the M-L and L-T_e relations ★▲《《▲▶》? C

Without even fully solving the homologous equations of stellar structure, we can deduce the gradients of the <u>mass-luminosity</u> and <u>luminosity-effective temperature</u> relations for main-sequence stars.

We have assumed that the luminosity of any point inside a star depends on some power of M_S but otherwise only on the fractional mass, m, i.e.:

$$L = M_S^{a_3} L^*(m).$$

At the surface of the star (m = 1), this equation becomes:

$$L_{\rm S} = M_{\rm S}^{a_3} L^*(1).$$

Since $L^*(1)$ is the same for all stars of the same chemical composition, i.e. it is a constant, we can write:

$$L_{\rm S} \propto M_{\rm S}^{\rm a_3}$$
.

Hence we can see that the homologous stellar models predict a mass-luminosity relation in which the luminosity is proportional to the a_3 -th power of the mass. We will return later to the possible values of a_3 and how this compares with the observed mass-luminosity relation.

Turning now to the luminosity-effective temperature relation, these two quantities are related to the radius of a star through the well-known relation:

$$L_{\rm S} = 4\pi r_{\rm S}^2 \, \sigma T_{\rm e}^4.$$

Hence, we can write:

$$L_{\rm S} \propto r_{\rm S}^2 T_{\rm e}^4$$
.

Combining this equation with the relations $r_S = M_S^{a_1} r^*(1)$ and $L_S = M_S^{a_3} L^*(1)$, we

obtain:

$$M_{\rm S}^{a_3} L^*(1) \propto M_{\rm S}^{2a_1} r^*(1)^2 T_{\rm e}^4$$
.

Since $r^*(1)$, like $L^*(1)$, is the same for all stars of the same chemical composition, i.e. it is a constant, we can rearrange the above equation as follows:

$$M_{\rm S} \propto T_{\rm e}^{4/(a_3-2a_1)}$$
.

We have already proved that $L_S \propto M_S^{a_3}$, so we can write:

$$L_{\rm S} \propto T_{\rm e}^{4a_3/(a_3-2a_1)}$$
.

This shows that stars lie on a straight line of gradient $4a_3/(a_3-2a_1)$ in the theoretical HR diagram (a plot of log $L_{\rm S}$ versus log $T_{\rm e}$) and this might be identified with the main sequence.

We must now see if the predicted gradients of the mass-luminosity and luminosity-effective temperature relations are in agreement with the observed values. In order to prove that this is so, we must solve the 5 algebraic equations for a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 and a_5 which we derived <u>earlier</u>:

1.
$$4a_1 + a_5 = 2$$
,

11.
$$3a_1 + a_2 = 1$$
,

III.
$$a_3 = 1 + a_2 + \eta a_4$$
,

IV.
$$4a_1 + (4-\beta)a_4 = \alpha a_2 + a_3 + 1$$
,

$$V.$$
 $a_5 = a_2 + a_4.$

We have already stated that a general solution to these equations is too complicated to give here, but it is possible to write down solutions for special values of α , β and η , which we will do now.

In our discussions of stellar <u>opacity</u>, we found that one approximation to the opacity law which appears to work well at intermediate temperatures is given by $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=-3.5$, i.e.

$$\kappa = \kappa_0 \, \rho / T^{3.5}$$
.

A reasonable approximation to the rate of energy generation by the <u>proton-proton</u> chain is given by $\eta = 4$, i.e.

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 \rho T^4$$
.

Substituting $\alpha=1$, $\beta=-3.5$ and $\eta=4$ into equations III and IV, we obtain a new, simplified set of equations to solve:

VI.
$$4a_1 + a_5 = 2$$
,

VII.
$$3a_1 + a_2 = 1$$
,

VIII.
$$a_3 = 1 + a_2 + 4a_4$$

IX.
$$4a_1 + 7.5a_4 = a_2 + a_3 + 1$$
,

$$a_5 = a_2 + a_4$$
.

We now have 5 algebraic equations with 5 unknowns, so it is a simple matter to obtain an exact solution by eliminating each of the a's in turn from the above equations until an expression for a_3 is obtained. This expression can then be used to determine a_1 . Rather than follow through this (easy but tedious) part of the solution, we will simply state the results:

$$a_3 = 71 / 13$$
, and

$$a_1 = 1 / 13$$
.

Substituting these values into the mass-luminosity and luminosity-effective temperature relations derived above, we obtain:

$$L_{\rm S} \propto M_{\rm S}^{71/13}$$
, and

$$L_{\rm S} \propto T_{\rm e}^{284/69}$$
.

The observed mass-luminosity law is not a simple power law but, if the central part of the curve (corresponding to stars of about solar mass) is approximated by a power law, it has an exponent of approximately 5, in good agreement with the

value of 5.5 predicted by the above homologous solution; see figure 10.4 in Bohm-Vitense. Similarly, the lower part of the main-sequence on the observed luminosity-effective temperature (or HR) diagram is well represented by the power-law exponent of 4.1 predicted by the above homologous solution; see figure 10.5 in Bohm-Vitense. We have therefore verified the observed mass-luminosity relation of main-sequence stars and the slope of the main-sequence on the HR diagram and hence fulfilled one of our initial aims when we discussed the observed properties of stars at the start of this lecture course.

©Vik Dhillon, 27th September 2010