filled posterboard on an easel, say, in close proximity to the other more well-designed, flashier, pushier, *trackier* (reminder: *I* don’t track your moves, but *they* do, whoever that may be) *content* with which you’re more familiar. Artistically speaking, yeah, I’m gonna suffer by comparison; that’s a given. Since I purchased two new domains recently, hardly a day goes by in which I don’t receive web design offers that’ll catapult my sites into the Google rankings stratosphere. Oh, puh-*leez*. As if. As if I want to be a big shot *selling* the truth. I’ve never charged a penny for the truth that I’m dedicated to disseminating; by that I mean mostly the “religious/theological” material. The Truth that will set you free should be provided to all for free. That’s why I have John 8:32 as my motto verse in the Trutholio.com logo. Check it out!

Now when it comes to the political stuff, well, I do have some things to say, and I don't always say them politely. Make that, I *very seldom* use PC speech to make my politically *incorrect* points. Assuming that you expect me to justify that style of delivery, okay, I'll toss a few things out there:

1. I get things off my chest/vent/rant and rave/indulge in name-calling in order to help keep myself from doing any *real* harm to others OR myself; I don't want to either *explode* or *implode*. If you notice, the first letters in my seven Trutholio web pages spell out, "H-E-L-P-I-N-G." This is by design; this is my intent. I don't want to do harm to anyone, but I've found that sarcastic name-calling is not only *not* beneath me, it's *therapeutic*, I must say. So when I refer to Liarly/Hi-liar/Hellary or The Thing That Won't Leave or Shut Up or whatever, this is my stress-relieving *fun* way of referring to one of the most self-obsessed and sold-out-to-evil figures in recent history ("Hellary" is the only one I got from somebody else, but use the others with my blessing). I don't need to mention Osama Obama/King Barry or "Pelousy" or "Nosferatu" (Chuck *Schemer*) or Planned *Anti*-Parenthood or Planned *Murder*hood, do I? I mean, let's be real: Abortion is murder; PFFA makes appointments to commit murders; that's *planned* murder. There ya go. And if ever there was an organization that is ANTI-parenting and ANTI-family, ladies and gentlemen...I give you PFFA. If the name-calling in which I indulge is much more truth-based than the Left is comfortable with, so be it. *Tough!*

2. That will get us to what's been happening on the "free speech" front for the last several decades, and especially how it has affected me personally in recent months. I've dropped a few hints about this from time to time on the Home page of my Trutholio site, as a pattern of censorship/uninvited or unauthorized editing/selective content deletion/sabotage or curious recurring "technical glitches" has emerged and continued with only brief respites. Oh, don't worry, that's just a "bot" messing up, some people tried to tell me. But I know enough about "bots" to know that programming them requires HUMAN input; *artificial intelligence* (AI) is just that, so in order for a "robot" (it's actually *programming code* we're talking about here) to "think," it has to have *real, human* intelligence directing that mechanical process. In other words, if a "bot" deletes selected whole sections from a printed page, it's been "told" to do just that. A bot can search for certain "target" words and perform actions when it encounters them, but it doesn't compose the list of terms or prescribe the action. It can only do what it's been programmed to do. Period.

So, what's the point? Obviously, that human intervention is *necessarily* involved when such "outside editing" is done against one's will, and without one's knowledge. "Free speech" has been violated. Without the shadow of a doubt, there's at least one *leftist* behind this in my particular case, it *has* happened before, and people at both Lycos and Angelfire *know* that I know. All in all, it's a shame. I can't bring myself to resent them and harbor a grudge, but I

certainly don't understand their inability to track down the perp(s) in their midst and deal with this ongoing problem. Bottom line: I have a right to say what I want on the web space I've paid to use, and I wouldn't even *think* of messing up another person's site. That's what malicious brats do, and those are *not* the actions that *rational* people take. But then, leftists are *amoral* and *irrational*, so what else is new? There's no real mystery in any of this.

3. Quick recap: the worst things I've done are 1) give unflattering nicknames to some really nasty people and — here's the *real* thing, I suspect — 2) told people the truth that they're not going to hear if I don't gather it and put it together for them. *That's* something the Left hates with a red-hot passion. It doesn't matter if it's some well-known conservative or just little ol' me and his humble, off-the-beaten-path website: *what is true must be strangled in the cradle and buried*. **Now let's talk apples and oranges**. Here's what I *didn't* do, but the enemies of truth do constantly: 1) seek out those who disagree and try to silence them, or, failing that, make them look like paranoid losers; 2) use unrestrained profanity with impunity (oh, leftists — maybe you can have a literate person explain that to you); 3) pronounce a curse or wish harm upon someone (and I don't have to tell *you* how (D)evilish those curses can get!); 4) *avoid at all costs thinking for myself*; it's so much easier to be told what to protest and demand (and often, get *paid* for it); 5) sue anybody or threaten to do so if I didn't get everything going my way, and *NOW!* and,... 6) go on a revenge-driven "opposition research" binge to get "even."

4. When it became apparent that it was up to *me* to protect the content that I paid to be able to post, I began to devise ways of preserving it in other formats. At least that way, those few folks who cared a fig about what I might have to say or about my very person could compare what *I* posted with the altered version they might encounter on the web. This is why I started pointing people towards the Internet Archive Wayback Machine, and then, when I saw that that method had its own drawbacks (more dropped content), I began to provide up-to-date PDF versions of my Home page, just as *I* published them on those days. This was a safeguard against any tampering that would ensue after my site was last successfully published. All of this is to say that I did what I had to do to fight against sabotage, no matter the source, intent, extent, or frequency.

5. Which brings us now to **why I'm changing course. Three reasons, two negative, and one positive:**

- 1) **I'm sick to the gills of politics; there seems to be little room for the Truth anywhere in that slimy sphere, and even less, *respect* for it;**
- 2) **The daily routine of reading a half-dozen or so e-mail newsletters (hand-picked, more conservative ones at that), finding a select few articles to link to from my site, and the editing and near daily RESTORING of much content on some pages, really got old and took way too much of my time. And when I saw that the process often made me edgy, I decided that the process should end;**
- 3) **With the elimination of that stale routine comes the freedom to concentrate my efforts on retroplay.net.**

I hope I've satisfactorily explained what I tried to do, why I wanted to do it, and why I want to leave that all behind now to move in a less stressful, more positive direction. The shorter version is simply, "Politics bad, baseball good, truth better, the Truth, Way, and Life best of all." It's good riddance to politics and back to my baseball history project and theology that points to and embraces Jesus Christ in all His glory, and at every point.