

## 0.1 (Linear) span of vectors

**Definition 0.1.1.** Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  be vectors in a vector space  $V$ . A *linear combination* of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  is a vector  $\mathbf{v}$  of the form

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n$$

where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are scalars. The set of all linear combinations of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  is called the *span* of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  and is denoted by  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$ , that is,

$$\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) = \{ \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n \mid \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R} \}.$$

**Example 0.1.2.** Let  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3 \in \mathbb{R}^3$  be given by

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Determine  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$  and  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3)$ .

*Solution.* Since

$$\alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{while} \quad \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \alpha_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathbf{e}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that

$$\text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x_3 = 0 \right\}, \quad \text{while} \quad \text{Span}(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3) = \mathbb{R}^3.$$

□

**Theorem 0.1.3.** Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  be vectors in a vector space  $V$ . Then  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

**Definition 0.1.4.** Let  $V$  be a vector space, and let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \in V$ . We say that the set  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a *spanning set* for  $V$  if

$$\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) = V.$$

If  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a spanning set for  $V$ , we shall also say that  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  **spans**  $V$ , that  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  **span**  $V$  or that  $V$  is **spanned** by  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ .

Notice that the above definition can be rephrased as follows. A set  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a spanning set for  $V$ , if and only if every vector in  $V$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ .

**Example 0.1.5.** Show that  $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3\}$  is a spanning set for  $P_2$ , where

$$\mathbf{p}_1(x) = 2 + 3x + x^2, \quad \mathbf{p}_2(x) = 4 - x, \quad \mathbf{p}_3(x) = -1.$$

*Solution.* Let  $\mathbf{p}$  be an arbitrary polynomial in  $P_2$ , say,  $\mathbf{p}(x) = a + bx + cx^2$ . We need to show that it is possible to find weights  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  such that

$$\alpha_1\mathbf{p}_1 + \alpha_2\mathbf{p}_2 + \alpha_3\mathbf{p}_3 = \mathbf{p},$$

that is

$$\alpha_1(2 + 3x + x^2) + \alpha_2(4 - x) - \alpha_3 = a + bx + cx^2.$$

Comparing coefficients we find that the weights have to satisfy the system

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2 - \alpha_3 &= a \\ 3\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 &= b \\ \alpha_1 &= c \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient matrix is nonsingular, so the system must have a unique solution for all choices of  $a, b, c$ . In fact, using back substitution yields  $\alpha_1 = c$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 3c - b$ ,  $\alpha_3 = 14c - 4b - a$ . Thus  $\{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3\}$  is a spanning set for  $P_2$ .  $\square$

**Example 0.1.6.** Find a spanning set for  $N(A)$ , where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 6 & -1 & 1 & -7 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 & 3 & -1 \\ 2 & -4 & 5 & 8 & -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* We have already calculated  $N(A)$  for this matrix in Example ??, and found that

$$N(A) = \left\{ \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Thus,  $\{(2, 1, 0, 0, 0)^T, (1, 0, -2, 1, 0)^T, (-3, 0, 2, 0, 1)^T\}$  is a spanning set for  $N(A)$ .  $\square$

## 0.2 Linear independence

**Definition 0.2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  be vectors in a vector space  $V$ . They are said to be **linearly dependent** if there exist scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ , not all zero, such that

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{0}.$$

**Definition 0.2.2.** The set  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  of vectors in a vector space  $V$  is said to be **linearly independent** if they are not linearly dependent, that is, if

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow c_1, \dots, c_n = 0.$$

**Example 0.2.3.** The vectors  $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  are linearly independent. In order to see this, suppose that

$$c_1 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + c_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  must satisfy the  $2 \times 2$  system

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_1 + c_2 &= 0 \\ c_1 + c_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

However, as is easily seen, the only solution of this system is  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . Thus, the two vectors are indeed linearly independent as claimed.

**Example 0.2.4.** Let  $\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2 \in P_1$  be given by

$$\mathbf{p}_1(t) = 2 + t, \quad \mathbf{p}_2(t) = 1 + t.$$

Then  $\mathbf{p}_1$  and  $\mathbf{p}_2$  are linearly independent. In order to see this, suppose that

$$c_1\mathbf{p}_1 + c_2\mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

Then, for all  $t$

$$c_1(2 + t) + c_2(1 + t) = 0,$$

so, for all  $t$

$$(2c_1 + c_2) + (c_1 + c_2)t = 0.$$

Notice that the polynomial on the left-hand side of the above equation will be the zero polynomial if and only if its coefficients vanish, so  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  must satisfy the  $2 \times 2$  system

$$\begin{aligned} 2c_1 + c_2 &= 0 \\ c_1 + c_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

However, as in the previous example, the only solution of this system is  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . Thus  $\mathbf{p}_1$  and  $\mathbf{p}_2$  are indeed linearly independent as claimed.

**Example 0.2.5** (Geometric interpretation of linear independence in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ).

(a) If  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are linearly dependent in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  then

$$c_1\mathbf{x} + c_2\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0},$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are not both 0. If, say  $c_1 \neq 0$ , then

$$\mathbf{x} = -\frac{c_2}{c_1}\mathbf{y}.$$

Thus one of the vectors must be a scalar multiple of the other, or, put differently, the two vectors must be collinear.

Conversely, if two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are not collinear, they are linearly independent.

(b) Just as in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are linearly dependent if and only if they are collinear. Suppose now that  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are two linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Since they are not collinear, they will span a plane (through the origin). If  $\mathbf{z}$  is another vector lying in this plane, then  $\mathbf{0}$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , hence  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$  are linearly dependent. Conversely, if  $\mathbf{z}$  does not lie in the plane spanned by  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ , then  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}$  are linearly independent.

In other words, three vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are linearly independent if and only if they are not coplanar.

**Theorem 0.2.6.** Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  be  $n$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be the matrix whose  $j$ -th column is  $\mathbf{x}_j$ . Then the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are linearly dependent if and only if  $A$  is singular.

*Proof.* The equation

$$c_1\mathbf{x}_1 + \cdots + c_n\mathbf{x}_n = \mathbf{0}$$

can be written as

$$A\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

This system has a non-trivial solution  $\mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{0}$  if and only if  $A$  is singular.  $\square$

**Corollary 0.2.7.** *In the above theorem, the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are linearly independent if and only if  $A$  is invertible, which is equivalent to the fact that  $A$  can be reduced to row echelon form with exactly  $n$  leading columns.*

**Example 0.2.8.** Determine whether the following three vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are linearly independent:

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Solution.* Since

$$\begin{vmatrix} -1 & 5 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 5 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 3 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{vmatrix} \stackrel{R_1 + R_2}{=} \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 5 & 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{vmatrix} \stackrel{R_1 - R_3}{=} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

the vectors are linearly dependent.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.2.9.** *Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  be vectors in a vector space  $V$ . A vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$  can be written uniquely as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  if and only if  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent.*

*Proof.* If  $\mathbf{v} \in \text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n)$  then  $\mathbf{v}$  can be written

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n\mathbf{v}_n, \tag{1}$$

for some scalars  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{v}$  can also be written in the form

$$\mathbf{v} = \beta_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \beta_n\mathbf{v}_n, \tag{2}$$

for some scalars  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ . We start by showing that if  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent, then  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  (that is, the representation

(1) is unique). To see this, suppose that  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent. Then subtracting (2) from (1) gives

$$(\alpha_1 - \beta_1)\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + (\alpha_n - \beta_n)\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{0}, \quad (3)$$

which forces  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  as desired.

Conversely, if the representation (1) is not unique, then there must be a representation of the form (2) where  $\alpha_i \neq \beta_i$  for some  $i$  between 1 and  $n$ . But then (3) means that there exists a non-trivial linear dependence between  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ , so these vectors are linearly dependent.  $\square$

### 0.3 Basis and dimension

The concept of a basis and the related notion of dimension are among the key ideas in the theory vector of spaces, of immense practical and theoretical importance.

**Definition 0.3.1.** A set  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  of vectors forms a *basis* for a vector space  $V$  if

- (i)  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent;
- (ii)  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) = V$ .

In other words, a basis for a vector space is a ‘minimal’ spanning set, in the sense that it contains no superfluous vectors: every vector in  $V$  can be written as a linear combination of the basis vectors (because of property (ii)), and there is no redundancy in the sense that no basis vector can be expressed as a linear combination of the other basis vectors (by property (i)).

**Example 0.3.2.** Let

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{e}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , called the *standard basis*.

Indeed, as is easily seen, every vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  can be written as a linear combination of  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3$  and, moreover, the vectors  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3$  are linearly independent.

**Example 0.3.3.**

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

First, note that the vectors are linearly independent since the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is in echelon form with 3 leading columns. Moreover, the vectors span  $\mathbb{R}^3$  since, if  $(a, b, c)^T$  is an arbitrary vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = (a - b) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + (b - c) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The previous two examples show that a vector space may have more than one basis.

**Example 0.3.4.** Let

$$E_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\{E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{21}, E_{22}\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ , because the four vectors span  $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$  (as was shown in Coursework 5, Exercise 7(b)) and they are linearly independent. To see this, suppose that

$$c_1 E_{11} + c_2 E_{12} + c_3 E_{21} + c_4 E_{22} = O_{2 \times 2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ c_3 & c_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

so  $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0$ .

Most of the vector spaces we have encountered so far have particularly simple bases, termed ‘standard bases’:

**Example 0.3.5** (Standard bases for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $P_n$ ).

$\mathbb{R}^n$ : The  $n$  columns of  $I_n$  form the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , usually denoted by  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ .

$\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ : A canonical basis can be constructed as follows. For  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$  let  $E_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  be the matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is 1, and all other entries are 0. Then  $\{E_{ij} \mid i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n\}$  is the standard basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .

$P_n$ : The standard basis is the collection  $\{\mathbf{p}_0, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n\}$  of all monomials of degree less than  $n$ , that is,

$$\mathbf{p}_k(t) = t^k, \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, n.$$

If this is not clear to you, you should check that it really is a basis!

**Theorem 0.3.6.** *Let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  be vectors in a vector space  $V$ . If  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) = V$ , then any collection of  $m$  vectors in  $V$  where  $m > n$  is linearly dependent.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$  be  $m$  vectors in  $V$  where  $m > n$ . Then, since  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  span  $V$ , we can write

$$\mathbf{u}_i = \alpha_{i1}\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_{in}\mathbf{v}_n \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Thus, a linear combination of the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} c_1\mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + c_m\mathbf{u}_m &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i\mathbf{u}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}\mathbf{v}_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij}c_i \right) \mathbf{v}_j. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the system of  $n$  equations for the  $m$  unknowns  $c_1, \dots, c_m$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij}c_i = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

This is a homogeneous system with more unknowns than equations, so by Theorem ?? it must have a non-trivial solution  $(\hat{c}_1, \dots, \hat{c}_m)^T$ . But then

$$\hat{c}_1\mathbf{u}_1 + \dots + \hat{c}_m\mathbf{u}_m = \sum_{j=1}^n 0\mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{0},$$



so  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$  are linearly dependent.  $\square$

**Corollary 0.3.7.** *If a vector space  $V$  has a basis of  $n$  vectors, then every basis of  $V$  must have exactly  $n$  vectors.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m\}$  are both bases for  $V$ . We shall show that  $m = n$ . In order to see this, notice that, since  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n) = V$  and  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m$  are linearly independent it follows by the previous theorem that  $m \leq n$ . By the same reasoning, since  $\text{Span}(\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_m) = V$  and  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are linearly independent, we must have  $n \leq m$ . So, all in all, we have  $n = m$ , that is, the two bases have the same number of elements.  $\square$

In view of this corollary it now makes sense to talk about *the* number of elements of a basis, and give it a special name:

**Definition 0.3.8.** Let  $V$  be a vector space. If  $V$  has a basis consisting of  $n$  vectors, we say that  $V$  has *dimension*  $n$ , and write  $\dim V = n$ .

The vector space  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$  is said to have dimension 0. The vector space  $V$  is said to be **finite dimensional** if there is a finite set of vectors spanning  $V$ ; otherwise it is said to be **infinite dimensional**.

**Example 0.3.9.** By Example 0.3.5 the vector spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  and  $P_n$  are finite dimensional with dimensions

$$\dim \mathbb{R}^n = n, \quad \dim \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} = mn, \quad \dim P_n = n + 1.$$

As an example of an infinite dimensional vector space, consider the vector space  $P$  of all polynomials with real coefficients. Note that any finite collection of monomials is linearly independent, so  $P$  must be infinite dimensional. For the same reason,  $C[a, b]$  and  $C^1[a, b]$  are infinite dimensional vector spaces.

**Theorem 0.3.10.** *If  $V$  is a vector space with  $\dim V = n$ , then:*

- (a) *any set consisting of  $n$  linearly independent vectors spans  $V$ ;*
- (b) *any  $n$  vectors that span  $V$  are linearly independent.*

*Remark 0.3.11.* The above theorem provides a convenient tool to check whether a set of vectors forms a basis. The theorem tells us that  $n$  linearly independent vectors in an  $n$ -dimensional vector space are automatically spanning, so these vectors are a basis for the vector space. This is often useful in situations where linear independence is easier to check than the spanning property.

## 0.4 Row space and column space

**Definition 0.4.1.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ .

- The subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$  spanned by the row vectors of  $A$  is called the *row space* of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{row}(A)$ .
- The subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$  spanned by the column vectors of  $A$  is called the *column space* of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{col}(A)$ .

**Example 0.4.2.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- Since

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\text{row}(A)$  is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times 3}$ .

- Since

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

$\text{col}(A)$  is a 2-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{2 \times 1}$ .

Notice that the row space and column space of a matrix are generally distinct objects. Indeed, one is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$  the other a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ . However, in the example above, both spaces have the same dimension (namely 2). In fact, this is always the case.

**Theorem 0.4.3.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Then

$$\dim \text{row}(A) = \dim \text{col}(A).$$

**Definition 0.4.4.** The *rank* of a matrix, denoted by  $\text{rank } A$ , is the dimension of the row space (which is the same as the dimension of the column space).

How does one calculate the rank of a matrix? The next result provides the clue:

**Theorem 0.4.5.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Then  $A$  is row equivalent to a matrix  $U$  in echelon form, and the nonzero rows of  $U$  form a basis for  $\text{row}(A)$ .

*Proof.* Apply a sequence of elementary row operations on  $A$  to obtain a matrix  $U$  in echelon form. So  $A$  is row equivalent to  $U$  with  $\text{row}(A) = \text{row}(U)$ .

Let  $R_1, \dots, R_k$  be nonzero rows of  $U$  which clearly span  $\text{row}(U)$ . They form a basis if they are linearly independent. Suppose not, then there exist scalars

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$$

such that

$$\alpha_1 R_1 + \dots + \alpha_k R_k = \mathbf{0}.$$

Let  $\alpha_j$  be the first nonzero scalar. Then

$$\alpha_j R_j + \alpha_{j+1} R_{j+1} + \dots + \alpha_k R_k = \mathbf{0}.$$

But  $R_{j+1}, R_{j+2}, \dots, R_k$  all start with more zeros than  $R_j$  which implies  $\alpha_j = 0$ , giving a contradiction.

Hence  $R_1, \dots, R_k$  are linearly independent and form a basis.  $\square$

To find a basis for the row space and the rank of a matrix  $A$ :

- bring matrix to row echelon form  $U$ ;
- the nonzero rows of  $U$  will form a basis for  $\text{row}(A)$ ;
- the number of nonzero rows of  $U$  equals  $\text{rank } A$ .

**Example 0.4.6.** Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & -5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 2 & -5 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\{(1 \ -3 \ 2), (0 \ 1 \ -1)\}$$

is a basis for  $\text{row}(A)$ , and  $\text{rank } A = 2$ .

To find a basis for the column space of a matrix  $A$ :

- bring  $A$  to row echelon form and identify the leading variables;
- the columns of  $A$  containing the leading variables form a basis for  $\text{col}(A)$ .

**Example 0.4.7.** Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 & 7 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the row echelon form of  $A$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The leading variables are in columns 1, 2, and 4. Thus a basis for  $\text{col}(A)$  is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

It turns out that the rank of a matrix  $A$  is intimately connected with the dimension of its nullspace  $N(A)$ . Before formulating this relation, we require some more terminology:

**Definition 0.4.8.** If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , then  $\dim N(A)$  is called the **nullity** of  $A$ , and is denoted by  $\text{nul } A$ .

**Example 0.4.9.** Find the nullity of the matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 6 & -1 & 1 & -7 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 & 3 & -1 \\ 2 & -4 & 5 & 8 & -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Solution.* Reduce  $A$  to row echelon form  $U$  and then using back substitution to solve  $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , giving

$$N(A) = \{ \alpha \mathbf{x}_1 + \beta \mathbf{x}_2 + \gamma \mathbf{x}_3 \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \},$$

where

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3$  are linearly independent, so  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3\}$  is a basis for  $N(A)$ . Thus,  $\text{nul } A = 3$ .  $\square$

**Note that in the above example the nullity of  $A$  is equal to the number of free variables of the system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . This is no coincidence, but true always!**

The connection between the rank and nullity of a matrix, alluded to above, is the content of the following beautiful theorem.

**Theorem 0.4.10** (Rank-Nullity Theorem). *If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , then*

$$\text{rank } A + \text{nul } A = n.$$

*Proof.* Bring  $A$  to row echelon form  $U$ . Write  $r = \text{rank } A$ . Now observe that  $U$  has  $r$  non-zero rows, hence  $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has  $n - r$  free variables, so  $\text{nul } A = n - r$ .  $\square$