## CHARACTERS OF FINITE GROUPS.

ANDREI YAFAEV

As usual we consider a finite group $G$ and the ground field $F=\mathbb{C}$.
Let $U$ be a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module and let $g \in G$. Then $g$ is represented by a matrix $[g]$ in a certain basis.

We define $\chi_{U}: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\chi_{U}(g)=\operatorname{tr}([g])
$$

As 1 is represented by the identity matrix, we have

$$
\chi(1)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(U)
$$

The property $\operatorname{tr}(A B)=\operatorname{tr}(B A)$ shows that $\operatorname{tr}\left(P^{-1}[g] P\right)=\operatorname{tr}([g])$ and hence $\chi_{U}$ is independent of the choice of the basis and that isomorphic representations have the same character.

Suppose that $U=\mathbb{C}[G]$ with its basis given by the elements of $G$. This is the regular representation. The entries of the matrix $[g]$ are zeroes or ones and we get one on the diagonal precisely for those $h \in G$ such that $g h=h$. Therefore we have

$$
\chi_{U}(g)=|\{h \in G: g h=h\}|
$$

In particular we see that

$$
\chi_{U}(1)=|G| \text { and } \chi_{U}(g)=0 \text { if } g \neq 1
$$

This character is called the regular character and it is denoted $\chi_{\text {reg }}$.
Let

$$
\mathbb{C}[G]=S_{1}^{n_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{r}^{n_{r}}
$$

be the decomposition into simple modules. The characters $\chi_{i}=\chi_{S_{i}}$ are called irreducible characters. By convention $n_{1}=1$ and $S_{1}$ is the trivial representation. The corresponding character $\chi_{1}$ is called principal character. A character of a one dimensional representation is called a linear character. A character of an irreducible representation (equivalently simple module) is called an irreducible character. As one-dimensional modules are simple, linear characters are irreducible.

Let us look at linear character a bit closer : let $\chi$ be a linear character arising from a one dimensional module $U$, we have for any $u \in U$ :

$$
\chi(g h) u=(g h) u=\chi(g) \chi(h) u
$$

hence $\chi$ is a homomorphism from $G$ to $\mathbb{C}^{*}$.

Conversely, given a homomorphism $\phi: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$, one constructs a one dimensional module $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module $U$ by

$$
g u=\phi(g) u
$$

## Linear characters are exactly the same as homomorphisms

 $\phi: G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*}$.Here is a collection of facts about characters:
Theorem 0.1. Let $U$ be a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module and let $\rho: G \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(U)$ be a representation corresponding to $U$. Let $g$ be an element of $G$ of order $n$. Then
(1) $\rho(g)$ is diagonalisable.
(2) $\chi_{U}(g)$ is the sum of eigenvalues of $[g]$.
(3) $\chi_{U}(g)$ is the sum of $\chi_{U}(1)$ nth roots of unity.
(4) $\chi_{U}\left(g^{-1}\right)=\overline{\chi_{U}(g)}$
(5) $\left|\chi_{U}(g)\right| \leq \chi_{U}(1)$
(6) $\left\{x \in G: \chi_{U}(x)=\chi_{U}(1)\right\}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.

Proof. (1) $x^{n}-1$ is split hence the minimal polynomial splits.
(2) trivial
(3) The eigenvalues are roots of $x^{n}-1$ hence are roots of unity. Then use that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}(U)=\chi_{U}(1)$.
(4) If $v$ is an eigenvactor for $[g]$, then $g v=\lambda v$. By applying $g^{-1}$ we see that $g^{-1} v=\lambda^{-1} v$. As eigenvalues are roots of unity, $\lambda^{-1}=\bar{\lambda}$. The result follows.
(5) $\left.\chi_{( } g\right)$ is a sum of $\chi_{U}(1)$ roots of unity. Apply triangle inequality.
(6) Suppose $\chi_{U}(x)=\chi_{U}(1)$, then in the sum all eigenvalues must be one (they are roots of 1 and lie on one line and sum is real). Hence $[g]$ is the identity matrix. Coversely, if $[g]$ is the identity, then of couse $\chi_{U}(g)=\chi_{U}(1)$. Hence $\operatorname{ker}(\rho)=\left\{x \in G: \chi_{U}(x)=\right.$ $\left.\chi_{U}(1)\right\}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.

## 1. Inner product of characters.

Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two class functions on $G$, their inner product is defined as the complex number :

$$
(\alpha, \beta)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha(g) \overline{\beta(g)}
$$

One easily checks that (,) is indeed an inner product.
Therefore :
(1) $(\alpha, \alpha) \geq 0$ and $(\alpha, \alpha)=0$ if and only if $\alpha=0$.
(2) $(\alpha, \beta)=\overline{(\beta, \alpha)}$.
(3) $(\lambda \alpha, \beta)=\lambda(\alpha, \beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
(4) $\left(\alpha_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)=\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta\right)+\left(\alpha_{2}, \beta\right)$

We have the following:
Proposition 1.1. Let $r$ be the number of conjugacy classes of $G$ with representatives $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$. Let $\chi$ and $\psi$ be two characters of $G$.
(1)

$$
<\chi, \psi>=<\psi, \chi>=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \psi\left(g^{-1}\right)
$$

and this is a real number.
(2)

$$
<\chi, \psi>=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\chi_{i}\left(g_{i}\right) \overline{\psi\left(g_{i}\right)}}{\left|C_{G}\left(g_{i}\right)\right|}
$$

Proof. We have $\overline{\psi(g)}=\psi\left(g^{-1}\right)$, hence

$$
<\chi, \psi>=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{i}\left(g_{i}\right) \overline{\psi\left(g_{i}^{-1}\right)}
$$

As $G=\left\{g^{-1}: g \in G\right\}$, we get the first formula. And the inner products of characters are real because $\langle\chi, \psi\rangle=\overline{\langle\psi, \chi\rangle}$.

The second formula is easy using the fact that characters are constant on conjugacy classes.

We have seen already that irreducible characters form a basis of the space of class functions. We are now going to prove that it is in fact an orthonormal basis.

Let us write

$$
\mathbb{C}[G]=W_{1} \oplus W_{2}
$$

where $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ have no simple submodule in common (we will say they do not have a common composition factor). Write $1=e_{1}+e_{2}$ with $e_{1} \in W_{1}$ and $e_{2} \in W_{2}$, uniquely determined.

Proposition 1.2. For all $w_{1} \in W_{1}$ and $w_{2} \in W_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{1} w_{1}=w_{1}, \quad e_{2} w_{2}=0 \\
& e_{2} w_{1}=0, \quad e_{2} w_{2}=w_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular $e_{1}^{2}=e_{1}$ and $e_{2}^{2}=e_{2}$ and $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{2} e_{1}=0$. These elements are called idempotent.

Proof. Let $x \in W_{1}$. The function $w \mapsto w x$ is a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-homomorphism from $W_{2}$ to $W_{1}$. But, as $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ do not have any common composition factor, by Shur's lemma, this morphism is zero.

Therefore, for any $w \in W_{2}$ and $x \in W_{1}$,

$$
w x=0
$$

and simiplarly $x w=0$.
It follows that

$$
w_{1}=1 w_{1}=\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right) w_{1}=e_{1} w_{1}
$$

and

$$
w_{2}=1 w_{2}=\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right) w_{2}=e_{2} w_{2}
$$

## We can calculate $e_{1}$ :

Proposition 1.3. Let $\chi$ be the character of $W_{1}$, then

$$
e_{1}=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi\left(g^{-1}\right) g
$$

Proof. Fix $x \in G$. The function

$$
\phi: w \mapsto x^{-1} e_{1} w
$$

is an endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ (endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces).
We have $\phi\left(w_{1}\right)=x^{-1} w_{1}$ and $\phi\left(w_{2}\right)=0$. In other words, $\phi$ is the multiplication by $x^{-1}$ on $W_{1}$ and zero on $W_{2}$. It follows that

$$
\operatorname{tr}(\phi)=\chi\left(x^{-1}\right)
$$

Now write

$$
e_{1}=\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_{g} g
$$

For $g \neq x$, the trace of $w \mapsto x^{-1} g w$ is zero and for $g=x$, this trace is $|G|$.

Now, $\phi(w)=\sum x^{-1} \lambda g w$ hence $\operatorname{tr}(\phi)=\lambda_{x}|G|$, hence

$$
\lambda_{x}=\frac{\chi\left(x^{-1}\right)}{|G|}
$$

Corollary 1.4. Let $\chi$ be the character of $W_{1}$, then

$$
<\chi, \chi>=\chi(1)=\operatorname{dim} W_{1}
$$

Proof. We have $e_{1}^{2}=e_{1}$ hence the coefficients of 1 in $e_{1}$ and $e_{1}^{2}$ are equal. In $e_{1}$, its $\frac{\chi(1)}{|G|}$ and in $e_{1}^{2}$ it's

$$
\frac{1}{|G|^{2}} \sum_{g \in G} \chi\left(g^{-1}\right) \chi(g)=\frac{1}{|G|}<\chi, \chi>
$$

We now prove the following:
Theorem 1.5. Let $U$ and $V$ be two non-isomorphic simple $\mathbb{C}[G]$ modules with characters $\chi$ and $\psi$. Then

$$
<\chi, \chi>=1 \text { and }<\chi, \psi>=0
$$

Proof. Write

$$
\mathbb{C}[G]=W \oplus X
$$

where $W$ is the sum of all simple $\mathbb{C}[G]$-submodules isomorphic to $U$ (there are $m=\operatorname{dim}(U)$ of them) and $X$ is the complement. In particular $W$ and $X$ have no common composition factor The character of $W$ is $m \chi$. We have

$$
<m \chi, m \chi>=m \chi(1)=m^{2} \text { because } \chi(1)=m
$$

It follows that

$$
<\chi, \chi>=1
$$

Let $Y$ be the sum of all simple submodules isomorphic either to $U$ or $V$ and $Z$ the complement of $Y$. Let $n=\operatorname{dim}(V)$. We have

$$
\chi_{Y}=m \chi+n \psi
$$

and we have
$\left.m \chi(1)+n \psi(1)=<m \chi+n \psi, m \chi+n \psi>=m^{2}<\chi, \chi>+n^{2}<\psi, \psi\right\rangle+m n(<\chi, \psi\rangle+<\psi$,
We have $\langle\chi, \chi\rangle=<\psi, \psi\rangle=1$ and $\chi(1)=m, \psi(1)=n$, hence

$$
<\chi, \psi>+<\psi, \chi>=2<\chi, \psi>=0
$$

Let now $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{r}$ be the complete list of non-isomorphic simple $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules. If $\chi_{i}$ is a character of $S_{1}$, then

$$
<\chi_{i}, \chi_{j}>=\delta_{i j}
$$

(notice in particular that this imples that irreducible characters are distinct).

Let $V$ be a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module, write

$$
V=S_{1}^{k_{1}} \oplus \cdot \oplus S_{r}^{k_{r}}
$$

We have

$$
\chi_{V}=k_{1} \chi_{1}+\cdots+k_{r} \chi_{r}
$$

We have

$$
<\chi_{V}, \chi_{i}>=<\chi_{i}, \chi_{V}>=k_{i}
$$

and

$$
<\chi_{V}, \chi_{V}>=k_{1}^{2}+\cdots+k_{r}^{2}
$$

This gives a criterion to determine whether a given $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module is simple.

Theorem 1.6. Let $V$ be $a \mathbb{C}[G]$-module. Then $V$ is simple if and only if

$$
<\chi_{V}, \chi_{V}>=1
$$

Proof. The if part is already dealt with.
Suppose $<\chi_{V}, \chi_{V}>=1$. We have

$$
1=<\chi_{V}, \chi_{V}>=k_{1}^{2}+\cdots+k_{r}^{2}
$$

It follows that all $k_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ but one are zero.
We also recover
Theorem 1.7. Let $V$ and $W$ be two $\mathbb{C}[G]$-modules. Then $V \cong W$ if and only if $\chi_{V}=\chi_{W}$.
Proof. Write $V=S_{1}^{n_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{r}^{n_{r}}$ and $W=S_{1}^{k_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{r}^{k_{r}}$ and let, as usual $\chi_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ be the characters of $S_{i}$. Then we have $n_{i}=<\chi_{V}, \chi_{i}>$ and $k_{i}=<\chi_{W}, \chi_{i}>=<\chi_{V}, \chi_{i}>=n_{i}$.

We see that characters form an orthonormal basis of the space of class functions.

We also obtain a way of decomposing the $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module $V$ into simple submodules.

Proposition 1.8. Let $V$ be a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module and $\chi$ an irreducible character of $G$. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi\left(g^{-1} g\right) V\right.
$$

is equal to the sum of those $\mathbb{C}[G]$-submodules of $V$ with character $\chi$.
Proof. Write

$$
\mathbb{C}[G]=S_{1}^{n_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{r}^{n_{r}}
$$

and write $W_{1}$ be the sum of those submodules $S_{i}$ having character $\chi$ (recall that $\chi$ is an irreducible character). Notice that $W_{1}$ is some $S_{i}^{n_{i}}$. Note that $n_{i}=\chi(1)$. The character of $W_{1}$ is $n_{i} \chi$. Let $W_{2}$ be the
complement of $W_{1}$. Let $e_{1}$ be as previously (idempotent corresponding to $W_{1}$ ). Then

$$
e_{1}=\frac{n_{i}}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi\left(g^{-1}\right) g
$$

Let $V_{1}$ be the sum of submodules of $V$ having the character $\chi$. Then $e_{1} V=V$ (recall $e_{1} v_{1}=v_{1}$ for $v_{1} \in V_{1}$ ), hence

$$
V_{1}=\left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi\left(g^{-1}\right) g\right) V
$$

This gives a procedure for decomposing a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module $V$ into simple submodules (for example $\mathbb{C}[G]$ itself).
(1) Choose a basis $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of $V$.
(2) For each irreducible character $\chi$ of $G$ calculate $\left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi\left(g^{-1} g\right) v_{i}\right.$ and let $V_{\chi}$ be the subspace generated by these vectors.
(3) $V$ is now the direct sum of the $V_{\chi}$ where $\chi$ runs over irreducible characters. The character of $V_{\chi}$ is a multiple of $\chi$.
Let's take an example. Let $G$ be $S_{n}$ and $\chi$ the trivial character. Let $V$ be the permutation module and $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ its basis. Then

$$
\left(\sum_{g \in G} \chi\left(g^{-1}\right) g\right) V=\operatorname{Span}\left(v_{1}+\cdots+v_{n}\right)
$$

Hence $V$ has a unique trivial $\mathbb{C}[G]$ submodule.

## Character tables.

We now turn to character tables. Let $G$ be a finite group, $r$ the number of conjugacy classes and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ its representatives. There are exactly $r$ irreducible characters, they are $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{r}$. The character table is the $r \times r$ matrix with entries $\chi_{i}\left(g_{j}\right)$. There is always a row consisting of 1 s corresponding to the trivial one dimensional representation.

Proposition 1.9. The character table is invertible.
Proof. This is because the irreducible characters form a basis of class fuctions.

Recall the orthogonality relations.

$$
<\chi_{r}, \chi_{s}>=\delta_{r s}
$$

Rewrite this as:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\chi_{r}\left(g_{i}\right) \overline{\chi_{s}\left(g_{i}\right)}}{\left|C_{G}\left(g_{i}\right)\right|}=\delta_{r s}
$$

This gives the row orthogonality conditions.
Now,

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \chi_{i}\left(g_{r}\right) \overline{\chi_{i}\left(g_{s}\right)}=\delta_{r s}\left|C_{G}\left(g_{r}\right)\right|=\delta_{r s}\left|C_{G}\left(g_{r}\right)\right|
$$

is the column orthogonality.
This needs proving.
Define class functions $\psi_{s}$ for $1 \leq s \leq k$ by

$$
\psi_{s}\left(g_{r}\right)=\delta_{r s}
$$

As characters form a basis of the space of class functions, $\psi_{i}$ s are linear combinations of $\chi_{i}$. We have

$$
\psi_{s}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} \chi_{i}
$$

As we know that $<\chi_{i}, \chi_{j}>=\delta_{i j}$, we have

$$
\lambda_{i}=<\psi_{s}, \chi_{i}>=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \psi_{s}(g) \overline{\chi_{i}(g)}
$$

By definition of $\psi_{s}$, we know that $\psi_{s}(g)=1$ if $g$ is conjugate to $g_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}(g)=0$ otherwise. The number of elements of $G$ conjugate to $g_{s}$ is

$$
\left|g_{s}^{G}\right|=\frac{|G|}{\left|C_{G}\left(g_{s}\right)\right|}
$$

It follows that

$$
\lambda_{i}=\frac{\overline{\chi_{i}\left(g_{s}\right)}}{\left|C_{G}\left(g_{s}\right)\right|}
$$

Now, using that $\delta_{r s}=\psi_{s}\left(g_{r}\right)$, we get the column orthogonality.
These relations are useful because sometimes they help to complete character tables.

Let $S_{3}$ be the symmetric group, it is isomorphic to $D_{6}$ by sending $(1,2)$ to $b$ and $(1,2,3)$ to $a$. There are three conjugacy classes, they are $\{1\},\left\{a, a^{2}\right\},\left\{b, a b, a^{2} b\right\}$ of sizes 1,2 and 3 repsectively. We have two linear characters $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{2}$ corresponding to the trivial representation and the nontrivial of degree one (the sign of a permutation or $a \mapsto 1$ and $b \mapsto-1)$. Let $\chi_{3}$ be the character of the non-trivial two dimensional.

| $g_{i}$ | 1 | $a$ | $b$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\|C_{G}\left(g_{i}\right)\right\|$ | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| $\chi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\chi_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | -1 |
| $\chi_{3}$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ |

We want to find the values of $\chi_{3}$.
First of all, we already know that

$$
\left.6=|G|=\chi_{1}(1)^{2}+\chi_{2}^{( } 1\right)^{2}+\chi_{3}(1)^{2}
$$

which gives $\chi_{3}(1)^{2}=1$, it follows that $\chi_{3}(1)=2$ (this is the degree of the representation).

Let us write column orthogonality

$$
\chi_{1}\left(g_{r}\right) \chi_{1}\left(g_{s}\right)+\chi_{2}\left(g_{r}\right) \chi_{2}\left(g_{s}\right)+\chi_{3}\left(g_{r}\right) \chi_{3}\left(g_{s}\right)=\delta_{r s}\left|C_{G}\left(g_{r}\right)\right|
$$

Take $r=2, g_{2}=a$ and $s=1, g_{s}=1$ then

$$
\chi_{1}(a) \chi_{1}(1)+\chi_{2}(a) \chi_{2}(1)+\chi_{3}(a) \chi_{3}(1)=0
$$

Then

$$
1+1+2 \chi_{3}(a)=0
$$

hence $\chi_{3}(a)=-1$.
Now take $r=3$ and $s=1$, we get

$$
\chi_{1}(b) \chi_{1}(1)+\chi_{2}(b) \chi_{2}(1)+\chi_{3}(b) \chi_{3}(1)=0
$$

Hence $1-1+2 \chi_{3}(b)=0$.
We completely determined $\chi_{3}$ and did not even need to use the sizes of conjugacy classes.

Another example which demonstrates the use of orthogonality.
Let $G$ be a group of order 12 which has exactly four conjugacy classes. Suppose we are given the following characters $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ and $\chi_{3}$. Of course there is a fourth irreducible character $\chi_{4}$. The question is to determine $\chi_{4}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
g_{i} & g_{1} & g_{2} & g_{3} & g_{4} \\
\left|C_{G}\left(g_{i}\right)\right| & 12 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\
\chi_{1} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\chi_{2} & 1 & 1 & \omega & \omega^{2} \\
\chi_{3} & 1 & 1 & \omega^{2} & \omega
\end{array}
$$

Of course we always have : $1+1+1+\chi_{4}(1)^{2}=12$, hence

$$
\chi_{4}(1)^{2}=9
$$

hence $\chi_{4}(1)=3$ and the representation is 3-dimensional.
Now, we apply column orthogonality to the first and second column:

$$
1+1+1+3 \overline{\chi_{4}\left(g_{2}\right)}=0
$$

which gives $\chi_{4}\left(g_{2}\right)=-1$.
The orthogonality between columns one and 3 and 4 gives

$$
\chi_{3}\left(g_{3}\right)=\chi_{4}\left(g_{4}\right)=0
$$

In what follows we will prove that the integers $k_{i}$ that occur in the decomposition of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ actually divide $G$.

Recall that a complex number $\alpha$ is called algebraic integer if it is a root of a monic polynomial with integer coefficients. The set of algebraic integers is a subring of $\mathbb{C}$, in particular the sum an product of two of them is an algebraic integer.

The property we are groing to use is the following:
Lemma 1.10. Let $a=\frac{p}{q}$ be a rational number, we suppose that $p$ and $q$ are coprime. Suppose that $a$ is an algebraic integer, then $a$ is an integer.

Proof. By assumption $a$ satisfies

$$
x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}=0
$$

where $a_{i}$ s are integers.
This gives $p^{n}=q \times(*)$ where $(*)$ is some integer. It follows that $q=1$ because $p$ and $q$ are coprime.
Proposition 1.11. Let $g_{i}$ be in $G$ and let $c_{i}:=\left[G: C_{G}\left(g_{i}\right)\right]$ be the index of the centraliser of $g_{i}$ in $G$. Then for any character $\chi_{j}$ of $G$, the value

$$
\frac{c_{i} \chi_{j}\left(g_{i}\right)}{\chi_{j}(1)}
$$

is an algebraic integer.
Proof. Let $\chi_{j}$ be a character correspondig to $S_{j}$. Let $K_{i}$ be the conjugacy class of $g_{i}$ and $a$ be the sum (in $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ) of all elements in $K_{i}$. Of course $a$ is in the centre of $\mathbb{C}[G]$, therefore left multiplication by $a$ is an endomorphism of $\operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}[G]}\left(S_{j}\right)$. But, by a version of Shur's lemma, we know that

$$
a s=c s
$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and all $s \in S_{j}$. It follows that the trace of $a$ is $c \chi_{j}(1)$ (recall that $\chi_{j}(1)=\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{j}\right)$ ). On the other hand, the trace of the matrix defined by multiplication by $a$ is $c_{j} \chi_{j}\left(g_{i}\right)$. We therefore have

$$
c=\frac{c_{i} \chi_{j}\left(g_{i}\right)}{\chi_{j}(1)}
$$

As $a$ is central, left multiplication by $a$ also defines a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Let $M_{a}$ be the corresponding matrix. Each entry of $M_{a}$ is an integer, as $a$ is a sum of group elements, therefore $\operatorname{det}\left(x I-M_{a}\right)$ is a polynomal with integer coefficients. But $c$ is an eigenvalue of $a$ (the eigenspace is preciesely $\left.S_{j}\right)$, hence $c$ is a root of $\operatorname{det}\left(x I-M_{a}\right)$ and hence it's an algebraic integer.

We can now prove:
Theorem 1.12. For any irreducible character $\chi_{i}, \chi_{i}(1)$ divides $|G|$.
Proof. Let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ be the set of representatives of conjugacy classes. of $G$ and let $c_{i}=\left[G: C_{G}\left(g_{i}\right)\right]$ be the size of the conjugacy class. As we have $\left\langle\chi_{i}, \chi_{i}\right\rangle=1$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi_{j}(g) \overline{\chi_{j}(g)}=1
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{|G|}{\chi_{j}(1)} & =\frac{1}{\chi_{j}(1)} \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{j} \chi_{j}\left(g_{i}\right) \overline{\chi_{j}\left(g_{i}\right)} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{c_{i} \chi_{j}\left(g_{i}\right)}{\chi_{j}(1)} \overline{\chi_{j}\left(g_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore $\frac{|G|}{\chi_{j}(1)}$ is an algebraic integer. But it is also a rational number, hence an integer.

As application, recall that $A_{4}$ has order 12 and 4 conjugacy classes. We have

$$
1+k_{2}^{2}+k_{3}^{2}+k_{4}^{2}=12
$$

Divisors of 12 are $1,2,3,4,6,12$ but only $1,2,3$ can occur as others squared are bigger than 12 . Therefore the only possibility is $1,1,1,3$.

Look at $S_{4}$. The order is 24 , there are 5 conjugacy classes :

$$
(1),(1,2),(1,2,3),(1,2)(3,4),(1,2,3,4)
$$

and we have two irreducible representations of degree one : the trivial one and the sign.

We have therefore :

$$
1+1+k_{3}^{2}+k_{4}^{2}+k_{5}^{2}=24
$$

and therefore $k_{3}^{2}+k_{4}^{2}+k_{5}^{2}=22$ and the possible divisors of 24 ate $1,2,3,4,6,8,12,24$. Only $1,2,3,4$ can occur, others squared are too large.

The only possibility is $3,3,2$. The irreducible representations of $S_{4}$ are $1,1,2,3,3$.

Our aim now is to prove the following theorem of Burnside:
Theorem 1.13 (Burnside). Let $G$ be a finite group with $|G|=p^{a} q^{b}$ with $p$ and $q$ prime numbers. Then $G$ is solvable.

Lemma 1.14. Let $\chi_{i}$ be an irreducible character of $G$ corresponding to a representation $\rho_{i}$. If $G$ has a conjugacy class $K_{j}$ such that $\left|K_{j}\right|$ and $\chi_{i}(1)$ are relatively prime, then for any $g \in K_{j}$, either $\chi_{i}(g)=0$ or $\left|\chi_{i}(g)\right|=\chi_{i}(1)$.

Proof. Suppose we are in the situation of the lemma. There exists integers $m, n$ such that

$$
m\left|K_{j}\right|+n \chi_{i}(1)=1
$$

Multiplying by $\frac{\chi_{i}(g)}{\chi_{i}(1)}$, we obtain

$$
m\left|K_{j}\right| \frac{\chi_{i}(g)}{\chi_{i}(1)}+n \chi_{i}(g)=\frac{\chi_{i}(g)}{\chi_{i}(1)}
$$

Therefore, $a=\frac{\chi_{i}(g)}{\chi_{i}(1)}$ is an algebraic integer. On the other hand, $\chi_{i}(g)$ is a sum of $\chi_{i}(1)$ roots of unity. Therefore $a$ is an average of $\chi_{i}(1)$ roots of unity.

We apply the following lemma:
Lemma 1.15. Let c be a complex number that is an average of mth roots of unity. If $c$ is an algebraic integer, then $c=0$ or $|c|=1$.

Proof. Write

$$
c=\frac{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{d}}{d}
$$

where $a_{i}$ s are roots of $x^{m}-1$. Since $\left|a_{i}\right|=1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$, the triangle inequality shows that

$$
|c| \leq 1
$$

Now, we assumed that $c$ is an algebraic integer.
Let $G$ be the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\sigma \in G$, all $\sigma\left(a_{i}\right)$ are $m$ th roots of unity. It follows that

$$
|\sigma(c)| \leq 1
$$

Let

$$
b=\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(c)
$$

Of course all $\sigma(c)$ are algebraic integers and $b$ is an algebraic integer. Of course $\sigma(b)=b$ hence $b \in \mathbb{Q}$ and algebraic integer hence $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. But $|c| \neq 1$ implies $|b|<1$, therefore $b=0$, this forces $c=0$.

The lemma shows that either $|a|=1$ or $a=0$., therefore either $\chi_{i}(g)=0$ or $\left|\chi_{i}(g)\right|=\chi_{i}(1)$.

We derive the following:

Theorem 1.16. Let $G$ be a non-abelian simple group. Then $\{1\}$ is the only conjugacy class whose cardinality is a prime power.

Remark 1.17. If the conjugacy class has just one element (1 for example), then its cardinality is a prime power : $p^{0}$.

Proof. Let $g \in G, g \neq 1$ such that $g^{G}$ has order $p^{n}$ with $n>0$.
(if $n$ is zero, then $g$ is in the centre of $G$ hence $G$ is either not simple or abelian...)

By column orthogonality, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \chi_{i}(g) \chi_{i}(1)=0
$$

where $\chi_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ are distinct irreducible characters of $G$ with $\chi_{1}$ being the character of the trivial representation.

We have

$$
1+\sum_{i=2}^{r} \chi_{i}(g) \chi_{i}(1)=0
$$

This gives

$$
1 / p=-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\chi_{i}(g) \chi_{i}(1)}{p}
$$

Suppose $p$ is a factor of $\chi_{i}(1)$ for all $i>1$ such that $\chi_{i}(1) \neq 0$, then the relation above shows that $1 / p$ is an alegebraic integer and this is not the case. Hence $\chi_{i}(g) \neq 0$ and $p$ does not divide $\chi_{i}(1)$ for some $i$. Because $\chi_{i}(g) \neq 0$, and $\left|g^{G}\right|=p^{m}$ and $\chi_{i}(1)$ are coprime by what we have just seen above, the lemma above shows that $\left|\chi_{i}(g)\right|=\chi_{i}(1)$. But $\left\{g \in G:\left|\chi_{i}(g)\right|=\chi_{i}(1)\right\}$ is a normal subgroup of $G$ (it is the kernel of the corresponding representation). As $G$ is simple, $g=1$. This finishes the proof.

This theorem can be reformulated as follows: if the finite group $G$ has a conjugacy class of order $p^{k}$, then $G$ is not simple.

Before proving Burnside's theorem, let us recall some notions from group theory.

Let $G$ be a finite group and $p$ a prime number. A subgroup $P$ is called a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$ if $|P|=p^{n}$ for some integer $n \geq 1$ such that $p^{n}$ is a divisor of $|G|$ but $p^{n+1}$ is not a divisor of $|G|$.

If $p||G|$, then Sylow's first theorem guarantees that $G$ contains a Sylow $p$-subgroup.

A chain of subgroups $G=N_{0} \supset N_{1} \supset \cdots \supset N_{n}$ such that
(1) $N_{i}$ is a normal subgroup in $N_{i-1}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
(2) $N_{i-1} / N_{i}$ is simple for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
(3) $N_{n}=\{1\}$.
is called a composition series. The factors $N_{i-1} / N_{i}$ are called composition factors. A group is called solvable if there exists a composition series with $N_{i-1} / N_{i}$ abelian.

In Galois theory it is proved that a polynomial $f(x)$ is solvable by radicals if and only if it's Galois group is solvable.
Theorem 1.18 (Burnside). If $G$ is a finite group of order $p^{a} q^{b}$ where $p, q$ are prime, then $G$ is solvable.
Proof. Let $G_{i}$ be a composition factor. We need to show that $G_{i}$ is abelian. By assumption $G_{i}$ is simple and $\left|G_{i}\right|$ divides $|G|$ therefore $\left|G_{i}\right|=p^{a^{\prime}} q^{b^{\prime}}$ for some $a^{\prime} \leq a, b^{\prime} \leq b$.

Let $P$ be a $p$-Sylow of $G_{i}$. Any $p$-group has a non-trivial centre ( ${ }^{*}$ ) and let $g$ be a non-trivial element of the centre. Then $P \subset C_{G}(g)$ and $|P|=p^{a}$. It follows that $\left[G: C_{G}(g)\right]$ is not divisible by $p$ and is therefore a power of $q$. But $\left[G: C_{G}(g)\right]=\left|g^{G}\right|$, this contradicts the theorem above unless $G$ is abelian.

## $\left(^{*}\right)$ Any $p$-group has a non-trivial centre.

Indeed, let $G$ be a group of order $p^{n}$. Each conjugacy class has order $p^{k_{i}}$ dividing $p^{n}$, hence we get

$$
p^{n}=|Z(G)|+\sum_{i} p^{k_{i}}
$$

It follows that $|Z(G)| \equiv 0 \bmod p$ hence is not trivial.

