# Chapter 3

## **Dimensional Analysis**

#### 3.1 Power Laws

It is not possible to add together a length and an area meaningfully. Similarly, if x is a length then  $e^x$  is physically meaningless, because

$$e^x = 1 + x + \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \cdots$$

and we would be adding length to area to volume, etc. (What could  $e^{2 \text{ cm}}$  mean anyway?) So we can only add together quantities with the same dimensions: for instance in Pythagoras's theorem  $a^2 = b^2 + c^2$  all quantities have the dimensions of area, i.e., length<sup>2</sup>. In any equation, the dimensions of the LHS must match those of the RHS, to ensure that it is true in *any* system of units.

In fact, quantities with dimensions occur only in *power laws*, for instance volume = length<sup>3</sup>. Any other function of a dimensional quantity (such as  $e^{x^2}$ ,  $\ln x$  or sech<sup>-1</sup> x where x has dimensions) is meaningless. Thus sin  $\alpha$  only makes sense if  $\alpha$  is dimensionless, e.g., if  $\alpha = xy/(\pi r^2)$  where x, y and r are lengths.

This simple fact makes it possible to obtain some surprisingly significant results about how physical quantities depend on each other.

$$\frac{y_1}{y_2} = \frac{f(x_1)}{f(x_2)}$$

is dimensionless and therefore independent of our system of units. That is, if we were to rescale the values of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  by some factor  $\alpha$ , say, then the ratio would be unchanged; hence

$$\frac{f(x_1)}{f(x_2)} = \frac{f(\alpha x_1)}{f(\alpha x_2)}$$

We can prove, formally, the result that dimensional quantities occur only in power laws. Let x be a length and y be a physical variable related to x via the law y = f(x) for some function f. For any two lengths  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  we take it as axiomatic that the ratio

for any  $\alpha$ . (If we changed our units of length from metres to centimetres, for instance, we would have  $\alpha = 100$ .)

Differentiating this expression with respect to  $\alpha$  we obtain

$$x_1 f(\alpha x_2) f'(\alpha x_1) = x_2 f(\alpha x_1) f'(\alpha x_2)$$

for any  $\alpha$ ,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Setting  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $x_1 = x$  and  $x_2 = 1$  gives

$$\frac{xf'(x)}{f(x)} = \frac{f'(1)}{f(1)} \equiv k;$$

that is to say, xf'(x)/f(x) is a constant. Integrating this with respect to x gives  $\ln f(x) = k \ln x + c$ , i.e.,  $f(x) \propto x^k$ . This vindicates our statement.

The argument can easily be extended to more general situations involving other dimensions as well as length.

We can associate with any physical quantity, y say, a set of dimensions denoted by [y] in terms of mass M, length L, time T and possibly others based on the SI system (such as temperature  $\Theta$ ). Charge Q is often included in this list of basic dimensions (even though, according to the SI system, we should strictly use current I instead).

For example, velocity has dimensions  $LT^{-1}$ ; force has dimensions  $MLT^{-2}$  (because  $\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a} = m \,\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{x}/\mathrm{d}t^2$ ); and density has dimensions  $ML^{-3}$ .

Once we have done this, we can write down a power law relationship between y and the dimensional parameters  $a, b, c, \ldots$  on which it depends:

$$y = Ca^{\alpha}b^{\beta}c^{\gamma}\dots$$

where C is a dimensionless constant and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , ... are unknown constant exponents. By considering the powers of each dimension M, L, T, etc., on both sides, we can find equations connecting  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  etc. There are three possibilities:

- No solutions for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , .... We must have forgotten an important parameter.
- A unique solution. This is lucky and tells us everything about the relationship between y and  $a, b, c, \ldots$  except for one unknown constant C.
- Many solutions. We can still gain useful information in the form of a functional relationship: see §3.2 below.

**Example:** A pendulum of length l with a bob of mass m executes small oscillations. What is the angular frequency  $\omega$ ?

We know that  $\omega$  must depend on l, m and g. So posit

$$\omega = C l^{\alpha} m^{\beta} g^{\gamma}.$$

The dimensions are

$$[l] = L,$$
  $[m] = M,$   $[g] = LT^{-2}$  and  $[\omega] = T^{-1}.$ 

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$T^{-1} = (L)^{\alpha} (M)^{\beta} (LT^{-2})^{\gamma}$$

and hence

$$\begin{array}{c} \alpha + \gamma = 0 \\ \beta = 0 \\ -2\gamma = -1 \end{array} \right\} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta = 0, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$$

The solution for  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  is unique in this case, so  $\omega = C\sqrt{g/l}$ . In fact we know from §2.5 that C = 1.

#### **3.2** Dimensionless Parameters

When there are many possible solutions for the putative exponents in a supposed power law of the form considered in §3.1, the relationship between the physical quantities may in fact have a more complicated form. We cannot determine the exact nature of the relationship, but we can, nonetheless, show that its functional form must depend only on one or more *dimensionless parameters*, as in the following example.

**Example:** A ball of mass m is thrown vertically upwards with speed V and experiences quadratic air resistance with coefficient k. How high does it go?

Let h be the height and try

$$h = Cm^{\alpha}g^{\beta}k^{\gamma}V^{\delta}.$$

We know the dimensions (in particular,  $[k] = [\text{force}]/[\text{velocity}]^2 = (MLT^{-2})/(LT^{-1})^2 = ML^{-1}$ ), so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \gamma &= 0, \\ \beta - \gamma + \delta &= 1, \\ -2\beta - \delta &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

These do not have a unique solution; but one solution is  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 0$ ,  $\gamma = -1$ ,  $\delta = 0$ , corresponding to m/k. So consider h/(m/k); this must be dimensionless. But dimensionless quantities can only be formed from other dimensionless quantities, so now start again and look for *dimensionless* combinations of m, g, k and V. These would have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \gamma &= 0, \\ \beta - \gamma + \delta &= 0, \\ -2\beta - \delta &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce that  $\alpha = \beta = -\gamma$  and  $\delta = -2\alpha$ , i.e., our dimensionless combination is  $(mg/kV^2)^{\alpha}$ . Hence  $\lambda \equiv mg/kV^2$  is essentially the only independent dimensionless parameter and we *must* have

$$\frac{h}{m/k} = f\left(\frac{mg}{kV^2}\right), \qquad \text{i.e.}, \qquad h = \frac{m}{k}f\left(\frac{mg}{kV^2}\right) \tag{3.1}$$

where f is some unknown function.

Note that f need not be just a simple power law, because its argument is dimensionless. In fact, solving the ball's equation of motion explicitly shows that f is the function

$$f(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\ln(1+\lambda^{-1}),$$

but we cannot find this by dimensional analysis.

We would have obtained

$$h = \frac{V^2}{g} \hat{f}\left(\frac{mg}{kV^2}\right)$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is some (different) unknown function. But, given any function  $\hat{f}(\lambda)$ , define

$$\tilde{f}(\lambda) = \frac{\hat{f}(\lambda)}{\lambda};$$

then we see that

$$h = \frac{V^2}{g} \frac{mg}{kV^2} \tilde{f}\left(\frac{mg}{kV^2}\right) = \frac{m}{k} \tilde{f}\left(\frac{mg}{kV^2}\right)$$

where  $\tilde{f}$  is some unknown function, which is the same answer as before.

Instead of writing

$$\frac{h}{m/k} = f\left(\frac{mg}{kV^2}\right)$$

in (3.1) we could equally have written

$$g\left(\frac{mg}{kV^2},\frac{kh}{m}\right) = 0$$

where g is an unknown function. This is achieved simply by defining, for example, g(x, y) = y - f(x). There are occasions on which this alternative form is more convenient.

In this example we said that one combination of parameters with the same dimensions as h is m/k, and considered h/(m/k) as a dimensionless quantity. But we could have chosen a different combination, for instance  $V^2/g$ , with the same dimensions as h and considered  $h/(V^2/g)$  instead. Would this have led to a different answer?

There are many other suitable definitions of g apart from the example y - f(x) given above: for instance  $y^2 - [f(x)]^2$  or  $\ln[(1+y)/(1+f(x))]$ .

The alternative form is in fact more general. Given y = f(x) it is always possible to obtain g(x, y) = 0by making the definition for g above. However, given an arbitrary equation g(x, y) = 0 it is not always possible to reverse the process and write y = f(x) (for instance, in the case  $g(x, y) = (x - 1)^2 - (y - 1)^2$ ,

where there are in general two solutions for y for any given x, or the case  $g(x, y) = x^3$  where y does not even feature!).

Suppose now that instead of throwing the ball vertically upwards, we had thrown it at an angle  $\theta$  to the horizontal. Since angles are dimensionless, we would have *two* independent dimensionless quantities,  $mg/kV^2$  and  $\theta$ ; hence

$$h = \frac{m}{k} F\left(\frac{mg}{kV^2}, \theta\right)$$

where F is an unknown function of two variables. In fact, F is not known analytically!

### 3.3 Non-Dimensionalisation

Consider the example of §3.2 again, where the ball is thrown at an angle  $\theta$ . If the ball has position vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$ , then its equation of motion is

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = m\mathbf{g} - k|\dot{\mathbf{x}}|\dot{\mathbf{x}}|$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{split} m\ddot{x} &= -k\sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}\,\dot{x}, \\ m\ddot{y} &= -mg - k\sqrt{\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2}\,\dot{y}; \end{split}$$

at t = 0 we have x = y = 0,  $\dot{x} = V \cos \theta$ ,  $\dot{y} = V \sin \theta$ .

We note that a combination of the parameters with dimensions of length is m/k; and of time, m/(kV). So scale x, y and t by defining

$$X = \frac{x}{m/k}, \qquad Y = \frac{y}{m/k}, \qquad T = \frac{t}{m/(kV)}$$

Then X, Y and T are dimensionless variables. Derivatives can be calculated using the chain rule:

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}t}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{kV}{m}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}T}\left(\frac{m}{k}X\right) = VX'$$

where a prime denotes d/dT. Repeating this procedure we obtain

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{kV^2}{m}X''.$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$kV^{2}X'' = -k\sqrt{V^{2}X'^{2} + V^{2}Y'^{2}}VX',$$
  
$$kV^{2}Y'' = -mg - k\sqrt{V^{2}X'^{2} + V^{2}Y'^{2}}VY'.$$

Defining  $\lambda = mg/kV^2$  we obtain

$$\begin{split} X'' &= -\sqrt{X'^2 + Y'^2} \, X', \\ Y'' &= -\lambda - \sqrt{X'^2 + Y'^2} \, Y' \end{split}$$

with X = Y = 0 and  $X' = \cos \theta$ ,  $Y' = \sin \theta$  at T = 0. These simultaneous differential equations and their initial conditions have thus been entirely non-dimensionalised, and the solution clearly depends only on the parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\theta$ . We can solve them numerically (on a computer) for X(T) and Y(T), and find the point  $T_0$  at which  $Y'(T_0) = 0$ ; then the height reached is  $h = (m/k)Y(T_0)$ .

The value of  $Y(T_0)$ , which depends only on  $\lambda$  and  $\theta$ , is in fact the function  $F(\lambda, \theta)$ mentioned in §3.2. This method allows us to find the complete set of solutions by exploring only 2 parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\theta$  instead of a 5D parameter space  $(m, k, g, V, \theta)$ .