

## 0.1 Determinants

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a  $2 \times 2$  matrix. Recall that the determinant of  $A$  was defined by

$$\det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{21}a_{12}. \quad (1)$$

**Notation 0.1.1.** For any  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , let  $A_{ij}$  denote the submatrix formed by deleting the  $i$ -th row and the  $j$ -th column of  $A$ . We call  $A_{ij}$  the  $(i, j)$ -minor of  $A$ .

**Warning:** This notation differs from the one used in the course text

**Example 0.1.2.** If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 5 & -1 \\ -2 & 9 & 0 & 6 \\ 7 & -2 & -3 & 1 \\ 4 & -5 & 8 & -4 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$A_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & -1 \\ 7 & -2 & 1 \\ 4 & -5 & -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Definition 0.1.3.** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. The *determinant* of  $A$ , written  $\det(A)$ , is defined as follows:

- If  $n = 1$ , then  $\det(A) = a_{11}$ .
- If  $n > 1$  then  $\det(A)$  is the sum of  $n$  terms of the form  $\pm a_{i1} \det(A_{i1})$ , with plus and minus signs alternating, and where the entries  $a_{11}, a_{21}, \dots, a_{n1}$  are from the first column of  $A$ . In symbols:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= a_{11} \det(A_{11}) - a_{21} \det(A_{21}) + \cdots + (-1)^{n+1} a_{n1} \det(A_{n1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} a_{i1} \det(A_{i1}). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 0.1.4.** Compute the determinant of

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7 & -5 \\ -2 & 9 & 6 & -8 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Solution.*

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 7 & -5 \\ -2 & 9 & 6 & -8 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = -(-2) \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 7 & -5 \\ 0 & -3 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \cdot 3 \begin{vmatrix} 7 & -5 \\ -3 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot [7 \cdot 2 - (-3) \cdot (-5)] = -6.$$

□

**Definition 0.1.5.** Given a square matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , the  $(i, j)$ -**cofactor** of  $A$  is the number  $C_{ij}$  defined by

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ij}).$$

Thus, the definition of  $\det(A)$  reads

$$\det(A) = a_{11}C_{11} + a_{21}C_{21} + \cdots + a_{n1}C_{n1}.$$

This is called the **cofactor expansion down the first column of  $A$** .

**Theorem 0.1.6** (Cofactor Expansion Theorem). *The determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  can be computed by a cofactor expansion across any column or row. The expansion down the  $j$ -th column is*

$$\det(A) = a_{1j}C_{1j} + a_{2j}C_{2j} + \cdots + a_{nj}C_{nj}$$

and the cofactor expansion across the  $i$ -th row is

$$\det(A) = a_{i1}C_{i1} + a_{i2}C_{i2} + \cdots + a_{in}C_{in}.$$

**Example 0.1.7.** Use a cofactor expansion across the second row to compute  $\det(A)$ , where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Solution.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(A) &= a_{21}C_{21} + a_{22}C_{22} + a_{23}C_{23} \\
 &= (-1)^{2+1}a_{21}\det(A_{21}) + (-1)^{2+2}a_{22}\det(A_{22}) + (-1)^{2+3}a_{23}\det(A_{23}) \\
 &= -0\begin{vmatrix} -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 7 \end{vmatrix} + 0\begin{vmatrix} 4 & 3 \\ 1 & 7 \end{vmatrix} - 2\begin{vmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= -2[4 \cdot 0 - 1 \cdot (-1)] = -2.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 0.1.8.** *If  $A$  is either an upper or a lower triangular matrix, then  $\det(A)$  is the product of the diagonal entries of  $A$ .*

## 0.2 Properties of determinants

**Theorem 0.2.1.** *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix.*

- (a) *If two rows of  $A$  are interchanged to produce  $B$ , then  $\det(B) = -\det(A)$ .*
- (b) *If one row of  $A$  is multiplied by  $\alpha$  to produce  $B$ , then  $\det(B) = \alpha \det(A)$ .*
- (c) *If a multiple of one row of  $A$  is added to another row to produce a matrix  $B$  then  $\det(B) = \det(A)$ .*

**Example 0.2.2.** Compute

$$\begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 & -6 \\ -6 & 7 & -7 & 4 \\ -5 & -8 & 0 & 9 \end{vmatrix}.$$

*Solution.* Perhaps the easiest way to compute this determinant is to spot that when adding two times row 1 to row 3 we get two identical rows, which, by another application of the previous theorem, implies that the determinant

is zero:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 & -6 \\ -6 & 7 & -7 & 4 \\ -5 & -8 & 0 & 9 \end{vmatrix} &= R_3 + 2R_1 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 & -6 \\ -5 & -8 & 0 & 9 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= R_3 - R_2 \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 & 2 & -5 \\ 0 & 5 & -3 & -6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -5 & -8 & 0 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by a cofactor expansion across the third row.  $\square$

**Theorem 0.2.3.** *A matrix  $A$  is invertible if and only if  $\det(A) \neq 0$ .*

**Definition 0.2.4.** A square matrix  $A$  is called **singular** if  $\det(A) = 0$ . Otherwise it is said to be **nonsingular**.

**Corollary 0.2.5.** *A matrix is invertible if and only if it is nonsingular*

**Theorem 0.2.6.** *If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix, then  $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$ .*

*Proof.* We prove by induction. The statement is clearly true for  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A$ . Suppose the statement is true for  $k \times k$  matrices  $A$ . This is the Inductive Hypothesis. We show that the statement is also true for  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ -matrices  $A$ .

We fix some notation first. Write  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $A^T = (a_{ij}^t)$  with  $a_{ij}^t = a_{ji}$ . Observe that

$$A_{ij} = (A_{ji}^T)^T.$$

Now let  $A$  be a  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ -matrix. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a_{ij} (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a_{ij} (-1)^{i+j} \det (A_{ji}^T)^T \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a_{ij} (-1)^{i+j} \det A_{ji}^T \quad (\text{by Inductive Hypothesis since } A_{ji}^T \text{ is } k \times k) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} a_{ji}^t (-1)^{j+i} \det A_{ji}^T \\ &= \det A^T. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

By the previous theorem, each statement of the theorem on the behaviour of determinants under row operations (Theorem 0.2.1) is also true if the word ‘row’ is replaced by ‘column’, since a row operation on  $A^T$  amounts to a column operation on  $A$ .

**Theorem 0.2.7.** *Let  $A$  be a square matrix.*

- (a) *If two columns of  $A$  are interchanged to produce  $B$ , then  $\det(B) = -\det(A)$ .*
- (b) *If one column of  $A$  is multiplied by  $\alpha$  to produce  $B$ , then  $\det(B) = \alpha \det(A)$ .*
- (c) *If a multiple of one column of  $A$  is added to another column to produce a matrix  $B$  then  $\det(B) = \det(A)$ .*

**Theorem 0.2.8.** *If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $E$  an elementary  $n \times n$  matrix, then*

$$\det(EA) = \det(E) \det(A)$$

with

$$\det(E) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } E \text{ is of type I (interchanging two rows)} \\ \alpha & \text{if } E \text{ is of type II (multiplying a row by } \alpha) \\ 1 & \text{if } E \text{ is of type III (adding a multiple of one row to another)} \end{cases} .$$

**Theorem 0.2.9.** *If  $A$  and  $B$  are square matrices of the same size, then*

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B) .$$

*Proof.* Case I: If  $A$  is not invertible, then neither is  $AB$ , for otherwise  $A(B(AB)^{-1}) = I$ . Thus, by Theorem 0.2.3,

$$\det(AB) = 0 = 0 \cdot \det(B) = \det(A) \det(B) .$$

Case II: If  $A$  is invertible, then by the Invertible Matrix Theorem  $A$  is a product of elementary matrices, that is, there exist elementary matrices  $E_1, \dots, E_k$ , such that

$$A = E_k E_{k-1} \cdots E_1 .$$

For brevity, write  $|A|$  for  $\det(A)$ . Then, by the previous theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} |AB| &= |E_k \cdots E_1 B| = |E_k| |E_{k-1} \cdots E_1 B| = \dots \\ &= |E_k| \cdots |E_1| |B| = \dots = |E_k \cdots E_1| |B| \\ &= |A| |B|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $C_{ij}$  be the  $(i, j)$ -cofactor of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ . We define the **adjugate** of  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{adj } A$ , to be the following matrix of cofactors (note that the order of the indices is reversed!) :

$$\text{adj } A = (C_{ji}) = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{21} & \cdots & C_{n1} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ C_{1n} & C_{2n} & \cdots & C_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

**Theorem 0.2.10** (Inverse Formula). *Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix. Then*

$$A(\text{adj } A) = (\det A)I$$

where  $I$  is the identity matrix. Further, if  $A$  is invertible, then

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \text{adj}(A).$$

**Example 0.2.11.** Find the inverse of the following matrix using the Inverse Formula

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 & -1 \\ -2 & -6 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* First we need to calculate the 9 cofactors of  $A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C_{11} &= + \begin{vmatrix} -6 & 0 \\ 4 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = 18, & C_{12} &= - \begin{vmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 1 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = -6, & C_{13} &= + \begin{vmatrix} -2 & -6 \\ 1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = -2, \\ C_{21} &= - \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 4 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = 5, & C_{22} &= + \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -3 \end{vmatrix} = -2, & C_{23} &= - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = -1, \\ C_{31} &= + \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -6 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -6, & C_{32} &= - \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 2, & C_{33} &= + \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ -2 & -6 \end{vmatrix} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{adj}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 18 & 5 & -6 \\ -6 & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and since  $\det(A) = 2$ , we have

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & \frac{5}{2} & -3 \\ -3 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

### 0.3 Vector spaces

In this chapter, we will study abstract vector spaces. Roughly speaking a vector space is a mathematical structure on which an operation of addition and an operation of scalar multiplication is defined, and we require these operations to obey a number of algebraic rules. We have already encountered examples of vector spaces in this module. Recall that  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the collection of all  $n$ -vectors. On  $\mathbb{R}^n$  two operations were defined:

- *addition*: if

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

then  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$  is the  $n$ -vector given by

$$\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + y_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n + y_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

- *scalar multiplication*: if

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \text{ is a scalar}$$

then  $\alpha\mathbf{x}$  is the  $n$ -vector given by

$$\alpha\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha x_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

After these operations were defined, it turned out that they satisfy a number of rules. We are now going to turn this process on its head. That is, we start from a set on which two operations are defined, we *postulate* that these operations satisfy certain rules, and we call the resulting structure a ‘vector space’:



**Definition 0.3.1.** A **vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$** , or a **real vector space**, is a non-empty set  $V$ , equipped with two operations which are mappings

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in V \times V \mapsto \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in V, \quad (\alpha, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathbb{R} \times V \mapsto \alpha \mathbf{u} \in V,$$

called respectively *addition* and *scalar multiplication*, satisfying the following axioms:

- (C1) the sum of  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ , is in  $V$ ;
- (C2) the scalar multiple of  $\mathbf{u}$  by  $\alpha$ , denoted by  $\alpha \mathbf{u}$ , is in  $V$ ;
- (A1)  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}$ ;
- (A2)  $\mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w}) = (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) + \mathbf{w}$ ;
- (A3) there is an element  $\mathbf{0}$  in  $V$  such that  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{u}$ ;
- (A4) for each  $\mathbf{u}$  in  $V$  there is an element  $-\mathbf{u}$  in  $V$  such that  $\mathbf{u} + (-\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$ ;
- (A5)  $\alpha(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = \alpha \mathbf{u} + \alpha \mathbf{v}$ ;
- (A6)  $(\alpha + \beta)\mathbf{u} = \alpha \mathbf{u} + \beta \mathbf{u}$ ;
- (A7)  $(\alpha\beta)\mathbf{u} = \alpha(\beta \mathbf{u})$ ;
- (A8)  $1\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}$

for all  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$  in  $V$  and all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The elements in  $V$  are called **vectors**, and we usually write them using bold letters  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ , etc. The numbers in  $\mathbb{R}$  are called the **scalars**.

If, in the above definition, the scalar field  $\mathbb{R}$  is replaced by the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , then we call  $V$  a *vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$* , or a *complex vector space*.

Throughout, by a vector space  $V$ , we shall mean either a **real** or a **complex** vector space  $V$ .

**Example 0.3.2.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$  denote the set of all  $m \times n$  matrices. Define addition and scalar multiplication of matrices in the usual way. Then  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$  is a real vector space.

**Example 0.3.3.** Let  $P_n$  denote the set of all real polynomials with real coefficients of degree less than  $n$ . Thus, an element  $\mathbf{p}$  in  $P_n$  is of the form

$$\mathbf{p}(t) = a_0 + a_1t + a_2t^2 + \cdots + a_nt^n,$$

where the coefficients  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  and the variable  $t$  are real numbers.

Define addition and scalar multiplication on  $P_n$  as follows: if  $\mathbf{q} \in P_n$  is given by

$$\mathbf{q}(t) = b_0 + b_1t + b_2t^2 + \cdots + b_nt^n,$$

$\mathbf{p}$  is as above and  $\alpha$  a scalar, then

- $\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$  is the polynomial

$$(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q})(t) = (a_0 + b_0) + (a_1 + b_1)t + \cdots + (a_n + b_n)t^n$$

- $\alpha\mathbf{p}$  is the polynomial

$$(\alpha\mathbf{p})(t) = (\alpha a_0) + (\alpha a_1)t + \cdots + (\alpha a_n)t^n.$$

Note that (C1) and (C2) clearly hold, since if  $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in P_n$  and  $\alpha$  is a scalar, then  $\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}$  and  $\alpha\mathbf{p}$  are again polynomials of degree less than  $n$ . Axiom (A1) holds since if  $\mathbf{p}$  and  $\mathbf{q}$  are as above, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q})(t) &= (a_0 + b_0) + (a_1 + b_1)t + \cdots + (a_n + b_n)t^n \\ &= (b_0 + a_0) + (b_1 + a_1)t + \cdots + (b_n + a_n)t^n \\ &= (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p})(t) \end{aligned}$$

so  $\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p}$ . A similar calculation shows that (A2) holds. Axiom (A3) holds if we let  $\mathbf{0}$  be the zero polynomial, that is

$$\mathbf{0}(t) = 0 + 0 \cdot t + \cdots + 0 \cdot t^n,$$

since then  $(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{0})(t) = \mathbf{p}(t)$ , that is,  $\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{p}$ . Axiom (A4) holds if, given  $\mathbf{p} \in P_n$  we set  $-\mathbf{p} = (-1)\mathbf{p}$ , since then

$$(\mathbf{p} + (-\mathbf{p}))(t) = (a_0 - a_0) + (a_1 - a_1)t + \cdots + (a_n - a_n)t^n = \mathbf{0}(t),$$

that is  $\mathbf{p} + (-\mathbf{p}) = \mathbf{0}$ . The remaining axioms are easily verified as well, using familiar properties of real numbers.

**Example 0.3.4.** Let  $C[a, b]$  denote the set of all real-valued functions that are defined and continuous on the closed interval  $[a, b]$ . For  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in C[a, b]$  and  $\alpha$  a scalar, define  $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g}$  and  $\alpha\mathbf{f}$  *pointwise*, that is, by

$$(\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g})(t) = \mathbf{f}(t) + \mathbf{g}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b]$$

$$(\alpha\mathbf{f})(t) = \alpha\mathbf{f}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b]$$

Equipped with these operations,  $C[a, b]$  is a vector space. The closure axiom (C1) holds because the sum of two continuous functions on  $[a, b]$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , and (C2) holds because a constant times a continuous function on  $[a, b]$  is again continuous on  $[a, b]$ . Axiom (A1) holds as well, since for all  $t \in [a, b]$

$$(\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g})(t) = \mathbf{f}(t) + \mathbf{g}(t) = \mathbf{g}(t) + \mathbf{f}(t) = (\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{f})(t),$$

so  $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{f}$ . Axiom (A3) is satisfied if we let  $\mathbf{0}$  be the zero function,

$$\mathbf{0}(t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b],$$

since then

$$(\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{0})(t) = \mathbf{f}(t) + \mathbf{0}(t) = \mathbf{f}(t) + 0 = \mathbf{f}(t),$$

so  $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{f}$ . Axiom (A4) holds if, given  $\mathbf{f} \in C[a, b]$ , we let  $-\mathbf{f}$  be the function

$$(-\mathbf{f})(t) = -\mathbf{f}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b],$$

since then

$$(\mathbf{f} + (-\mathbf{f}))(t) = \mathbf{f}(t) + (-\mathbf{f})(t) = \mathbf{f}(t) - \mathbf{f}(t) = 0 = \mathbf{0}(t),$$

that is,  $\mathbf{f} + (-\mathbf{f}) = \mathbf{0}$ . We leave it as an exercise to verify the remaining axioms.

We shall now derive a number of elementary properties of vector spaces.

**Theorem 0.3.5.** *If  $V$  is a vector space and  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are elements in  $V$ , then*

(a)  $0\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ ;

(b) if  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$  then  $\mathbf{v} = -\mathbf{u}$ ;<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>In the language of MTH4104 (Introduction to Algebra) this statement says that the additive inverse is unique.

(c)  $(-1)\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{u}$ .

*Proof.* (a) We start by observing that

$$\mathbf{u} \stackrel{(A8)}{=} 1\mathbf{u} = (0 + 1)\mathbf{u} \stackrel{(A6)}{=} 0\mathbf{u} + 1\mathbf{u} \stackrel{(A8)}{=} 0\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}. \quad (3)$$

Now, by (A4), there is an element  $-\mathbf{u} \in V$  such that

$$\mathbf{u} + (-\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (4)$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{0} \stackrel{(4)}{=} \mathbf{u} + (-\mathbf{u}) \stackrel{(3)}{=} (0\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}) + (-\mathbf{u}) \stackrel{(A2)}{=} 0\mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} + (-\mathbf{u})) \stackrel{(4)}{=} 0\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{0} \stackrel{(A3)}{=} 0\mathbf{u}.$$

(b) Suppose that  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{u} \stackrel{(A3)}{=} -\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{0} &= -\mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) \stackrel{(A2)}{=} (-\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{v} \\ &\stackrel{(A1)}{=} (\mathbf{u} + (-\mathbf{u})) + \mathbf{v} \stackrel{(A4)}{=} \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{v} \stackrel{(A1)}{=} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{0} \stackrel{(A3)}{=} \mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Notice that

$$\mathbf{0} \stackrel{(a)}{=} 0\mathbf{u} = (1 + (-1))\mathbf{u} \stackrel{(A6)}{=} 1\mathbf{u} + (-1)\mathbf{u} \stackrel{(A8)}{=} \mathbf{u} + (-1)\mathbf{u},$$

so, by (b), we conclude that  $(-1)\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{u}$ . □

## 0.4 Subspaces

**Definition 0.4.1.** A nonempty subset  $H$  of a vector space  $V$  is called a *subspace* of  $V$  if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- (i) if  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in H$ , then  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in H$ ;
- (ii) if  $\mathbf{u} \in H$  and  $\alpha$  is a scalar, then  $\alpha\mathbf{u} \in H$ .

**Theorem 0.4.2.** *Let  $H$  be a subspace of a vector space  $V$ . Then  $H$  with addition and scalar multiplication inherited from  $V$  is a vector space in its own right.*

*Remark 0.4.3.* If  $V$  is a vector space, then  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $V$  are clearly subspaces of  $V$ . All other subspaces are said to be **proper subspaces** of  $V$ . We call  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$  the **zero subspace** of  $V$ .

**Example 0.4.4.** Show that the following are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

- (a)  $L = \{ (r, s, t)^T \mid r, s, t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } r = s = t \}$ ;<sup>2</sup>  
 (b)  $P = \{ (r, s, t)^T \mid r, s, t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } r - s + 3t = 0 \}$ .

*Solution.* (a) Notice that an arbitrary element in  $L$  is of the form  $r(1, 1, 1)^T$  for some real number  $r$ . Thus, in particular,  $L$  is not empty, since  $(0, 0, 0)^T \in L$ . In order to check that  $L$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we need to check that conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 0.4.1 are satisfied.

We start with condition (i). Let  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  belong to  $L$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}_1 = r_1(1, 1, 1)^T$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2 = r_2(1, 1, 1)^T$  for some real numbers  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , so

$$\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 = r_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + r_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = (r_1 + r_2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in L.$$

Thus condition (i) holds.

We now check condition (ii). Let  $\mathbf{x} \in L$  and let  $\alpha$  be a real number. Then  $\mathbf{x} = r(1, 1, 1)^T$  for some real number  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , so

$$\alpha \mathbf{x} = \alpha r \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in L.$$

Thus condition (ii) holds.

Let's summarise: the non-empty set  $L$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii), that is, it is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, hence  $L$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as claimed.

(b) In order to see that  $P$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we first note that  $(0, 0, 0)^T \in P$ , so  $P$  is not empty.

Next we check condition (i). Let  $\mathbf{x}_1 = (r_1, s_1, t_1)^T \in P$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2 = (r_2, s_2, t_2)^T \in P$ . Then  $r_1 - s_1 + 3t_1 = 0$  and  $r_2 - s_2 + 3t_2 = 0$ , so

$$\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 + r_2 \\ s_1 + s_2 \\ t_1 + t_2 \end{pmatrix} \in P,$$

---

<sup>2</sup>In order to save paper, hence trees and thus do our bit to prevent climate change, we shall sometimes write  $n$ -vectors  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  in the form  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)^T$ . So, for example,

$$(2, 3, 1)^T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

since  $(r_1+r_2)-(s_1+s_2)+3(t_1+t_2) = (r_1-s_1+3t_1)+(r_2-s_2+3t_2) = 0+0 = 0$ . Thus condition (i) holds.

We now check condition (ii). Let  $\mathbf{x} = (r, s, t)^T \in P$  and let  $\alpha$  be a scalar. Then  $r - s + 3t = 0$  and

$$\alpha\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha r \\ \alpha s \\ \alpha t \end{pmatrix} \in P$$

since  $\alpha r - \alpha s + 3\alpha t = \alpha(r - s + 3t) = 0$ . Thus condition (ii) holds as well.

As  $P$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication,  $P$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as claimed.  $\square$

*Remark 0.4.5.* In the example above the two subspaces  $L$  and  $P$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  can also be thought of as geometric objects. More precisely,  $L$  can be interpreted geometrically as a line through the origin with direction vector  $(1, 1, 1)^T$ , while  $P$  can be interpreted as a plane through the origin with normal vector  $(1, -1, 3)^T$ .

More generally, all proper subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  can be interpreted geometrically as either lines or planes through the origin. Similarly, all proper subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can be interpreted geometrically as lines through the origin.

**Example 0.4.6.** Let  $H = \{ \mathbf{f} \in C[-2, 2] \mid \mathbf{f}(1) = 0 \}$ . Then  $H$  is a subspace of  $C[-2, 2]$ . First observe that the zero function is in  $H$ , so  $H$  is not empty. Next we check that the closure properties are satisfied.

Let  $\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \in H$ . Then  $\mathbf{f}(1) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{g}(1) = 0$ , so

$$(\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g})(1) = \mathbf{f}(1) + \mathbf{g}(1) = 0 + 0 = 0,$$

so  $\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{g} \in H$ . Thus  $H$  is closed under addition.

Let  $\mathbf{f} \in H$  and  $\alpha$  be a real number. Then  $\mathbf{f}(1) = 0$  and

$$(\alpha\mathbf{f})(1) = \alpha\mathbf{f}(1) = \alpha \cdot 0 = 0,$$

so  $\alpha\mathbf{f} \in H$ . Thus  $H$  is closed under scalar multiplication.

Since  $H$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication it is a subspace of  $C[-2, 2]$  as claimed.

**Definition 0.4.7.** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ . Then

$$N(A) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0} \}$$

is called the *nullspace* of  $A$ .

**Theorem 0.4.8.** If  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , then  $N(A)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Clearly  $\mathbf{0} \in N(A)$ , so  $N(A)$  is not empty.

If  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in N(A)$  then  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $A\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ , so

$$A(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = A\mathbf{x} + A\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0},$$

and hence  $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y} \in N(A)$ .

Furthermore, if  $\mathbf{x} \in N(A)$  and  $\alpha$  is a real number then  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  and

$$A(\alpha\mathbf{x}) = \alpha(A\mathbf{x}) = \alpha\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0},$$

so  $\alpha\mathbf{x} \in N(A)$ .

Thus  $N(A)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as claimed.  $\square$

**Example 0.4.9.** Determine  $N(A)$  for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 6 & -1 & 1 & -7 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 & 3 & -1 \\ 2 & -4 & 5 & 8 & -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Solution.* We need to find the solution set of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . To do this you can use your favourite method to solve linear systems. Perhaps the fastest one is to bring the augmented matrix  $(A|\mathbf{0})$  to reduced row echelon form and write the leading variables in terms of the free variables. In our case, we have

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccccc|c} -3 & 6 & -1 & 1 & -7 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 2 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & -4 & 5 & 8 & -4 & 0 \end{array} \right) \sim \dots \sim \left( \begin{array}{ccccc|c} 1 & -2 & 0 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

The leading variables are  $x_1$  and  $x_3$ , and the free variables are  $x_2$ ,  $x_4$  and  $x_5$ . Now setting  $x_2 = \alpha$ ,  $x_4 = \beta$  and  $x_5 = \gamma$  we find  $x_3 = -2x_4 + 2x_5 = -2\beta + 2\gamma$  and  $x_1 = 2x_2 + x_4 - 3x_5 = 2\alpha + \beta - 3\gamma$ . Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha + \beta - 3\gamma \\ \alpha \\ -2\beta + 2\gamma \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

hence

$$N(A) = \left\{ \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \beta \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \gamma \begin{pmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

□

## 0.5 Direct sum of subspaces

Let  $V$  be a real or complex vector space. Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be subspaces of  $V$ . We say that  $V$  is a *direct sum* of  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  if

$$V = W_1 + W_2 \quad \text{and} \quad W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

We denote this by  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ . The first condition above implies that each vector  $v \in V$  can be expressed as a sum of a vector  $w_1$  in  $W_1$  and a vector  $w_2 \in W_2$ . However, the second condition above implies that there is **only one way** of writing  $v$  as a sum  $w_1 + w_2$  with  $w_1 \in W_1$  and  $w_2 \in W_2$ . Indeed, if  $v = v_1 + v_2$  with  $v_1 \in W_1$  and  $v_2 \in W_2$ , then we have  $w_1 - v_1 = v_2 - w_2 \in W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  which implies

$$w_1 = v_1 \quad \text{and} \quad w_2 = v_2.$$

Conversely, if each  $v \in V$  can be written uniquely as a sum  $w_1 + w_2$  with  $w_1 \in W_1$  and  $w_2 \in W_2$ , we must have  $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ .