

## 5 Partial Differentiation

### 5.1 The partial derivative [see Riley et al, Sec. 5.1]

So far we have considered functions of a single variable ie  $f = f(x)$  and the slope or gradient at  $x$  have been given by  $\frac{df(x)}{dx}$  where

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \delta x) - f(x)}{\delta x} .$$

We now consider a function of two (or more) variables  $f(x, y)$ , which for two variables represents a surface (see below), the  $z$  axis representing the value of the function  $f(x, y)$ .

#### Definition of the partial derivatives

It is clear that a function  $f(x, y)$  of two variables will have a gradient in all directions in the  $xy$  plane. These rates of change/slopes/gradients are defined as partial derivatives w.r.t the  $x$  and  $y$  axes. For the positive  $x$  direction, holding  $y$  constant

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)_y = \lim_{\delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \delta x, y) - f(x, y)}{\delta x} = f_x .$$

Similarly for the positive  $y$  direction, holding  $x$  constant

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)_x = \lim_{\delta y \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x, y + \delta y) - f(x, y)}{\delta y} = f_y .$$

We can also define second and higher partial derivatives ie

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = f_{xx} , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = f_{yy} , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = f_{xy} \text{ and } \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} .$$

Provided the second partial derivatives are continuous then  $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$  .

#### Examples

If  $s = t^u$  find  $\frac{\partial s}{\partial t}$  and  $\frac{\partial s}{\partial u}$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = ut^{u-1}$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial t^u}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}(e^{u \ln t}) = \ln t e^{u \ln t} = t^u \ln t .$$

### 5.2 The total differential and total derivative. [See Riley et al, Sec. 5.2]

For a function of one variable,  $f(x)$ ,

$$df = \frac{df}{dx} dx = f(x + \delta x) - f(x)$$

is the differential (change) in  $f$  when  $x$  is changed infinitesimally by  $dx$ .

Having defined the partial derivatives, we now ask what is the change  $df$  in  $f(x, y)$  if the coordinates  $(x, y)$  are changed to  $(x + dx, y + dy)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{We have } df &= f(x + \delta x, y + \delta y) - f(x, y) \\ &= f(x + \delta x, y + \delta y) - f(x, y + \delta y) + f(x, y + \delta y) - f(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } df &= \left( \frac{f(x + \delta x, y + \delta y) - f(x, y + \delta y)}{\delta x} \delta x \right) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{f(x, y + \delta y) - f(x, y)}{\delta y} \delta y \right) . \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } df = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)_y dx + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_x dy .$$

As an example find the total differential of the function

$$f(x, y) = ye^{x+y}$$

$$\text{We have } \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right)_y = ye^{x+y} \quad \text{and} \quad \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right)_x = ye^{x+y} + e^{x+y} .$$

$$\text{Thus } df = ye^{x+y} dx + (1 + y)e^{x+y} dy .$$

### Total derivative

When  $x = x(t)$  and  $y = y(t)$  then  $f(x, y)$  is essentially a function of one variable,  $t$ . to get the total derivative  $df/dt$ , instead of substituting  $x(t)$  and  $y(t)$  into  $f$ , we can proceed using

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy$$

so to obtain

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt}$$

Moreover, we note that if  $f$  has an explicit dependence on  $t$ , then we rewrite the above as:

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{dx}{dt} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{dy}{dt} .$$

### Example

If  $f(x, y, t) = \ln t + xe^{-y}$  and  $x = 1 + at$ ,  $y = bt^3$  ( $a, b$  constants), find  $df/dt$ .

We calculate the partial derivatives of  $f$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{t}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = e^{-y}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = -xe^{-y}$$

and the derivatives of  $x$  and  $y$  with respect to  $t$

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = a, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = 3bt^2$$

Thus, the total derivative is given by

$$\frac{df}{dt} = \frac{1}{t} + e^{-y}a - xe^{-y}3bt^2 = \frac{1}{t} + e^{-bt^3} [a - (1 + at)3bt^2]$$

### 5.3 Exact and inexact differentials [see Riley et al, Sec. 5.3]

In the last section we obtained the total differential  $df$  by determining the partial derivatives from  $f(x, y)$ . We now address the inverse problem.

Consider the differential:

$$df = A(x, y)dx + B(x, y)dy .$$

Can we go back to the function  $f(x, y)$ ? If we can, this is an exact differential, and if not it is an inexact differential.

From the above general expression, we can identify the partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = A(x, y), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = B(x, y)$$

then using the property

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$$

we can derive the condition for the differential to be exact:

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial B}{\partial x}$$

#### Example

Show that  $x^2dy - (y^2 + xy)dx$  is an inexact differential, but if you multiply by  $(xy^2)^{-1}$ , it is exact.

$$A = -(y^2 + xy), \quad B = x^2$$

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial y} = -2y - x, \quad \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = 2x$$

which shows that it is not an exact differential.

Now multiply by  $(xy^2)^{-1}$ :

$$\frac{x^2}{xy^2}dy - \frac{y^2 + xy}{xy^2}dx = \frac{x}{y^2}dy - \frac{x + y}{xy}dx$$

$$A = -\frac{x+y}{xy} = -\frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y}, \quad B = \frac{x}{y^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{y^2}, \quad \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{y^2}$$

which shows that it is an exact differential.

[NB: The function is  $f = -x/y - \ln x$ ]

## 5.4 Change of variables [See Riley, section 5.6]

We have a function  $f(x, y)$  and  $x = x(t, s)$  and  $y = y(t, s)$ . We want to change variable to determine  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$  and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial s}$ .

From previously

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy$$

And, since  $x, y$  are functions of  $t, s$ :

$$dx = \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} ds$$

$$dy = \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} ds$$

Thus,

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} ds \right) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} ds \right)$$

$$= \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \right) dt + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} \right) ds$$

But  $f$  is also a function of  $t$  and  $s$ , so

$$df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} ds$$

Comparing the last two equations:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial s}$$

### Example

If  $z(x, y) = xy$  and  $x(s, t) = s - t$  and  $y(s, t) = \sin(s + t)$ , find  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}$  and  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$ .

First use:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} &= \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \frac{\partial x}{\partial s} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial s} \\
&= y \cdot 1 + x \cdot \cos(s+t) = \\
&= \sin(s+t) + (s-t) \cos(s+t)
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for  $\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}$ .

Or we could have expressed  $z$  in terms of  $s$  and  $t$

$$z = xy = (s-t) \sin(s+t)$$

from which we can immediately derive the partial derivative

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial s} = \sin(s+t) + (s-t) \cos(s+t)$$

## 5.5 Stationary points of multivariate functions [see Riley, section 5.8]

In multivariate calculus, stationary points are determined by the condition

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\right)_y = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right)_x = 0.$$

To determine their nature, we consider the following conditions:

- A minimum if the following three conditions are satisfied

$$f_{xx} > 0, f_{yy} > 0 \text{ and } f_{xx}f_{yy} > f_{xy}^2.$$

- A maximum if

$$f_{xx} < 0, f_{yy} < 0 \text{ and } f_{xx}f_{yy} > f_{xy}^2.$$

This last part of this condition turns out the same as for a minimum.

- A saddle point if

$$f_{xy}^2 > f_{xx}f_{yy}.$$

If  $f_{xy}^2 = f_{xx}f_{yy}$ , further investigation is required by Taylor-expanding (see Chapter 6) the function to higher orders. This includes the case  $f_{xx} = f_{yy} = f_{xy} = 0$ .

### Example

Find the critical point of the function

$$f(x, y) = x^2 - 2xy + 2y^2 - 2y + 2$$

and show that this critical point is a local minimum.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} &= 2x - 2y \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} &= -2x + 4y - 2\end{aligned}$$

By setting the first partial derivatives to zero we find:

$$\begin{aligned}2x - 2y &= 0 \Rightarrow x = y \\ -2x + 4y - 2 &= 0 \Rightarrow (\text{replacing } x = y) 2x - 2 = 0\end{aligned}$$

which gives  $x = y = 1$ . Now, we calculate the higher order derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} &= 2 \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} &= 4 \\ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} &= -2\end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_{xx} > 0$ ,  $f_{yy} > 0$  and  $f_{xx}f_{yy} - f_{xy}^2 > 0$ ,  $f$  has a local minimum at  $(1, 1)$ .

## 5.6 Stationary points when there is a constraint [see Riley 5.9]

We may have a situation where not all variables are independent, as has been the case so far. So, we may have a constraint of the form  $\phi(x, y, z) = \text{constant}$ . Then one of the variables, say  $z$  is not independent, it depends on  $x$  and  $y$ . We could in fact use  $\phi(x, y, z) = c$  to eliminate  $z$  from  $f$ , but this can be difficult or even impossible. The method of the Lagrange multiplier is an elegant way of handling this problem.

So, for a function of three variables  $f(x, y, z)$  and the constraint  $\phi(x, y, z) = c$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}df = 0 &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} dz \\ \text{and } d\phi &= \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} dy + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} dz = 0\end{aligned}$$

multiplying  $d\phi$  by  $\lambda$  and adding to  $df$

$$df + \lambda d\phi = \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) dx + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} \right) dy + \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right) dz = 0 .$$

where  $\lambda$  is the Lagrange multiplier.

Then since  $dx, dy, dz$  are independent and  $df + \lambda d\phi = 0$ , we must choose  $\lambda$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Example

Find the rectangle of maximum area which can be placed with its sides parallel to the  $x$  and  $y$  axes inside the ellipse  $x^2 + 4y^2 = 1$ .

So we want to maximize the area

$$A = 2x \cdot 2y = 4xy$$

under the constraint

$$x^2 + 4y^2 = 1 .$$

We identify  $f$  and  $\phi$  as

$$f = 4xy$$

$$\phi = x^2 + 4y^2$$

and derive:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} &= 4y + 2\lambda x = 0 \Rightarrow 2y + \lambda x = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = -\frac{2y}{x} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \lambda \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} &= 4x + 8\lambda y = 0 \Rightarrow x + 2\lambda y = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow (\text{substituting for lambda})x + 2y(-)\frac{2y}{x} = 0 \Rightarrow x^2 - 4y^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x = \pm 2y\end{aligned}$$

but  $x > 0, y > 0$  so  $x = 2y$ . And replacing in the original equation for the ellipse:

$$x^2 + 4y^2 = 1 \Rightarrow 4y^2 + 4y^2 = 1 \Rightarrow y = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

and the maximum area is

$$A = 4xy = 4 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} = 1 .$$

Example

Find the values of  $x$  and  $y$  that maximise the function

$$f(x, y) = xy^{3/2}$$

subject to the constraint

$$x + 2y = 100 .$$

The first order conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} y^{3/2} + \lambda &= 0 \\ \frac{3}{2}xy^{1/2} + \lambda \cdot 2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\frac{3}{2}xy^{1/2} - 2y^{3/2} = 0 \Rightarrow y = \frac{3}{4}x$$

and replacing in the constraint:

$$x + 2y = 100 \Rightarrow x + 2\frac{3}{4}x = 100 \Rightarrow \frac{5}{2}x = 100 \Rightarrow x = 40$$

and

$$y = \frac{3}{4}x = \frac{3}{4} \cdot 40 = 30$$

## 5.7 Polar coordinates in two dimensions

Consider polar coordinates in two dimensions. The position vector is

$$\underline{r} = x\underline{i} + y\underline{j} ,$$

with

$$x = r \cos \theta \quad (1)$$

$$y = r \sin \theta . \quad (2)$$

The unit vectors are  $\hat{r}$  and  $\hat{\theta}$  and are not constant because their directions change. If a vector,  $\underline{r}$ , depends on a parameter  $u$ , then a vector that points in the direction determined by an infinitesimal increase in  $u$  is defined by

$$\underline{e}_u = \frac{\partial \underline{r}}{\partial u}$$

and the unit vector pointing in the same direction is

$$\hat{e}_u = \frac{\underline{e}_u}{|\underline{e}_u|}$$

In terms of  $\underline{i}$  and  $\underline{j}$

$$\underline{e}_r = \frac{\partial \underline{r}}{\partial r}, \quad \hat{e}_r \equiv \hat{r} = \cos \theta \underline{i} + \sin \theta \underline{j}$$

$$\underline{e}_\theta = \frac{\partial \underline{r}}{\partial \theta}, \quad \hat{e}_\theta \equiv \hat{\theta} = -\sin \theta \underline{i} + \cos \theta \underline{j}$$

from which we can derive the derivatives:

$$\frac{d\hat{r}}{d\theta} = -\sin\theta\hat{i} + \cos\theta\hat{j} = \hat{\theta}$$

$$\frac{d\hat{\theta}}{d\theta} = -\cos\theta\hat{i} - \sin\theta\hat{j} = -\hat{r}$$

The velocity  $\underline{v}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{v} &= \frac{d\underline{r}}{dt} = \frac{dr\hat{r}}{dt} = \frac{dr}{dt}\hat{r} + r\frac{d\hat{r}}{dt} \\ &= \frac{dr}{dt}\hat{r} + r\frac{d\hat{r}}{d\theta}\frac{d\theta}{dt} \\ &= \frac{dr}{dt}\hat{r} + r\frac{d\theta}{dt}\hat{\theta} \\ &= v_r\hat{r} + v_\theta\hat{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

and the acceleration is given by (show it as an exercise)

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{a} &= \frac{d\underline{v}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dr}{dt}\hat{r} + r\frac{d\theta}{dt}\hat{\theta}\right) = \\ &= \left(\frac{d^2r}{dt^2} - r\left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)^2\right)\hat{r} + \left(r\frac{d^2\theta}{dt^2} + 2\frac{dr}{dt}\frac{d\theta}{dt}\right)\hat{\theta} \end{aligned}$$

or in another notation

$$\underline{a} = (\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2)\hat{r} + (r\ddot{\theta} + 2\dot{r}\dot{\theta})\hat{\theta}$$

## 5.8 Cylindrical and spherical polar coordinates [see Riley, section 10.9]

### Cylindrical polar coordinates

The position of a point P in cylindrical polar coordinates is

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \rho \cos \phi \\ y &= \rho \sin \phi \\ z &= z \end{aligned}$$

and the position vector  $\underline{r}$  is

$$\underline{r} = \rho \cos \phi \hat{i} + \rho \sin \phi \hat{j} + z \hat{k} .$$

The unit vectors,  $\hat{\rho}$ ,  $\hat{\phi}$ ,  $\hat{k}$ , are in the directions of increasing  $\rho$ ,  $\phi$ ,  $z$ , i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{e}_\rho &= \frac{\partial \underline{r}}{\partial \rho} \\ \underline{e}_\phi &= \frac{\partial \underline{r}}{\partial \phi} \\ \underline{e}_z &= \frac{\partial \underline{r}}{\partial z} \end{aligned}$$

and after normalization we have for the unit vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\underline{\rho}} &= \underline{i} \cos \phi + \underline{j} \sin \phi \\ \hat{\underline{\phi}} &= -\underline{i} \sin \phi + \underline{j} \cos \phi \\ \hat{\underline{k}} &= \underline{k}\end{aligned}$$

### Spherical polar coordinates

The position of point P in spherical polar coordinates is

$$\begin{aligned}x &= r \cos \phi \sin \theta \\ y &= r \sin \phi \sin \theta \\ z &= r \cos \theta .\end{aligned}$$

The position vector is

$$\underline{r} = r \cos \phi \sin \theta \underline{i} + r \sin \phi \sin \theta \underline{j} + r \cos \theta \underline{k}$$

and the unit vectors  $\hat{\underline{r}}, \hat{\underline{\theta}}, \hat{\underline{\phi}}$  in directions of increasing  $r, \theta, \phi$  respectively are

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\underline{r}} &= \underline{i} \sin \theta \cos \phi + \underline{j} \sin \theta \sin \phi + \underline{k} \cos \theta \\ \hat{\underline{\theta}} &= \underline{i} \cos \theta \cos \phi + \underline{j} \cos \theta \sin \phi - \underline{k} \sin \theta \\ \hat{\underline{\phi}} &= -\underline{i} \sin \phi + \underline{j} \cos \phi\end{aligned}$$