# CHARACTERS OF FINITE GROUPS.

### ANDREI YAFAEV

As usual we consider a finite group G and the ground field  $F = \mathbb{C}$ . Let U be a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module and let  $g \in G$ . Then g is represented by a matrix [g] in a certain basis.

We define  $\chi_U \colon G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$\chi_U(g) = tr([g])$$

As 1 is represented by the identity matrix, we have

$$\chi(1) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(U)$$

The property tr(AB) = tr(BA) shows that  $tr(P^{-1}[g]P) = tr([g])$ and hence  $\chi_U$  is independent of the choice of the basis and that isomorphic representations have the same character.

Suppose that  $U = \mathbb{C}[G]$  with its basis given by the elements of G. This is the regular representation. The entries of the matrix [g] are zeroes or ones and we get one on the diagonal precisely for those  $h \in G$  such that gh = h. Therefore we have

$$\chi_U(g) = |\{h \in G : gh = h\}|$$

In particular we see that

$$\chi_U(1) = |G|$$
 and  $\chi_U(g) = 0$  if  $g \neq 1$ 

This character is called the **regular** character and it is denoted  $\chi_{reg}$ . Let

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_r^{n_r}$$

be the decomposition into simple modules. The characters  $\chi_i = \chi_{S_i}$  are called **irreducible characters**. By convention  $n_1 = 1$  and  $S_1$  is the trivial representation. The corresponding character  $\chi_1$  is called **principal character**. A character of a one dimensional representation is called a **linear character**. A character of an irreducible representation (equivalently simple module) is called an **irreducible character**. As one-dimensional modules are simple, linear characters are irreducible.

Let us look at linear character a bit closer : let  $\chi$  be a linear character arising from a one dimensional module U, we have for any  $u \in U$ :

$$\chi(gh)u = (gh)u = \chi(g)\chi(h)u$$

hence  $\chi$  is a homomorphism from G to  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

Conversely, given a homomorphism  $\phi: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , one constructs a one dimensional module  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module U by

$$gu = \phi(g)u$$

Linear characters are exactly the same as homomorphisms  $\phi: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ .

Here is a collection of facts about characters:

**Theorem 0.1.** Let U be a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module and let  $\rho: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{GL}(U)$  be a representation corresponding to U. Let g be an element of G of order n. Then

- (1)  $\rho(g)$  is diagonalisable.
- (2)  $\chi_U(g)$  is the sum of eigenvalues of [g].
- (3)  $\chi_U(g)$  is the sum of  $\chi_U(1)$  nth roots of unity.
- (4)  $\chi_U(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_U(g)}$
- (5)  $|\chi_U(g)| \le \chi_U(1)$
- (6)  $\{x \in G : \chi_U(x) = \chi_U(1)\}$  is a normal subgroup of G.
- *Proof.* (1)  $x^n 1$  is split hence the minimal polynomial splits.
  - (2) trivial
  - (3) The eigenvalues are roots of  $x^n 1$  hence are roots of unity. Then use that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(U) = \chi_U(1)$ .
  - (4) If v is an eigenvactor for [g], then  $gv = \lambda v$ . By applying  $g^{-1}$  we see that  $g^{-1}v = \lambda^{-1}v$ . As eigenvalues are roots of unity,  $\lambda^{-1} = \overline{\lambda}$ . The result follows.
  - (5)  $\chi_{(g)}$  is a sum of  $\chi_U(1)$  roots of unity. Apply triangle inequality.
  - (6) Suppose  $\chi_U(x) = \chi_U(1)$ , then in the sum all eigenvalues must be one (they are roots of 1 and lie on one line and sum is real). Hence [g] is the identity matrix. Coversely, if [g] is the identity, then of couse  $\chi_U(g) = \chi_U(1)$ . Hence ker $(\rho) = \{x \in G : \chi_U(x) = \chi_U(1)\}$  is a normal subgroup of G.

#### 1. INNER PRODUCT OF CHARACTERS.

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two class functions on G, their **inner product** is defined as the complex number :

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g) \overline{\beta(g)}$$

One easily checks that (, ) is indeed an inner product. Therefore :

(1)  $(\alpha, \alpha) \ge 0$  and  $(\alpha, \alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha = 0$ .

- (2)  $(\alpha, \beta) = \overline{(\beta, \alpha)}.$
- (3)  $(\lambda \alpha, \beta) = \lambda(\alpha, \beta)$  for all  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- (4)  $(\alpha_1 + \beta_2) = (\alpha_1, \beta) + (\alpha_2, \beta)$

We have the following:

**Proposition 1.1.** Let r be the number of conjugacy classes of G with representatives  $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ . Let  $\chi$  and  $\psi$  be two characters of G.

(1)

$$<\chi,\psi>=<\psi,\chi>=\frac{1}{|G|}\sum_{g\in G}\chi(g)\psi(g^{-1})$$

and this is a real number.

(2)

$$\langle \chi, \psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\chi_i(g_i)\overline{\psi(g_i)}}{|C_G(g_i)|}$$

*Proof.* We have  $\overline{\psi(g)} = \psi(g^{-1})$ , hence

$$\langle \chi, \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_i(g_i) \overline{\psi(g_i^{-1})}$$

As  $G = \{g^{-1} : g \in G\}$ , we get the first formula. And the inner products of characters are real because  $\langle \chi, \psi \rangle = \overline{\langle \psi, \chi \rangle}$ .

The second formula is easy using the fact that characters are constant on conjugacy classes.  $\hfill \Box$ 

We have seen already that irreducible characters form a basis of the space of class functions. We are now going to prove that it is in fact an **orthonormal** basis.

Let us write

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = W_1 \oplus W_2$$

where  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  have no simple submodule in common (we will say they do not have a common composition factor). Write  $1 = e_1 + e_2$ with  $e_1 \in W_1$  and  $e_2 \in W_2$ , uniquely determined.

**Proposition 1.2.** For all  $w_1 \in W_1$  and  $w_2 \in W_2$  we have

$$e_1w_1 = w_1, \ e_2w_2 = 0$$

 $e_2w_1 = 0, \ e_2w_2 = w_2$ 

In particular  $e_1^2 = e_1$  and  $e_2^2 = e_2$  and  $e_1e_2 = e_2e_1 = 0$ . These elements are called idempotent.

*Proof.* Let  $x \in W_1$ . The function  $w \mapsto wx$  is a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -homomorphism from  $W_2$  to  $W_1$ . But, as  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  do not have any common composition factor, by Shur's lemma, this morphism is zero.

Therefore, for **any**  $w \in W_2$  and  $x \in W_1$ ,

$$wx = 0$$

and simiplarly xw = 0.

It follows that

$$w_1 = 1w_1 = (e_1 + e_2)w_1 = e_1w_1$$

and

$$w_2 = 1w_2 = (e_1 + e_2)w_2 = e_2w_2$$

We can calculate  $e_1$ :

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $\chi$  be the character of  $W_1$ , then

$$e_1 = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1})g$$

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in G$ . The function

$$\phi \colon w \mapsto x^{-1}e_1w$$

is an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  (endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces).

We have  $\phi(w_1) = x^{-1}w_1$  and  $\phi(w_2) = 0$ . In other words,  $\phi$  is the multiplication by  $x^{-1}$  on  $W_1$  and zero on  $W_2$ . It follows that

$$tr(\phi) = \chi(x^{-1})$$

Now write

$$e_1 = \sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g$$

For  $g \neq x$ , the trace of  $w \mapsto x^{-1}gw$  is zero and for g = x, this trace is |G|.

Now,  $\phi(w) = \sum x^{-1} \lambda g w$  hence  $tr(\phi) = \lambda_x |G|$ , hence

$$\lambda_x = \frac{\chi(x^{-1})}{|G|}$$

**Corollary 1.4.** Let  $\chi$  be the character of  $W_1$ , then

$$\langle \chi, \chi \rangle = \chi(1) = \dim W_1$$

*Proof.* We have  $e_1^2 = e_1$  hence the coefficients of 1 in  $e_1$  and  $e_1^2$  are equal. In  $e_1$ , its  $\frac{\chi(1)}{|G|}$  and in  $e_1^2$  it's

$$\frac{1}{|G|^2} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1}) \chi(g) = \frac{1}{|G|} < \chi, \chi >$$

We now prove the following:

**Theorem 1.5.** Let U and V be two non-isomorphic simple  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules with characters  $\chi$  and  $\psi$ . Then

$$\langle \chi, \chi \rangle = 1$$
 and  $\langle \chi, \psi \rangle = 0$ 

Proof. Write

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = W \oplus X$$

where W is the sum of all simple  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -submodules isomorphic to U (there are  $m = \dim(U)$  of them) and X is the complement. In particular W and X have no common composition factor The character of W is  $m\chi$ . We have

$$\langle m\chi, m\chi \rangle = m\chi(1) = m^2$$
 because  $\chi(1) = m$ 

It follows that

$$\langle \chi, \chi \rangle = 1$$

Let Y be the sum of all simple submodules isomorphic either to U or V and Z the complement of Y. Let  $n = \dim(V)$ . We have

$$\chi_Y = m\chi + n\psi$$

and we have

$$\begin{split} m\chi(1) + n\psi(1) = &< m\chi + n\psi, m\chi + n\psi > = m^2 < \chi, \chi > + n^2 < \psi, \psi > + mn(<\chi,\psi> + <\psi, \psi) \\ \text{We have} < \chi, \chi > = &< \psi, \psi > = 1 \text{ and } \chi(1) = m, \psi(1) = n, \text{ hence} \\ < \chi, \psi > + < \psi, \chi > = 2 < \chi, \psi > = 0 \\ \Box \end{split}$$

Let now  $S_1, \ldots, S_r$  be the complete list of non-isomorphic simple  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules. If  $\chi_i$  is a character of  $S_1$ , then

$$<\chi_i,\chi_j>=\delta_{ij}$$

(notice in particular that this imples that irreducible characters are distinct).

Let V be a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module, write

$$V = S_1^{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_r^{k_r}$$

We have

$$\chi_V = k_1 \chi_1 + \dots + k_r \chi_r$$

We have

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_i \rangle = \langle \chi_i, \chi_V \rangle = k_i$$

and

$$<\chi_V,\chi_V>=k_1^2+\cdots+k_r^2$$

This gives a **criterion** to determine whether a given  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module is simple.

**Theorem 1.6.** Let V be a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module. Then V is simple if and only if

$$\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle = 1$$

*Proof.* The if part is already dealt with.

Suppose  $\langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle = 1$ . We have

$$1 = \langle \chi_V, \chi_V \rangle = k_1^2 + \dots + k_r^2$$

It follows that all  $k_i$ s but one are zero.

We also recover

**Theorem 1.7.** Let V and W be two  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules. Then  $V \cong W$  if and only if  $\chi_V = \chi_W$ .

*Proof.* Write  $V = S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_r^{n_r}$  and  $W = S_1^{k_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_r^{k_r}$  and let, as usual  $\chi_i$ s be the characters of  $S_i$ . Then we have  $n_i = \langle \chi_V, \chi_i \rangle$  and  $k_i = \langle \chi_W, \chi_i \rangle = \langle \chi_V, \chi_i \rangle = n_i$ .

We see that characters form an **orthonormal** basis of the space of class functions.

We also obtain a way of decomposing the  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module V into simple submodules.

**Proposition 1.8.** Let V be a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module and  $\chi$  an irreducible character of G. Then

$$(\sum_{g\in G}\chi(g^{-1}g)V$$

is equal to the sum of those  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -submodules of V with character  $\chi$ .

Proof. Write

$$\mathbb{C}[G] = S_1^{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_r^{n_r}$$

and write  $W_1$  be the sum of those submodules  $S_i$  having character  $\chi$  (recall that  $\chi$  is an irreducible character). Notice that  $W_1$  is some  $S_i^{n_i}$ . Note that  $n_i = \chi(1)$ . The character of  $W_1$  is  $n_i\chi$ . Let  $W_2$  be the

complement of  $W_1$ . Let  $e_1$  be as previously (idempotent corresponding to  $W_1$ ). Then

$$e_1 = \frac{n_i}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1})g$$

Let  $V_1$  be the sum of submodules of V having the character  $\chi$ . Then  $e_1V = V$  (recall  $e_1v_1 = v_1$  for  $v_1 \in V_1$ ), hence

$$V_1 = (\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1})g)V$$

This gives a procedure for decomposing a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -module V into simple submodules (for example  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  itself).

- (1) Choose a basis  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  of V.
- (2) For each irreducible character  $\chi$  of G calculate  $(\sum_{g \in G} \chi(g^{-1}g)v_i)$ and let  $V_{\chi}$  be the subspace generated by these vectors.
- (3) V is now the direct sum of the  $V_{\chi}$  where  $\chi$  runs over irreducible characters. The character of  $V_{\chi}$  is a multiple of  $\chi$ .

Let's take an example. Let G be  $S_n$  and  $\chi$  the trivial character. Let V be the permutation module and  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  its basis. Then

$$\left(\sum_{g\in G}\chi(g^{-1})g\right)V = Span(v_1 + \dots + v_n)$$

Hence V has a unique trivial  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  submodule.

## Character tables.

We now turn to character tables. Let G be a finite group, r the number of conjugacy classes and  $g_1, \ldots, g_r$  its representatives. There are exactly r irreducible characters, they are  $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r$ . The character table is the  $r \times r$  matrix with entries  $\chi_i(g_j)$ . There is always a row consisting of 1s corresponding to the trivial one dimensional representation.

# **Proposition 1.9.** The character table is invertible.

*Proof.* This is because the irreducible characters form a basis of class fuctions.  $\Box$ 

Recall the orthogonality relations.

$$<\chi_r,\chi_s>=\delta_{rs}$$

Rewrite this as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\chi_r(g_i)\overline{\chi_s(g_i)}}{|C_G(g_i)|} = \delta_{rs}$$

This gives the **row orthogonality** conditions. Now,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi_i(g_r) \overline{\chi_i(g_s)} = \delta_{rs} |C_G(g_r)| = \delta_{rs} |C_G(g_r)|$$

is the column orthogonality.

This needs proving.

Define class functions  $\psi_s$  for  $1 \leq s \leq k$  by

$$\psi_s(g_r) = \delta_{rs}$$

As characters form a basis of the space of class functions,  $\psi_i$ s are linear combinations of  $\chi_i$ . We have

$$\psi_s = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \chi_i$$

As we know that  $\langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ , we have

$$\lambda_i = \langle \psi_s, \chi_i \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \psi_s(g) \overline{\chi_i(g)}$$

By definition of  $\psi_s$ , we know that  $\psi_s(g) = 1$  if g is conjugate to  $g_s$  and  $\psi_s(g) = 0$  otherwise. The number of elements of G conjugate to  $g_s$  is

$$|g_s^G| = \frac{|G|}{|C_G(g_s)|}$$

It follows that

$$\lambda_i = \frac{\chi_i(g_s)}{|C_G(g_s)|}$$

Now, using that  $\delta_{rs} = \psi_s(g_r)$ , we get the column orthogonality.

These relations are useful because sometimes they help to complete character tables.

Let  $S_3$  be the symmetric group, it is isomorphic to  $D_6$  by sending (1,2) to b and (1,2,3) to a. There are three conjugacy classes, they are  $\{1\}, \{a, a^2\}, \{b, ab, a^2b\}$  of sizes 1, 2 and 3 repsectively. We have two linear characters  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  corresponding to the trivial representation and the nontrivial of degree one (the sign of a permutation or  $a \mapsto 1$  and  $b \mapsto -1$ ). Let  $\chi_3$  be the character of the non-trivial two dimensional.

$$egin{array}{ccccc} g_i & 1 & a & b \ |C_G(g_i)| & 6 & 3 & 2 \ \chi_1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \ \chi_2 & 1 & 1 & -1 \ \chi_3 & ? & ? & ? \end{array}$$

We want to find the values of  $\chi_3$ .

First of all, we already know that

$$6 = |G| = \chi_1(1)^2 + \chi_2(1)^2 + \chi_3(1)^2$$

which gives  $\chi_3(1)^2 = 1$ , it follows that  $\chi_3(1) = 2$  (this is the degree of the representation).

Let us write column orthogonality

 $\chi_1(g_r)\chi_1(g_s) + \chi_2(g_r)\chi_2(g_s) + \chi_3(g_r)\chi_3(g_s) = \delta_{rs}|C_G(g_r)|$ Take  $r = 2, g_2 = a$  and  $s = 1, g_s = 1$  then

$$\chi_1(a)\chi_1(1) + \chi_2(a)\chi_2(1) + \chi_3(a)\chi_3(1) = 0$$

Then

$$1 + 1 + 2\chi_3(a) = 0$$

hence  $\chi_3(a) = -1$ .

Now take r = 3 and s = 1, we get

$$\chi_1(b)\chi_1(1) + \chi_2(b)\chi_2(1) + \chi_3(b)\chi_3(1) = 0$$

Hence  $1 - 1 + 2\chi_3(b) = 0$ .

We completely determined  $\chi_3$  and did not even need to use the sizes of conjugacy classes.

Another example which demonstrates the use of orthogonality.

Let G be a group of order 12 which has exactly four conjugacy classes. Suppose we are given the following characters  $\chi_1$ ,  $\chi_2$  and  $\chi_3$ . Of course there is a fourth irreducible character  $\chi_4$ . The question is to determine  $\chi_4$ .

$g_i$	$g_1$	$g_2$	$g_3$	$g_4$
$ C_G(g_i) $	12	4	3	3
$\chi_1$	1	1	1	1
$\chi_2$	1	1	ω	$\omega^2$
$\chi_3$	1	1	$\omega^2$	ω
_				(

Of course we always have :  $1 + 1 + 1 + \chi_4(1)^2 = 12$ , hence

$$\chi_4(1)^2 = 9$$

hence  $\chi_4(1) = 3$  and the representation is 3-dimensional.

Now, we apply column orthogonality to the first and second column:

$$1 + 1 + 1 + 3\overline{\chi_4(g_2)} = 0$$

which gives  $\chi_4(g_2) = -1$ .

The orthogonality between columns one and 3 and 4 gives

$$\chi_3(g_3) = \chi_4(g_4) = 0$$

### ANDREI YAFAEV

In what follows we will prove that the integers  $k_i$  that occur in the decomposition of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$  actually divide G.

Recall that a complex number  $\alpha$  is called **algebraic integer** if it is a root of a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. The set of algebraic integers is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ , in particular the sum an product of two of them is an algebraic integer.

The property we are groing to use is the following:

**Lemma 1.10.** Let  $a = \frac{p}{q}$  be a rational number, we suppose that p and q are coprime. Suppose that a is an algebraic integer, then a is an integer.

*Proof.* By assumption a satisfies

$$x^{n} + a_{1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n} = 0$$

where  $a_i$ s are integers.

This gives  $p^n = q \times (*)$  where (\*) is some integer. It follows that q = 1 because p and q are coprime.

**Proposition 1.11.** Let  $g_i$  be in G and let  $c_i := [G : C_G(g_i)]$  be the index of the centraliser of  $g_i$  in G. Then for any character  $\chi_j$  of G, the value

$$\frac{c_i \chi_j(g_i)}{\chi_j(1)}$$

is an algebraic integer.

Proof. Let  $\chi_j$  be a character corresponding to  $S_j$ . Let  $K_i$  be the conjugacy class of  $g_i$  and a be the sum (in  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ) of all elements in  $K_i$ . Of course a is in the centre of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ , therefore left multiplication by a is an endomorphism of  $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(S_j)$ . But, by a version of Shur's lemma, we know that

$$as = cs$$

for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and all  $s \in S_j$ . It follows that the trace of a is  $c\chi_j(1)$ (recall that  $\chi_j(1) = \dim(S_j)$ ). On the other hand, the trace of the matrix defined by multiplication by a is  $c_j\chi_j(g_i)$ . We therefore have

$$c = \frac{c_i \chi_j(g_i)}{\chi_j(1)}$$

As a is central, left multiplication by a also defines a  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ . Let  $M_a$  be the corresponding matrix. Each entry of  $M_a$  is an integer, as a is a sum of group elements, therefore  $\det(xI - M_a)$  is a polynomal with integer coefficients. But c is an eigenvalue of a (the eigenspace is precisely  $S_j$ ), hence c is a root of  $\det(xI - M_a)$  and hence it's an algebraic integer.  $\Box$ 

We can now prove:

**Theorem 1.12.** For any irreducible character  $\chi_i$ ,  $\chi_i(1)$  divides |G|.

*Proof.* Let  $g_1, \ldots, g_r$  be the set of representatives of conjugacy classes. of G and let  $c_i = [G : C_G(g_i)]$  be the size of the conjugacy class. As we have  $\langle \chi_i, \chi_i \rangle = 1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_j(g) \overline{\chi_j(g)} = 1$$

It follows that

$$\frac{|G|}{\chi_j(1)} = \frac{1}{\chi_j(1)} \sum_{i=1}^r c_j \chi_j(g_i) \overline{\chi_j(g_i)}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{c_i \chi_j(g_i)}{\chi_j(1)} \overline{\chi_j(g_i)}$$

and therefore  $\frac{|G|}{\chi_j(1)}$  is an algebraic integer. But it is also a rational number, hence an integer.

As application, recall that  $A_4$  has order 12 and 4 conjugacy classes. We have

$$1 + k_2^2 + k_3^2 + k_4^2 = 12$$

Divisors of 12 are 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12 but only 1, 2, 3 can occur as others squared are bigger than 12. Therefore the only possibility is 1, 1, 1, 3.

Look at  $S_4$ . The order is 24, there are 5 conjugacy classes :

$$(1), (1, 2), (1, 2, 3), (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 2, 3, 4)$$

and we have two irreducible representations of degree one : the trivial one and the sign.

We have therefore :

$$1 + 1 + k_3^2 + k_4^2 + k_5^2 = 24$$

and therefore  $k_3^2 + k_4^2 + k_5^2 = 22$  and the possible divisors of 24 ate 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24. Only 1, 2, 3, 4 can occur, others squared are too large.

The only possibility is 3, 3, 2. The irreducible representations of  $S_4$  are 1, 1, 2, 3, 3.

Our aim now is to prove the following theorem of Burnside:

**Theorem 1.13** (Burnside). Let G be a finite group with  $|G| = p^a q^b$  with p and q prime numbers. Then G is solvable.

**Lemma 1.14.** Let  $\chi_i$  be an irreducible character of G corresponding to a representation  $\rho_i$ . If G has a conjugacy class  $K_j$  such that  $|K_j|$ and  $\chi_i(1)$  are relatively prime, then for any  $g \in K_j$ , either  $\chi_i(g) = 0$ or  $|\chi_i(g)| = \chi_i(1)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose we are in the situation of the lemma. There exists integers m, n such that

$$m|K_j| + n\chi_i(1) = 1$$

Multiplying by  $\frac{\chi_i(g)}{\chi_i(1)}$ , we obtain

$$m|K_j|\frac{\chi_i(g)}{\chi_i(1)} + n\chi_i(g) = \frac{\chi_i(g)}{\chi_i(1)}$$

Therefore,  $a = \frac{\chi_i(g)}{\chi_i(1)}$  is an algebraic integer. On the other hand,  $\chi_i(g)$  is a sum of  $\chi_i(1)$  roots of unity. Therefore *a* is an average of  $\chi_i(1)$  roots of unity.

We apply the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.15.** Let c be a complex number that is an average of mth roots of unity. If c is an algebraic integer, then c = 0 or |c| = 1.

Proof. Write

$$c = \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_d}{d}$$

where  $a_i$ s are roots of  $x^m - 1$ . Since  $|a_i| = 1$  for  $1 \le i \le d$ , the triangle inequality shows that

 $|c| \leq 1$ 

Now, we assumed that c is an algebraic integer.

Let G be the Galois group of  $\mathbb{Q}(a_1, \ldots, a_d)/\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\sigma \in G$ , all  $\sigma(a_i)$  are *m*th roots of unity. It follows that

$$|\sigma(c)| \le 1$$

Let

$$b = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(c)$$

Of course all  $\sigma(c)$  are algebraic integers and b is an algebraic integer. Of course  $\sigma(b) = b$  hence  $b \in \mathbb{Q}$  and algebraic integer hence  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . But  $|c| \neq 1$  implies |b| < 1, therefore b = 0, this forces c = 0.

The lemma shows that either |a| = 1 or a = 0, therefore either  $\chi_i(g) = 0$  or  $|\chi_i(g)| = \chi_i(1)$ .

We derive the following:

**Theorem 1.16.** Let G be a non-abelian simple group. Then  $\{1\}$  is the only conjugacy class whose cardinality is a prime power.

**Remark 1.17.** If the conjugacy class has just one element (1 for example), then its cardinality is a prime power :  $p^0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq 1$  such that  $g^G$  has order  $p^n$  with n > 0.

(if n is zero, then g is in the centre of G hence G is either not simple or abelian...)

By column orthogonality, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \chi_i(g)\chi_i(1) = 0$$

where  $\chi_i$ s are distinct irreducible characters of G with  $\chi_1$  being the character of the trivial representation.

We have

$$1 + \sum_{i=2}^{r} \chi_i(g)\chi_i(1) = 0$$

This gives

$$1/p = -\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\chi_i(g)\chi_i(1)}{p}$$

Suppose p is a factor of  $\chi_i(1)$  for all i > 1 such that  $\chi_i(1) \neq 0$ , then the relation above shows that 1/p is an alegebraic integer and this is not the case. Hence  $\chi_i(g) \neq 0$  and p does not divide  $\chi_i(1)$  for some i. Because  $\chi_i(g) \neq 0$ , and  $|g^G| = p^m$  and  $\chi_i(1)$  are coprime by what we have just seen above, the lemma above shows that  $|\chi_i(g)| = \chi_i(1)$ . But  $\{g \in G : |\chi_i(g)| = \chi_i(1)\}$  is a normal subgroup of G (it is the kernel of the corresponding representation). As G is simple, g = 1. This finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

This theorem can be reformulated as follows: if the finite group G has a conjugacy class of order  $p^k$ , then G is not simple.

Before proving Burnside's theorem, let us recall some notions from group theory.

Let G be a finite group and p a prime number. A subgroup P is called a **Sylow** p-subgroup of G if  $|P| = p^n$  for some integer  $n \ge 1$ such that  $p^n$  is a divisor of |G| but  $p^{n+1}$  is not a divisor of |G|.

If p||G|, then Sylow's first theorem guarantees that G contains a Sylow *p*-subgroup.

A chain of subgroups  $G = N_0 \supset N_1 \supset \cdots \supset N_n$  such that

(1)  $N_i$  is a normal subgroup in  $N_{i-1}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

(2)  $N_{i-1}/N_i$  is simple for i = 1, 2, ..., n.

#### ANDREI YAFAEV

(3)  $N_n = \{1\}.$ 

is called a **composition series**. The factors  $N_{i-1}/N_i$  are called **composition factors**. A group is called **solvable** if there exists a composition series with  $N_{i-1}/N_i$  **abelian**.

In Galois theory it is proved that a polynomial f(x) is solvable by radicals if and only if it's Galois group is solvable.

**Theorem 1.18** (Burnside). If G is a finite group of order  $p^aq^b$  where p, q are prime, then G is solvable.

*Proof.* Let  $G_i$  be a composition factor. We need to show that  $G_i$  is abelian. By assumption  $G_i$  is simple and  $|G_i|$  divides |G| therefore  $|G_i| = p^{a'}q^{b'}$  for some  $a' \leq a, b' \leq b$ .

Let P be a p-Sylow of  $G_i$ . Any p-group has a non-trivial centre (\*) and let g be a non-trivial element of the centre. Then  $P \subset C_G(g)$ and  $|P| = p^a$ . It follows that  $[G : C_G(g)]$  is not divisible by p and is therefore a power of q. But  $[G : C_G(g)] = |g^G|$ , this contradicts the theorem above unless G is abelian.  $\Box$ 

(\*) Any *p*-group has a non-trivial centre.

Indeed, let G be a group of order  $p^n$ . Each conjugacy class has order  $p^{k_i}$  dividing  $p^n$ , hence we get

$$p^n = |Z(G)| + \sum_i p^{k_i}$$

It follows that  $|Z(G)| \equiv 0 \mod p$  hence is not trivial.