

(D)s and (R)s

If tasked with characterizing the "souls" of the two major American political parties as they exist today — in a single descriptive word for each — I'd say without hesitation that the (D)s are all about *control*, and the (R)s are all about *careers*. Given a two-word limit, I'd go with **total** *control* and **political** *careers*.

[For my opinions already proffered in print, and as background for this piece, please see my **ATHEOS**: 6 PATHS TO DE-STRUCTION, Volume I, **A**THEISM/ AGNOSTICISM-**T**OTALITARIANISM-**H**UMANISM, especially pages 46-95; free PDF download available on Home page]

Now to the core messages of *this* essay. Tyrannical totalitarians as they really are, the *Demo-rats* (from the Greek *demos* for "people" and *rats* for the plural form of the English word for detestable rodents), will do absolutely anything to obtain, expand, and keep absolute power over everything and everyone. Power, of course, means control, and total control over a godless universe is always the goal of the totalist Democrat. Oh, here and there, *in election years*, you'll hear some D-rat lip-service paid to God, but only for self-serving, *un*godly purposes, and *always in deception*. For the *de facto* god of the (D) party is big, all-controlling Government, run by the self-appointed/anointed elite (that would be *them*). In the Jackass-party universe, God does not sit on His rightful throne, nor do *His* laws hold sway. In fact, taking their cues from their major prophet, Evolution, "God" does not even exist, so the only laws that *could* exist are the ones that the elite invent and install, albeit on an *ever-evolving* basis. But the Bible teaches that a shifting basis is no basis at all (Luke 6:46-49), and that the house (or nation or society, by logical extension) that's built without God is built in vain (Psalm 127, verse 1).

3

Now, if you know the true history of America's founding, you'll probably recall that one of the secularists' heroes, the deist Ben Franklin, quoted that Bible verse (among others) when he urged that prayer *to God* be made in order to seek *His* help in *forming* the government. Question: what legitimate god was ever *made, formed* by another? The Bible calls that idolatry, and so it is. The Government-worshiping (D)s show themselves to be rank idolaters in this.

But Franklin can also link us to the shame of the current (R) party, which I'm nowadays compelled to dub, the *Repulsicans*. When Franklin was asked by an American citizen about the nature of the new constitutional government, he replied that it was "a *Republic*, if you [posterity/following generations] can keep it." Today's *establishment* Republicans have demonstrated very little interest in keeping the republic, and they pour all of their efforts, it seems to me, into keeping their *jobs* as career politicians. The very idea of a *politician-forlife* would've been repulsive to the founders, and I find it equally so, if not *more* so; I have the advantage of seeing what the vast majority of career politicians look like as a species, and the allbut-inevitable trajectories they pursue once they hit D.C. and drink the Nectar of the Elite. In their minds, the offices to which they were elected by the people became their personal property and HQ for their perpetual wealth-fame-status acquisition-extension machines. I say, "repulsive" for this reason as well, which is a natural corollary: (R) "leadership" — out of fear of the media and the Head Jackass and Usurper-in-Chief, a fraud from head to toe — *eagerly* caves in to the godless Osama Obama and his Thugs, Inc. regime time after time, each time breaking campaign promises, each time abandoning the voters who put them into office and their sworn promises to uphold and defend the Republic.

5

Proof of the personal knowledge among

"public servants" that there is a great tension between responsibly serving the people who do the electing and serving would-be dictators out of a desire for self-preservation (in the name of "bipartisanship") can be found in the resignation of (R) House Majority Leader, John Boehner. That he felt the tension and needed to get out is obvious; I believe that he responded to a remaining shred of conscience. Advice to Mitch Mc-Connell: follow his lead, and do the same. If your allegiance to King Barry or to the Spirit of Compromise supercedes your loyalty (if any) to those who trusted you to properly *represent* them, and to the *God* who built this nation "from scratch" (see **Job 12:14, 23**), get out! By God's grace, we are a republic, a *constitutional, representative* democracy! To you and all of your ilk (I do NOT include the (R)s who *are* responsible *under God*, and for whom I'll still vote), I simply say, "BE true Republicans!"

Dog-whistle Socialists

This is a rather spontaneous reaction and follow-up to a conversation I had yesterday with an observant friend, one of the few people I know who takes the time to seek out the truth and form *informed* opinions on that basis. He, like me, does not rely on either common hearsay (folks talking "over the fence") or the *controlled* hearsay of the mainstream media, the propaganda mouthpieces of the ever-growing, controlling government. We both distrust the career-politician set, too, and in the course of a discussion along those lines, he asked me whether I thought Bernie Sanders was actually a Socialist, and not more or less just an old-style Democrat, but because our *terminology* (political branding/labeling) had changed, Sanders goes by the label, "socialist." (This was not *his* opinion, but this had been suggested to him as a possibility). Because I wasn't part of that particular

discussion, I can't claim to understand the flow of thought involved, but it did prompt my own take, which follows.

I see Bernie Sanders as a card-carrying Socialist (capital 'S'), and I can at least credit him with being *honest* about it. He wears the label with pride and carries the banner at the head of the parade. On the other hand, there's Liarly Clinton, who never met a lie she couldn't use to her own perceived advantage. Like "the One" that falsely and illegally occupies the Oval Office — largely due to his world-class ability to lie, cover the first lies with a new layer, and rearrange the host of lies into one big, overwhelming swarm of lies — Mrs. Clinton is at the head of the "*Dog-whistle* Socialists." I shall explain the term and how it applies.

The term itself ("dog-whistle") has been around for awhile, but I'm not so sure it has been used specifically in the same connection, linking it to "socialists." I am referring to blowing a whistle in order to communicate something to dogs that only dogs can hear, and in this case, communicating in *code-speak* to the Socialist base (voters of that political persuasion, whether *known* as (D)s or not). We naive, clueless God-fearing citizens of any land in *God*'s universe, have the outdated notion rattling around in our brains that we are individuals made in *His* image, and *therefore*, each of us has some unique *personal* value. Socialists — *ALL* socialists, whether declared, big-S (like Sanders) or *decidedly deceptive* small-s (like Komrade Obama/Frank Marshall Davis Junior and the Clintons) have no use for that "individual" nonsense. When a socialist is working a gathering of what you and I might call potential voters (PEOPLE who can vote), the socialist sees not *them*, but their *votes* swirling in mid-air, and they need only to be grabbed! The upshot of these political realities is achingly simple:

9

When potential votes are at stake, out comes the dog whistle. Those who are not dogs (NOT socialists) will hear campaign rhetoric that sounds good, redwhite-and-blue, even "godly" at oppor*tune* times. But the dogs get the real message: every pup in the litter thinks it's one of the favorites, and on the *side* of the ruling elite, eligible for favors. "Go, Mother Dog!" says each one. [think about *that* for a tick or two; get it?] But this mother eats her young and rolls over them if they get in her way. How many times have I said this, and in how many ways? To any power-hungry politician (EVERY socialist, to start with), the living, breathing human beings you and I know, who are capable of rational thought, true passions, and explainable actions driven by both, are only soulless screws in their Power Machines. One final thought: the "Dog-whistle Islamists" are among us, too. Witness he who sits on the throne. Dog-whistle politics have been *doubly* successful, I must say!

10

Four Favorite Founding Fathers

11

Having considered consistent, Bibleshaped (Christian) character and undeniably important contributions to the cause of American independence, I've landed on four personal favorites: Samuel Adams, Patrick Henry, George Washington, and Rev. John Witherspoon. To me, these four best epitomize the kind of man that God, the Ultimate *Founder* (Job 12, Dan 4, 2 Cor 3:17) was pleased to use to the greatest advantage in founding the United States. Washington, the *indispensable* man, without whom — humanly speaking — there would be no USA, but possibly a "West Brittania" under an insurmountable monarchy, is the one we all know about. *Sort* of; we have to sort fact from fiction, and true history from force-fed anachronisms that are driven by current ideologies. At this writing, I'm in the first stage of constructing a George Washington page on my Trutholio website. If the

Lord wills (James 4:15), I will develop that page to the degree that it gives him a semblance of his due recognition before men, while pointing heavenward to God, under whose Providence all transpires, and to whom all glory belongs (Soli Deo Gloria). For the most thorough, *well-documented(!)* treatment of the man and the true nature of his faith, I most heartily recommend the excellent Peter A. Lillback/Jerry Newcombe book, George Washington's Sacred Fire (2006, Providence Forum Press). At nearly 1200 pages, it might scare off some potential readers because of its girth, but it shouldn't, since the arrangement of the book readily lends itself to a researchby-topic approach or intermittent reading. To pass it up would be a mistake for anyone in pursuit of the truth.

On the other hand, little is generally known about the only ordained clergyman to sign the Declaration of Independence, the Reverend John Witherspoon. Witherspoon quite literally personified the unnamed "Presbyterian parson" with whom "Cousin America" had run off, according to British parliamentarian, Horace Walpole. What Walpole and scores of British observers recognized was that the "Black (-robed) Regiment" of American *pastors* — yes, you read that right had led the way into the worthy battle for American independence. A fire based primarily on Biblical teachings about proper and lawful authority and, in turn, to *Whom* obedience was ultimately owed, had been lit and stoked by a growing number of exceedingly influential black-robed, Calvinistic clergymen, and none more so than the earnestlyrecruited president of the College of New Jersey (later, Princeton University), Rev. John Witherspoon. In 1768, he was imported from Scotland, and within a decade, he had become a thoroughgoing liberty-seeking republican, and a kingpin of national influence. The principle architect of our Constitution, and 4th

President under it, James Madison, was just one of *dozens* of students of Witherspoon who went on to national leadership roles: 49 congressmen; 28 senators; 37 judges, including 3 Supreme Court Justices; 10 Cabinet officers, and perhaps most significantly, 12 members of the Continental Congress, right there from "Day One." When you add it all up: Committee of Correspondence member; Congressional delegate *and* its *chaplain*; preacher of the famous, widely-published sermon, "The Dominion of Providence over the Passions of Men (1776); indefatigable service on over 100 Congressional committees, and contributions to their debates and the documents they produced; New Jersey state legislator; professor of Divinity, History, and Moral Philosophy, as well as President of the college that he helped build into a top-level institution, and all of the integral knowledge that he passed on to so many other leaders, not to mention his *highest* calling, that of a *pastor*,

well, let me just say that this, too, was a man greatly used by God in *His* own cause. God built this nation from the ground up, if I may presume to know His will in this matter, in order to:

1) give free reign to *The* Gospel of Jesus Christ in a vast new territory; 2) transform the inhabitants into a cohesive and flourishing society of continued obedience and moral virtue, an example to the world; 3) shower His blessings upon a Christ-centered nation of truly free people, so that they could make good use of their unmatched prosperity in taking The Gospel to all the world (wealth and protection given to us for a good reason!); 4) extend such gifts of unfathomable grace to people of all countries in all succeeding ages, world without end (Eph 3:20-21).

Witherspoon took his part in all of this.

We turn now to those two essential firebrands, Samuel Adams and Patrick Henry, the "Father of the American Revolution," and his Southern counterpart, the orator/voice of the revolution, and major proponent of liberty under God. Adams deserves that title of "father" humanly speaking — because he was among the very first to see what was happening in the colonies under British rule, and more importantly, what could well lie ahead. He connected the theological and political dots and articulated what all of that meant for the benefit of the citizenry, *before* most, and *better* than all. He *acted*, and he acted, like a good Calvinist, with dogged perseverance. If you want to collect Samuel Adams quotes and anecdotes, many of which I'd love to inject into the pages of my website, you can find 'em easily enough; they're all over the web. But I will again recommend a single, definitive work: *Samuel Adams: A Life*, by Ira Stoll (Free Press, 2008). I read this years

16

ago, but I can only count on one hand the number of books that have changed my life so positively. If you want to know what — or *Who* — motivated Samuel Adams, here's your path to the truth. Myths must be dispelled and discarded, and even when the truth is a bit unseemly (we're all sinners, and always capable of less-than-perfect judgment), truth must be told. I believe Mr. Stoll got it right. Get hold of his book and learn.

As you may have noticed, I've dedicated an entire web page to Patrick Henry. In doing so, I'm not saying that Henry was perfect in all his ways either. But did you know that, on an issue which people are likely to use in attacking him — that he was supposedly an unrepentant slaveholder and steadfastly pro-slavery — he wrote the following?

Is it not amazing, that at a time when the rights of humanity are defined and understood with precision in a country above all others fond of liberty, that in such an age and in such a country, we find men professing a religion most humane, mild, meek, gentle and generous, adopting a Principle as repugnant to humanity, as it is inconsistent to the Bible and destructive to liberty?...I will not, I cannot justify it...I believe a time will come when an opportunity will be offered to abolish this lamentable evil.

I've included the above for at least a few good reasons:

- 1) No Founding Father was perfect;
- 2) But no *CURRENT* leader is perfect either, and those who make "race" the all-defining issue are themselves engaging in slavery of the mind; *ideological* slavery prevails, and this era's plantation bullies are enforcing reverence for anything "non-white" or anti-God;

You don't embrace and celebrate each and every (non-white) cultural difference as equally valid? You're in for a flogging. And you have the unmitigated gall to call out homosexuals as practitioners of evil, simply because *God* has called it an abomination? Go to jail, or to the lynching gallows of public scorn (if not a literal capital punishment). You dare to speak against the all-wise, everbenevolent Government? Off to the loony bin or jail with you, too, if not to your execution; Slavery of one horrible kind has been replaced by another that enslaves even more, by the tens of millions. Wake up to this fact, SOON!

3) Patrick Henry and his wife were *given* six slaves and a 300-acre plantation when they wed, and Henry himself *worked with* those people in his employ; I've yet to read that any of them were illtreated or abused, and that could not be said of every 18th Century Southern plantation owner! Like his fellow slaveholder, Gen. Washington, enough of the tenets of Biblical Christianity were sufficiently engrained in Henry so that he came to see the hypocrisy of the situation, despite the great pressure to preserve it. Through his will, Washington freed all of his slaves upon Martha's death, and...did you know this? — he provided for the more elderly and indigent among them with pensions. Both of those actions were very unusual in that era.

- Taken as a group, there is not a pro-slavery champion among these four. Adams and Witherspoon never owned slaves, and both steadfastly opposed the practice in public, and in writing.
- 5) Today's race-baiters and "gay" activists are throwing stones from

a glass house. Oh sure, it seems they've got everything going their way now, but let's look at the long term, when people who fear God more than men wake up en masse. Those stones will come back with a vengeance, and a justified vengeance at that: "Vengeance is Mine, I will repay," says the Lord. (Rom 12:19; Deut 32:35; Heb 10:30). The enemies of God are inevitably destroyed, and in most cases, God acts through His chosen agents, whether warriors or writers, political leaders or Gospel-preachers. So my advice to you who are currently riding a wave of anti-God sentiment is simple: your downfall is coming. You may even "go out on top," but you'll find that you strode right into an irrevocably hopeless eternity of perpetual death. That's the reality, the plain truth. In God's universe, there is no neutral

ground, no "no man's land" or DMZ (de-militarized zone). Either you'll fight on the side of the Lord, or you'll be destroyed along with all of His other enemies. I could cite or quote dozens of Bible passages in support of what I'm here asserting, but if you are Bible-oriented to any degree, you already know these things are true; you're either with God or against Him, as Christ said (Mt 12:30). Once more: if it's God's will to raise up His army from that stuff between your elite toes, that's just what is gonna happen! I'd be more careful about how you treat His soldier-servants, if I were you, enemies of the Almighty!

Conclusion: Each of these four favorites dutifully responded to God's call to action, and He rewarded their faithful, God-honoring efforts with marvelous success. Read and learn: 1 Sam 2:30.

*F*raud

Back there somewhere in the last third of 2008, I walked through the back hallways of the Walmart store where I then worked, singing (I use the term loosely) this ditty, to the tune of the jingle, "RICE-a-Roni, the San Francisco Treat": "Ba-RACH [as if clearing the throat] Obama, he's a fraud from head to toe. Ba-RACH Obama, he's one you'll never *know*!" That was the whole "song," and I think at least a couple of my co-workers might still recall that I did in fact use those very words. And today, I stand by them 100%, on *both* counts: "Barack Hussein Obama *II*" (it's NOT *Junior*, and that affords a clue in itself), IS a worldclass F-R-A-U-D by any reasonable measure, and he IS someone the American public will never come to know, because that's the way he and all of his gang want it. When I did that little ditty, I was not even familiar with the "birther" brouhaha, nor is it *central* to my argument now. For the record, I'm convinced from all of the *available* evidence (more on this a bit later), that there's only a very slim chance that he was actually born in Hawaii; the paper trail, forensics, and logistical dot-connecting all point to a birth *in Kenya*, as attested by his "paternal grandmother" herself (before she had her own story changed for her; another clue). If that was the case, neither his "father" — not an American citizen, but a Kenyan under British colonial rule at the time, so a *British* citizen — nor his mother — not yet age 19, so *too young* to confer American citizenship upon her son, under U.S. Code Section 301(a)(7), which applies to births on foreign soil — could make this child an automatic American citizen. [I know, I know..., this is getting into the deep woods, but the fact is that these statutes applied to the situation in 1961, so they are parts of the whole puzzle that simply must be taken into consideration; just hang in there!]

So if this baby was born outside of American soil, there was no way to claim natural-born status. Strike *one*, but *only* strike one, because there is a way that his father *could* have conferred American citizenship on him at his birth after all: *if* his father was actually *Frank Marshall Davis*, friend of the Dunham family, FBI-monitored Communist, and, ohby-the-way, a photographer who took pictures of a nude Ann Dunham (she became pregnant right about that time with the child we're talking about here; something to think about, isn't it?).

So that's where I can see the "born in Hawaii" claim holding up, and the *necessity* for the flurry of fact-shuffling, evidence destruction, and the whole leakplugging cover-up. That is to say, maybe there *was* an authentic long-form Birth Certificate at one time, but it identified the *real* father, and he was NOT Barack Obama (I); it didn't fit the manufactured narrative, so it had to "get lost." Keep in mind: having FMD, radical, card-carrying Communist, for a father still can't be seen as anything other than a net political liability. Here enters the bogus Certification of Live Birth, deemed to be clearly fraudulent by *several independent* forensics experts, that photocopy of a piece of paper that materialized with details that better suited the new narrative. It should be noted also that the COLB does *not* rise to the level of equal legal status with the long-form Birth Certificate: just another FYI, another thing to keep in mind. Anyway, there's a short description of the angles in the "birther" controversy, but if it sounds like I've fully subscribed to any particular conspiracy theory, I haven't. However, I will say this: I *think* that at least one of the following is true;

- 1. "BHO II" was born in Kenya, or
- "FMD Jr." was born in Hawaii, and he was passed off as the son of BHO (I) to hide the identity of the real father, a Communist,

and a lecherous, *married* man, one Frank Marshall Davis

What makes the most sense to me is that the child was conceived in Hawaii by FMD, and to give credence to the coverup story, it was decided that mother Ann Dunham would travel to Kenya to give birth in the *purported* father's home country, where the "grandmother" could personally eyeball the newborn child. The Dunhams (Grandpa, Grandma, Mother) apparently seemed to think that this would "cement" the various parts of the cover story together. Once again, all of that is what I *think*.

But here's what we *know* for sure: when Ann Dunham "RE-married" (that's another whole can of worms, but never mind now), her Indonesian husband legally adopted her son, and he became *Barry Soetoro,* and by Indonesian law, a citizen of Indonesia...ONLY. Dual citizenship was not allowed, so at that time Soetoro/Obama had to have forfeited his citizenship as an American, if he had indeed ever had it! Were you aware of *that* little wrinkle? Well, carry that knowledge forward four decades and apply it to "Barack Obama" in 2008, running for President of the United States, an office open only to "natural-born citizens." In order for this man to qualify as such, he would have had to renounce his Indone*sian* citizenship AND *re-apply* for his status as a natural-born American citizen. THIS is the real national identity issue, because the whole idea behind the U.S. Constitution's Article 2, Section 1 clause, is to prevent anyone with DUAL CITIZENSHIP from occupying the office of President; it would create an *obvious* conflict of interests, and split-allegiances! Here's a "hypothetical" example of what the Founders sought to avoid: let's say an officially-Muslim citizen from the one nation with the *most Muslims on earth* is making a foreign policy or national security decision as the President of the

historically-Christian United States of America (recall that it most definitely WAS a Christian nation — upwards of 98% of the population were designated as such — when the Constitution was debated, formulated, and ratified in the 1780s). In our "hypothetical" example, do you not see the possibility of split allegiances and a *real* conflict of interests? The truth is, Osama Obama has consistently thrown Christianity and Christians under the bus and has favored Islam at every turn. And every time our own national security is at stake, his policies and actions under*mine* it. I really wish that he'd just admit it to one and all: he *hates* America. He'll do anything in his power, as well as anything *beyond* his legal power to turn this nation into a pile of rubble. After all, when his work is done here, he can always become Kenya's top dog (don't laugh; THEY think he's a native son!). But I digress. The larger point has not been approached so far, so we move on.

Honestly, I have a great natural aversion to speculation and fiction. That passage in Scripture resonates with me: "Remove falsehood and lies *far* from me" (Prov 30:8a). In every area, I want to know the truth, even if it crushes my spirit for a time. And my experience has been that time spent in speculation is generally time wasted, not to be redeemed. These factors, I suppose, probably have something to do with the fact that I've never been very good at speculating and predicting. But there is one thing that I know quite well, thank you very much, and that's why I was able to smell a certain fraud coming a mile away, whoever he actually was. I know human nature.

I'll repeat what I said earilier. Even *before* I'd heard little more than the first "birther" *whisperings*, I already knew that the man was no "uniter" or "compromiser" or middle-ground friend of the middle class. *All* of that was *obvious* horse-hockey, and I knew it right from the start. Equally obvious and phony were the staged campaign rally "faintings" in the presence of this new god, freshly descended from on high. How could *anybody* NOT see through that?!? *The One?!?* There went my lunch again! Looking back, I have to think that had I not avoided *all* TV and *most* radio coverage of the 2008 campaign season, I would have succumbed to a stroke. In late 2008 (or was it January, 2009, before the inauguration?), I wrote an email to my parents and siblings, a "Diatribe" on what we could expect from this outand-out fraudulent Deceiver-in-Chief. I remember urging them to keep copies in their inboxes or to print copies for future reference. You know, for laughs at some future time when all was well, and everyone could shake their heads and say that I sure was off in my *wacky* predictions! That kind of thing. Of course, events that have taken place ever since have proved that, if anything, I only un*der*stated the case. I *did* nail him on the

31

main thing: he's apparently never told a *complete, unadulterated* **truth** in his whole public life, and we can never... *ever*...*EVER*!...feel that we're finally seeing the real person, and not the image-of-the-moment that he wants to project.

Fraud is defined as: deceit; trickery; *intentional perversion of truth in order to induce another to part with something of value or to surrender a legal right* [like getting Americans to surrender the highest office in the land, perhaps?!?]; an act of deceiving or *misrepresenting* [Bingo!]; *one who is not what he pretends to be* [*c'mon*, his *picture* belongs right there!].

Be fair now. Does this perpetual lying machine (only Liarly Clinton is in his class) *NOT* deserve the label of "FRAUD?" Before he defrauded his way into the Oval Office, he defrauded his way into and through Harvard and Columbia and political offices in Illinois, where opposing candidates kept disappearing, dropping out of races or being "disqualified" at critical junctures; defrauded his way into collecting hundreds of thousands of dollars for two books that he was supposed to have written (it turned out that one was actually written by Bill Ayers, so the shadow of legitimate doubt has been cast on the authorship of the other as well); defrauded the people of Illinois who elected him to actually do his job at the state and federal levels, where he led the world in "present" votes (each one a non-committal *non*-vote, so that he had no discernible track record on the issues at hand); and when he ascended to the throne as Czar of Czars — *twice*, *illegally* he defrauded the whole nation out of a healthcare system that only needed some reform, and gave us *Debacle-care*, the head-to-toe disaster from the headto-toe Fraud. All along the way, the "race card" was played, he was Kenyan when that helped (*i.e.*, foreign student aid?), and strictly American when it didn't,

and "Christian" when it was called for (when *campaigning* only, and he'd go into Black Preacher mode, complete with changes in voice, cadence, mannerisms, and all the rest; CALLBACK: "one who is not what he pretends to be"). And for one who was raised as a Muslim in Islam-saturated Indonesia, who wears a ring bearing an inscription glorifying Allah, who consistently mocks Jews AND Christians, while *promoting* Islam,... if he is *not* a *bona fide* Muslim, he certainly creates that impression in the minds of many people who just don't know better. *Especially*, as I've written before, when one considers that this man really has no reason to tell the truth:

1) for both Alinsky-trained Marxists and Qu'rantrained Muslims, the ends justify the means, so lying to infidels/enemies is perfectly acceptable, even honorable (Muslims call it **taqiyya**; Marxists call it "business as usual"); 2. "Barack Hussein Obama" is both Qu'ran-trained and Alinskytrained;...Think hard about that. ["ATH"-page 95] Okay, *now* is the time to bring up what I hinted at back on the second page of this essay: "I'm convinced from all of the available evidence (more on this a bit later)...." On his very first workday as "president," the all-time heist having been pulled off, King Barry issued and signed into law, Executive Order 13489. [you can track down all of the executive order lists on Wikipedia; see for yourself]. This order effectively *sealed off* the entire document set pertaining to Obama's *birth*, medical history, *travel* (including past *citizenship* status and passport data), school records (grades, courses taken, papers written, aid received and basis, etc.), work history, personal associations,...*ALL* of it! In essence, his *entire past* was put out of the reach of the National Archivist, and therefore off-limits to anyone who should ever submit an inquiry regarding anything related to his mysterious past. And *this* from what was going to be the "most transparent administration ever!"

But here's the kicker, for anyone who's still apt to defend this career criminal (it should make any taxpaying citizen's blood boil, even if none of the other stuff does!). The Social Security website has verified that the Social Security Number used by Obama-Soetoro is from a bank of numbers set aside *exclusively* for *Connecticut* applicants, and it was issued between 1977 and 1979, two years *after* Barry was employed by an Oahu, Hawaii ice cream shop. Two things to notice; 1) this fraud *NEVER* resided in Connecticut (and has no family connections there), and 2) either he worked *without* a legal — and *required* — SSN in Hawaii, or he obtained, first, the Hawaiibank SSN, and for some reason, a *second* ILLEGAL CT-series SSN. It's against federal law to either have a re-issued SSN (held by *two* people), OR to have a second number issued to someone who already has one. *Illegal-Alien-In-Chief!* If you still don't see what a FRAUD this evil man is, that worries me. Wise up!

Global Snow Jobs (Fraud, part 2)

37

You may want to consider this as followup and expansion on two previous themes: leftist-socialist hypocrisy in constructing the *new*, *ideological slavery* plantation; and the utterly fraudulent characters of their individual leaders. Leftist-socialist-totalitarian ideologies are really all of one piece. The object is always, always, always CONTROL. And anyone who doesn't get that is setting himself/herself up for *being* controlled by these godless, evil control-freaks. It's really that simple, and the very real nature of the danger to you, personally, is something that must be understood. Let me help you to understand.

Item one: "man-caused Climate Change" (nee "Global Warming," nee "Global *Cooling*" in the 70's). If this isn't the most obvious *fraudulent power-grab* on a *global* scale, I'm Hitler Marx (both namesakes big on control-via-ecology). Here are just a few tell-tale signs that it's all a snow job, for all whose brains haven't already fallen out on the floor;

1) The perpetual claim, "The science is settled" or "The debate is over." Since when? When was this great debate held? Doesn't a debate neces*sarily* involve — by *definition* more than one side on an issue? And since when does *scientific* inquiry have to come to a halt because a *political* agenda requires it to do so? 2) This "nothing more to see here" line is the equivalent of answering a "Why?" with a "It just *is*, okay?" 3) There are *thousands* of reputable, actual scientists (ahem, Mr. Gore!) in all parts of the world who know better and otherwise; they are still "doing science," and they are exposing the Climategaters worldwide. What's more, *they* understand that we're usually talking about a difference of <1 degree centigrade! *C'mon!* 4) Just days ago (March, 2016), current U.S. Attorney General Loretta Lynch openly stated that she'd contacted the *FBI(!)* about the possibility of prosecuting "climate change deniers!" Let that sink in. *Really*. Take a minute to think about that. "Our" top lawyer wants to FORCE AN ALL-CONTROLLING POLITICAL IDEOLOGY DOWN YOUR VERY THROAT IF YOU DO NOT BUY ONE OF HER PET LIES! DON'T AGREE? GO TO JAIL, WITH THE FULL CO-OPERATION OF THE **#1 LAW-ENFORCEMENT AGENCY! Free** speech, anyone? And this follows close on the heels of the free speechsquelching, religious liberty-destroying, anti-marriage LGBT-agenda crowd, that tail wagging the dog. So if you try to think with your own mind and come to conclusions that may differ with statist bullies, you become a prime target for enslavement on the State Ideological Plantation. Indeed, slavery is alive and well! Well, enough for now on the very real topic of *eco-tyranny*, because I'd like to keep this one shorter than recent essays. But here's the connection to another massive, *global* snow job:

Item two: frauds commit fraud, liars lie, and thieves steal, all at the drop of a hat, no reason or excuse needed. *Career criminals*, devoid of any true morality, commit crimes *naturally*, and until they are stopped by those who have retained some moral backbone. Which is as much to say that *Socialists will continue to* steal even the highest political offices and crush the speech and even the *will* of all those who even question their actions. *They* can *self*-justify any action on the basis of the "pure" ends they just *have to* achieve; all means are in play, without apology. This is why you can find electoral fraud wherever Socialists are involved, and you can be certain that it *will* exist. It's just so darn easy to pull off, and so *hard* to prove. It works.

The very fact that the voter's *privacy* is *supposedly* protected by law, provides the election-manipulators their best opportunity for theft: "Hey, it's none of *your* business *if* or *how* that *dead guy*, felon, or illegal alien voted, or how *many times* he voted!" The point? The *guilty* are protected, and the law-abiding citizen's vote is cancelled without remedy, without public knowledge. Like everything else touched by Socialists, the election process is turned *upside down*. Evil begets evil, justice is slain. In America, we got the Usurper, and then we got his appointees, the race-baiting Holder, and the eco-thug Lynch. It all started because we didn't care enough as a country about our free and fair elections to vet a certain candidate. But if *you* have a shred of God-given conscience left, your duty is clear: oppose the anti-God mob via all legal means. Get the truth from those who *value* truth for its own sake, vote accordingly, support honest elections, and seek always to do *God's* will.

*I*mmigration

I will tell you this, right at the outset: I strongly *affirm and support* the right of any person to seek *lawful* entry into this country, as well as permanent residence status with full citizenship, when obtained through *lawful, constitutional* processes. Just as strongly, I oppose all *ILLEGAL* immigration and all forms of amnesty. There are four simple points to be made in this essay, all of which are taught and supported by the Bible;

- 1. A nation has the legitimate *right* to maintain and control its own borders (1a. "open *borders*" is a nonsense term, self-negating)
- 2. A nation has the *duty to protect and serve its citizenry*, and protection/defense starts with the security of entry/exit points
- 3. Bad behavior rewarded is bad behavior approved and fostered
- 4. Good behavior *should* be rewarded

Points #1 & #2: God grants the right of national border control for the express purpose of protecting its law-abiding citizens. This protection applies to not only their very lives, but also to their property. So in addition to the 6th Commandment against murder and bodily harm, witness both the 8th and 10th Commandments, "Thou shalt not steal" and "Thou shalt not covet" (that is, *think* about getting something that's desired in an UNLAWFUL way, which is stealing). Stealing always involves coveting first, and in the context of ILLEGAL immigration, this makes all the difference: do it lawfully, through proper, legal channels, and you do no wrong; welcome, and go in peace, friend to this country. But do it any other way, and you indeed do much wrong, no matter how you try to spin it; you are neither to be welcomed nor admitted without the legal consequences, and you are no true friend of this nation of law-abiding citizens, we who are to benefit from government protection.

Continuing the thought, we are now moving into Points 3 & 4 territory. If anyone has even the *slightest* familiarity with the Word of God — The Holy Bible — one clear message throughout the 66 books is that bad/evil/unlawful/illegal behavior is anti-God, displeases Him, is considered by Him to be no less than sin, and is destructive to human society; it is to be avoided at all costs, punished (in a lawful/legal manner), and *NEVER* condoned or rewarded. The reason is obvious to every conscience in minimal working order: if you *do* condone or reward bad behavior, more of the same will naturally follow, and at an increased rate. Human beings need STOP signs. We don't know the best course of action unless we get it from God via His Biblical instructions or are channeled towards it via His *gift* of conscience. The "Open Borders" crowd pushes God and His gift right out of the picture, and it encourages coveting and stealing, lying coverups, racism (La Raza, THE [latino] Race),

and even - all too often - murder. How does *any* of this benefit the law-abiding citizens of this sovereign nation in *any* sense? The rhetoric of all of these Sorosfunded groups and fellow travelers is not only insultingly simplistic and offtarget, but devoid of truth. We, the people, are to let all of that ILLEGAL behavior go so we can have cheap lettuce?!? No crops would be otherwise harvested, no motels would be cleaned, no residential construction would take place, if we got back to enforcing the immigration laws duly passed by our elected congress?!? [*oh*,...don't even *mention* Osama Obama's executive *scam*nesty; the scammer-in-chief is ILLEGAL ALIEN #1!!!]

[blood pressure recovery break here]

Okay, let's look instead at the second group of true *victims* of the current immigration scenario, the usually-forgotten would-be good American citizens who have endured/are enduring the

legal path to entry and citizenship, those who've had to have had the "patience of Job." What else can we call it when some have waited in hope and so far, in vain — for two decades to *legally* obtain their great desire, and to add to this country's growth, and not to bring it down? I say, God bless every one of *them*! I have been moved to tears at the contemplation of such an individual when combined with the realization of God's grace towards me in making me the son of *Christian* parents in America. But in upwards of 75% of all the immigration policy literature, I'd venture to say, this *third* group is left out entirely. It seems that *both* of the warring parties on the issue have chosen to ignore those with the best intentions. I ran across a copy of a post I submitted when the "Gang of Eight" bill was being debated in the media. Here's what I wrote then:

Nowhere in Scripture do we see a

command to reward lawbreaking and forfeit defense of law-abiding people. Whatever case can be made for illegal immigrants who are "just providing for their families" can just as easily be made for "hit men" and thieves who prefer stealing over honest work. Make no mistake, every illegal alien is at least a criminal trespasser and would-be thief, and too many are criminals of even a murderous sort. Wake up, and do right by those who are usually left out of this discussion: those who are abiding by the laws and due process to gain admission to this country and help it, *not take from it!*

We can leave it at that, but if you want to ascend to a higher level and get the *full Biblical picture* (sound exegesis), I can do no better than to recommend *The Immigration Crisis*, by James K. Hoffmeier (Crossway, 2009). As usual, I defer to someone who has said it better.

Job to do

In this current (and *every*) election cycle, we're hearing a lot about "jobs/ bringing jobs back to America/creating jobs/keeping jobs in our own land" *etc*. In this context, a *job* is always meant to be understood as an economic arrangement whereby a worker is paid by an employer for services rendered; workfor-pay=job. There are, however, other ways to be doing one's job *without* working for a payer, and *without* supporting the payer's agenda. Let me unravel this in short order. And yes, I'll be pleading my own case in the process.

This is yet another worldview issue, and when it comes down to it, what *isn't*? If you've read (maybe *endured*?) even a smattering of my writings, you already know that I strongly believe that where one stands on faith/non-faith, creation/ evillusion, Biblical morality/man-made "morality" and politics — all of that and more — makes up one's worldview. You may not have *consciously* assembled a worldview, bit by bit, but you do have a worldview, nonetheless. If you are one to insist that you really don't have a discernible worldview, that stamps you as a person who is either lazy, indifferent, or clueless to a dangerous degree. Why? Well, if you can't even be bothered to try to figure out why you exist on this planet or what ideas are good and constructive for human society, how can you possibly be expected to care enough about the other lives that interact with yours? How *can* you care about other lives if yours has no meaning? That kind of "thinking" (lack thereof) can never lead to good, can never be *productive*.

So maybe **job#1** — common to all — is simply this: recognize that you are a *unique living part* in the Creator's universe, here for a specific reason known to Him, and necessary to the overall plan. God *wants* you here to do a job.

The job which God has in mind for you may not necessarily be a high-paying job, or even a job for which you're paid at all. Christ's hand-picked, personallytrained "employees" could barely rub two silver mites together, but they were all doing their appointed jobs well (Judas Iscariot excepted, but *he* had an evil job to do that was central to God's plan of redemption, too). The related point right here is that *God* is *everyone's boss*; all human beings, whether good or evil in our eyes, ultimately have to answer to Him, and work for *His* agenda, like it or not. Look at Pharaoh, Joseph's brothers, Nebuchadnezzar, Cyrus, Alexander the Great (NT gospel to the world via Greek language), the Romans, a God-hating Pharisee turned into the premier missionary (Saul to Paul), monks and priests sold out to salvation by works becoming leaders in the Reformation (Luther, Calvin, Zwingli), Provi*dential* twists and turns in so many wars involving this nation, and "chance"

medical discoveries, and on and on. We all work for God in the end. I, for one, am not going to stamp my feet and demand greater benefits from my Employer. That would be just plain nutty and sinfully ungrateful, since everything I have has come from His open hand. I might ask the rhetorical question, "What, exactly, have I *done* that merits any wage or salary?" Even if I ask it within myself, God hears it, and He has answered:

> "So likewise you, when you have done all those things which are commanded, say, 'We are unprofitable servants. We have done what was our duty to do.'" — Luke 17:10

So there it is. We all work for God; we all have a *duty*. The rewards are totally up to Him, yet there is no one who pays so generously for such feeble efforts. Each of us has a job to do in *God's* company.

We should be happy to have that job and always striving to do that job better. This is what has motivated me — and many people *like* me — when we're doing "lowly" work like cleaning public restrooms, waxing floors, and sorting recyclables from trash (throw in carrying mail door-to-door and box-to-box if you like). If anyone wants to understand why I'm no longer willing to work for *outside* employers (which I did for forty years), it boils down to this: 1) Corporations have agendas that vary greatly from my own (which is to simply serve God honestly in accordance with my capability, and not to grab every dime possible); 2) Judging by the fact that God freed me from such outside demands on my time and *loyalties* (to *alien* and *undesirable* employer goals), I believe that God has led me to my appropriate workstation. Apparently, my Boss wants me to seek, embrace, and disseminate the truth in several areas. He's given me the necessary *freedom* and time. I've a job to do!

Lying Leftists

When government is your god, your god lies constantly to you. Because it *can*. Because it works. Because you believe its every pronouncement in the sheer gullibility born of willful ignorance and greedy wishful thinking. If you want something from government that is either outside of its job description (protect and serve the citizenry) or distorts that *proper* role to that of an outsized personal genie, you can be promised the world and believe it's owed to you. When that's the case, expect to hear promise after promise, and necessarily, lie after lie. The one who does not possess all resources can not bestow all resources on another. Only God Almighty can lay claim to and distribute unlimited resources from an unlimited storehouse. Leftists know this, but they'll never acknowledge it. Every fiber of a Leftist's being throbs with anti-God, anti-holiness, anti-truth hate.

Granted, that sounds like a careless over-generalization, a wild accusation, or even a lie. But hear me out. I submit that the proof is in well-known patterns of well-known leftists, from Karl Marx to Lenin, Hitler, Goebbels, and the Nazis (National *Socialists*, remember) to today's consummate liars, the Clintons, Osama Obama, and all of their D-rat ilk. Let's hop through a very brief review, via word association with a few of the themes, scandals, and statements of the government-as-god Left:

Religion is opiate of the masses/people; capitalism, private property, and the nuclear (traditional, God-designed) family must be abolished; atheism integral to any "progressive" society; the children belong to the state; Der Fuehrer IS the State; evolutionary theory proves the less-than-human status of certain "races"; political dissent is to be eradicated when the Left is in power, beatified when the "Far Right" is instead;

Whitewater, Troopergate, Lewinsky sex scandal wherein BJ Clinton "did not have sex with that woman" and the definition of "is" was given to us under oath; Ron Brown and Vince Foster mystery-deaths; illegal foreign donations by the megamillions, even while Hillary was Secretary of State; Benghazi catastrophe on her watch and, "What difference does it make?!?"; the Bill, Hillary, and Chelsea Clinton Foundation (using your child as a money-making prop or as a shield against personal criticism is despicable, and they've both done it); "I promise 100% transparency in my administration"; "I will allow five days of public *comment before I sign any bills"; "I,* Barack Hussein Obama, do solemnly swear..." (to uphold and defend The Constitution and faithfully execute the laws of the land) [as written, and not just in part]; "If you like your [current] health *plan, you can keep it." etc. etc. etc.* And like I said, that's a *brief* review, jumping among bigger rocks in the lie-stream.

So am I saying that *only* Leftists ever lie? Of course not! Furthermore, the Left has its minions in the GOP, too, in addition to the spineless "majority Republican" boot-licking evil-enablers in leadership positions; they *also* bring me to a boil. But I do want to zero in on the central point of this essay: lying is *foundational* to all Leftist ideologies; none could have been built without direct denials of God's truths. These anti-God, fires-of-hell ideologies can't survive without a steady supply of lie-fuel. Each lie is used until it's seen to be losing utility, and the next lies are brought in. How many times have we seen it? Inveterate liars tell the first lie, backpedal, regroup with the next wave, backpedal, sink deeper in falsehood, and on and on until cornered without possibility of escape. Even *then*...the truth is the very last thing they'll offer. Where lies are *not* painstakingly avoided as *ungodly*, you'll find an unapologetic liar. The goto tool of the anti-God Left is the lie.

Mindless Man-made "Morality"

Within the last week, we've heard and read about two murderous rampages (Orlando, Florida and France) conducted by militant Muslims, or radical Islamists. Same thing (either name or still others will do), same old story, horrific and tragic as always. We've heard of these incidents ad nauseam, but holding candlelight vigils for the victims and taking away every single firearm from every private citizen won't do *anything* to stop the steady onslaught of these terror attacks in the name of Allah. You know something else that won't help one bit? Blaming Christians for these atrocities! Yet that was the knee-jerk reaction of LGBT activists [see The Washington Times article of June 13, 2016 for starters]. Again, same old story from the morally clueless Left. Even the very liberal Bill Maher has enough sense to have admitted that the LGBT "community" has nothing to fear from *Christian* massmurderers. The *real* persecution — not something overblown in fevered imaginations, like where one has "liberty" to urinate or defecate — is going to come from those who hold to the Koran's commands to kill homosexuals; that would be *Muslims*, folks. Face that truth, speak that truth, deal with it, and shut up about Christians coming after you! Even your much-dreaded, much-castigated socalled "anti-LGBT bills" (really just reinforcement of common-sense, practical norms) can't possibly *put you to death*. Get a grip on reality and recognize your *real* enemy, the one who's convinced that persecuting, kidnapping, raping, torturing, and killing *all* "infidels" and all LGBT people is imam-pleasing, Islamic State-pleasing, Mohammedpleasing, and Allah-pleasing. There's your hater; someone who knows who, what, and why to hate and how to act upon it! Have enough integrity to at least admit that you don't fear a Christian coming to behead you, but a hellbent militant Muslim/radical Islamist. It's rather obvious that Leftists — of all camps, with various pet issues — can still find common ground, when you tear things down to their roots. All control-freak Leftists (I've just used a re*dundancy*) are *anti-God*, through and through. That most certainly includes the political-religious system of Islam, which sets up Allah in the place of the true, triune God Who gave us the Holy Bible (and not the Koran), and the Chief Prophet-Priest-King, Jesus Christ, THE *last prophet* sent by God. As I've written elsewhere and have often emphasized, Islam ("submission") is really about conquering and dominating the entire world. The worldwide *caliphate* is the all-consuming goal, and anything that can be made out to have been the command of Allah OR Mohammed is seen and used as a means of obtaining it. In other words, since Mohammed supposedly was enlightened directly by Allah, whatever Mohammed said to do, that

should and *must* be done. *Or else*! Some people are so lacking in decision-making powers that this totally graceless system appeals to them, especially when they have no knowledge of the freeing grace that issues from God The Father to sinners through the Savior, Jesus Christ. The sad, tragic irony for many Muslims is that they think that they *are* embracing and following *God's* morality, and not *man*-made "morality"(Mohammed's). But they're *not* Holy Spirit-guided.

There's the rub, the unmistakable connection between two factions of the All-Controlling (*Totalitarian*) Elitist-Government Left: Man-made "morality" in the place of God-defined, unchanging, *true* morality for *all* humanity. Every time every single stinkin' time! — that selfish, sinful, sin-*loving* sinners try to substitute an alternative system of ethics and morality, hell breaks loose in our world. And the anti-God crowd is dazed again. "Why? How could such a thing happen to such good, innocent people?" they whine. Well, those clueless leftists siding with the *victims* say that. At the same time, *other* Leftists — the "radical" imams — are saying that they had it coming, that Allah and Mohammed are *pleased* with their murder — because they were either "infidels" or "gay" or *both*. [this is where their theological ignorance *really* comes out, because any true Christian is *not* someone who continues to embrace the soul-killing abomination of the homosexual "lifestyle"].

Let's quickly sum up. First: LGBT Leftists and Totalitarian (Islamic State/Militant Muslim/Radical Islamist) Leftists inhabit the *same anti-God ideological bed*. Second: both factions *reject God's true morality* and substitute their own manmade mess. In both cases, their main *disagreement* is *with God*. Third: both factions see Christians as enemies that *can* be attacked with success, so they do just that. Doomed *and* dumb. Mindless.

National Pride

With our American Independence Day right around the corner, amid electionyear rhetoric coming at us from every part of the political spectrum, let's pause for a momentary reflection. This is as good a time as any to ask if pride in our homeland is *always* appropriate, or even if it's *ever* appropriate. Or, when is pride a *good* thing, and when is it evil? On the horizontal (human-tohuman) plane, all things are obscure, and all waters are muddied. This is by design of the anti-God forces, no matter which banner they're waving: Gay Pride, Islamic Flag of Conquest, La Raza/Reconquista/Mexican flags; neo-Nazi white supremacists; "My country, right *or* wrong" Americans; or the Humanist Self-Esteem pushers. This kind of pride is unwarranted and ungodly, surefire sin in the sight of God. God's Word is filled with what such forces for evil will call "hate speech" about this *sinful* pride.

Those of us who esteem God and His Word more than we esteem our sinsoaked selves, however, can be prone to error, too, in thinking that pride is *always* evil, that to be proud of family, friends, country, or *truly good* accomplishments is to manifest pride already gone too far. This is why I'm writing today: to suggest that there *is* a place for pride *within* **Biblical** parameters. This involves the *vertical* plane, the *humanto-God* relationship, as I'll try to show.

I referred to the "My country, right or wrong!" crowd before, and I italicized "or" for emphasis. That points to the problem. When my country does right, I have the right to be proud of it; doing the right thing is God-pleasing. *But*... should we be *proud* of our children, parents, employer, sports team, church, clergyman, military force, or nation when they do *evil* things? Should we fully (100%) back/own/praise such actions when they are clearly *wrong*? Is it God-pleasing loyalty or deluded and misplaced pride when a mother knows her son has committed murder, but insists on accusing everyone *else* of evil, because *her* son can simply do no wrong? Is God buying any of that, do you suppose? Or reverse the polarity: should the sons and daughters of America blindly accept everything that our duly-elected officials — I have great reservations about just how honestly *many* were elected in recent decades decide to do on our "behalf" — as our representatives? How about our governmental "parents" declaring that it's a good thing to kill off our pre-born brothers and sisters? Is God "cool" with that, do you suppose? And how about making us *accessories* to those murders by stealing *our* money to *pay* for them? Of course, that's just one instance of proof for what I'm saying: *unqualified* support *for* and pride *in* my country is foolish and sinful, because my country has become increasingly anti-God.

So where do we draw the line, if we're seeking to be proud within *Biblical* parameters, exhibiting pride to the extent that God permits? First of all, no savedby-grace Christian (that would be *every* true Christian, folks) can be proud that they're saved. Ephesians 2:8-10 dispels any such notion in a hurry, and with finality. If you are saved, you did nothing to be proud of, nothing to set yourself atop the trash-heap of sinful human flesh as a singular diamond. However, there is God's grace: you should be proud of God's mercy towards any sinner *period*; towards *any* nation *period*! How can you *not* be moved to revere, love, and yes, *be proud of* The Holy One who *always* does right and saves from all nations, tribes, peoples, and tongues (Rev 7:9-10)? And when He owes it not to one of us? And has God not also built this most-blessed nation from scratch? Of course He has: "He makes nations great and destroys them; **He** enlarges nations, and guides them."—Job 12:23.

Now put those two simple truths together. Whether it's an individual or a nation, God does the saving. And America didn't build itself; *God* built it. *God* does the *good* that is to be done and preserves society through His chosen faithful servants, as long as He is properly acknowledged, and as long as His patience holds out (even *His* patience does have limits, as history has demonstrated: Jerusalem and Judea, AD 66-70, for instance!). When the time for national judgment comes, God will always execute that, too, *through* His chosen *in*struments of destruction. God builds, enlarges, and guides nations, but He also *destroys* them when they abandon His ways and embrace what He hates. This is where America is today: if our "leaders" could get their way, they'd convince every soul of the non-existence of the eternal God of all existence. It wouldn't be until we all strode blindly right into eternal damnation that we'd know how alive and how holy God is!

Shudder at that thought, but then leave it behind with this hope: if we stop trusting in the fact that we are Ameri*cans* — as if that's enough to guarantee our survival and continued prosperity and we go back to earnestly trusting in *God's* building, enlarging, and all-wise guidance, He might still keep His protective hand in place. Yet longer. Again. God *SAVE* America should be our prayer. Because each day we give Him *less* "reason" to *bless* us; He's already blessed us like no other nation in history, yet we stand there, rocking on our heels like Nebuchadnezzar (Dan 4:29-**31**), in love with the way we Americans have made such a big deal of ourselves and how we're so free that almost anything goes. That's national pride run amok, and it precedes a certain fall, barring repentance (Prov 16:18, 8:13). Now please get this: I love America for all of the good it has done as a nation, but ultimately, I can only give unqualified admiration, thanks, and love to *God*.

Nation-ruining 101

If you wanted to *destroy* a whole nation, you'd do it just the way it's being done right now, right here in America. What we've experienced for the last eight years of "fundamental transformation" is just the last chapter in the Left's gofor-it-all Socialist playbook. There are no real mysteries yet to be solved; "end game" is here for the God-hating, true freedom-hating, America-hating Left. What started in earnest in about the 1920's with the founding of the ACLU and the ever-increasing coalescence of disparate groups uniting in a general *anti*-ism, is so near the goal now that the scent of blood has been detected, and the sharks are circling in a feeding frenzy of freedom-eating laws, regulations, and executive orders. Like I say, no mystery here. Consult the Communist Manifesto, Mao's Cultural Revolution dogma, the Nazi blueprint and actions, and Saul Alinsky's blatant *Rules*

for Radicals. The nation-ruining template has been established for several decades now, and Marx-Alinsky acolytes like Osama Obama (Frank Marshall Davis Jr.?) and Hi-liar Clinton (wrote her thesis on Alinsky) are devoted to their anti-God god: government by elite rule (*they* are the elite) over subjugated masses of useful idiots. [For an idea of just how much you mean to them as a *person* — once they've bought your vote and gained their coveted power — read my *ATHEOS*, Vol. I, most specifically, pages 62-63; more context: pages 54-68 and 71-81; bigger chunk okay? add pages 89-95; best: 46-97, the whole To*talitarianism* section of the book, which is available on the *Trutholio* Home page as a free-download PDF].

So what are the goals that the Leftist-Marxist-Alinsky template is designed to achieve? Marx said it earliest and set the course: 1) dethrone God; 2) destroy capitalism (free markets, private property). The rest is gravy, secondary; petty details. After all, if you can take down the Creator-King-Sole Proprietor of the universe, what's really left to achieve? The way is open for evil to rule without obstacles: good for the elite in power, extremely bad for those who aren't among the elite (*there's* your 1% and 99% split!). Capitalism melts away instantly under such an arrangement, so goal #2 is achieved by default; it's of no concern.

If you can merely *convince* the people that God has gone away or has never actually existed — through Deism first, and Evolution second and ever *since* (see my *ATHEOS* Vol. II, *Evillusion*) — *government as god* starts to look like a reasonable solution, perhaps the *only* solution to solve all of society's problems. What problems, you ask? Well, in a nation that has no moral compass (without *God's* morality in place to guide law and ethics), who's to say that *anything* is a problem at all? Why, the ruling elite, you

silly vassal! That's what they're *there* for, you small-brained toad! A problem can only exist whenever those in power sense that they're not getting *everything* they want, and something — somebody — is preventing that. [Ever notice how King Barry sulks, glares, and gets ultrasarcastic when he doesn't get his way 100%? Uneasy lies the head that wears the crown of all wisdom, I guess!]. Your worthless life has somehow presented an obstacle, so it is *your expendable* head that must roll. Whether that's *liter*ally or figuratively is also up to the allwise rulers, of course. You get no vote.

Which brings us to template specifics. A necessary step to take to take total power is to strip the citizenry of any true voice, whether by free expression through speech and literature, or by the non-coerced ballot. Free, honest elections get in the way of Lefty's land-scape-transforming excavator, so he must steamroll 'em. "*Outta the way*!"

"Vote early and often! The black man wins this time!" [Recall that intimidation incident in Philadelphia? Tip of the iceberg; isn't that right, ACORN, and ACORN Mark II zombie resurrectees?]

Other tactics (Marx-Alinsky favorites), used on a *daily* basis, and worldwide: ridicule, marginalize, or shout down the opposition at every opportunity and at every venue, even the smallest: from global media coverage down to classroom settings to Town Hall meetings to family dinnertable discussions, if they even exist anymore (sad to say). Alinsky was right about that: ridicule is very effective, because even the most genuinely stupid person doesn't like to *look* stupid. And who wants to be called a bigot, racist, or "hater" (hater, that is, of what all of us *good* people know is just peachy-keen, fine and dandy)? That's the Radical's Rule of the Double Standard at work: always hold Christians to their own high moral standards (God's),

and point out every breach, real or just imagined. Meanwhile, *you*, as a *radical*, have no such moral restraints, so all is fair in this all-out war for *you*. Only the Christian claims to be concerned with godliness, and he/she must adhere to that exacting standard 100% of the time or answer to the charge of hypocrisy (the existence of *sin* in *every* human life is not acknowledged, not on the radar; the whole concept of good versus bad has been rendered meaningless by all godless teachings). So what "people of faith" uphold as truly and perpetually good is only an illusory standard, and just for *them*; we smart people can do as we please and no harm will come of it. "It's all good." This is the "thinking" we're dealing with here.

I'll quote Ms. Hillary Rodham Clinton, as we head into the wrap-up. If you're still drinking the Leftist Kool-Aid, you might still suffer from the delusion that HRC is somehow "religious" or "moral" in a way that the self-worshipping BHO II is not. Let me help in that regard:

> "Laws have to be backed up with resources and political will. And deep-seated religious beliefs have to be changed." (HRC, 2015)

You do understand what she said — and *didn't* say — right? *Political will* (the will of the elite ruling class) *must drive and* determine laws (for the lowly unwashed masses, that is, but *not* for those who are *above* the law, as the Clintons have both deemed themselves). That's despicable, yes, but par for the course, coming from this hardcore Marxist ("It takes a village to raise a child" means, "It takes *government* to raise a nation, and not God.") There it is: *government* must be America's god; it's the only way forward. That deep-seated belief you've had in the "God" of the Bible must be changed. You think *God* built America? You *both* must go. Get on board. Or *else*!

Obedience to Tyrants is Disobedience to God

An alternative, *positively*-stated way of rendering this essay's title would be, "Resistance to Tyrants is *Obedience* to God." Both versions were used as rallying cries/slogans to fire the minds and hearts of the founding-era American people. A *third* popular "mission statement" — though it was probably used more often than the two already mentioned put together — was, "No King But King Jesus!" Now, I'm not denying that there were other more generalized and *secular* sayings that galvanized many people as well, like "No taxation without representation," a *pre*-War for Independence favorite, but *upwards of* 90% of Colonial Americans could have identified with (and *did* identify with) those three God- and Jesus-invoking cries I've listed. Many a responsible and honest historian can back me up, and so can every single colonial-state charter or constitution that emerged in the founding era. Suffice it to say that at the very least, God-*acknowledgment* was universal then, when an "atheist" was about as rare as an egg-laying rooster. With all due apologies to "Reverend" Barry Lynn, the ACLU, People for the American Way, and all of the other godless goofballs that insist otherwise (NO apologies are due to such professional liars), folks back there in America's formative years had the good sense to mind their Father. Even the less Biblically-oriented people, those who were more "practicallyminded," if you will, saw the *value* in obeying God, if for no better reason than the fact that that's how everyone else was pursuing happiness and prosperity. The way forward was just that clear to the vast majority: if you wanted to live in secure peace and prosperity, you toed God's line. To use modern phrases, most people thought, "God IS the boss of me, and that's a good thing!"

And right there is the first point I'd like to make: in each and every case, a Godgiven law is a *protective* measure that promotes security, peace, and prosperity. Contrary to the world's constant anti-God propaganda, He does not exist for the purpose of stealing your "joy." Your "joy" in sinning is not your right to begin with, something to which you're entitled just because you exist. God grants *liberty — within* the *context* that He has defined for humans in all ages but *never license* to sin. On the other hand, the entire *universe* exists solely for the purpose of giving glory to God, its Creator, King, and Sole Proprietor. He has all of the rights and all of the power, and He dispenses as He sees fit (inarguably holy are all His ways). He has all knowledge and wisdom at His disposal, too, so when He foresaw in eternity past the inevitable consequences of particular sin-types, He put up ten unmistakable "STOP!" signs, the Ten Commandments. All moral law is built on that

solid, unchanging, and *protective* foundation. God saw that the bridge was out; He told you to stop moving towards destruction; you ignored Him; you plunged to your death. Now, ...who stole whose joy? And not for just a moment, but for *eternity*? Obey the all-wise One and live.

America, get back under God's unfailing protection and unerring guidance. Resist anyone who would steer you away from Him. Recognize that that is *exactly* the direction in which ALL anti-God forces want to channel you (under *their* boot). If you don't know by now that the entire (D) party is sold out in opposition to God, I don't know how to put it anymore. But beware of the (R) party-overall (establishment) crowd, too. They'll do all they can to suppress your sincerely-held Christian convictions on the way to "victory" in the elections. Every true Christian should both resist man's tyranny in *all* areas, and pray that God won't forsake us as we've forsaken Him.

"Prefers Republican Party"

In this "Left Coast" state, named after the great man who would shudder in horror at its current godless polity, our election cycles start off with top-two, open primaries. In Washington, the state, we don't register as (D)s, (R)s, or anything else. This is a fact that apparently has escaped (R) party members, since I continue to receive solicitations for my support on the basis that I'm supposedly a "registered Republican." Not so; not in this state. Instead, in our voter's pamphlets and on our ballots we see the words, "Prefers Republican party" or "Prefers Democrat party" or "Prefers Libertarian party" and so on (some (D)s that have been bounced out of office are now going by the label, "Independent Democrat party," as if we are *all* that stupid to fall for that subterfuge). But actually, in my case, the designation, "Prefers Republican party" is apt. I *prefer* the (R)s, to be sure, and

by a lo-o-o-o-o-ng shot! In fact, if we put these labels in the *negative*, I could truly say that I find the (D)evilish and (D)astardly acts and the (D)eceptive and (D)estructive policies — *all* of 'em, including (D)ebaclecare — of *today's* (D)emo-rat ("people-rat") party to be (D)etestable; "*Detests* the Democrat party" would be truthful and spot-on. I will proceed with a short explanation.

Before the (D) party's all-out embrace of systematic, pre-meditated baby-murder, yes, I suppose I could've voted for a (D), had I been old enough to vote. And I *did* make a thoroughly regrettable, horrible mistake in voting for that smarmy, twofaced peanut farmer in 1976, the first time I was old enough to vote, as I've related elsewhere (with no small amount of pain on each occasion; it haunts me to this day). But since 1973, when morally clueless, unelected "judges" gave us the twisted Roe v. Wade decision, the (D)s have gone on to adopt every imaginable — and *un*imaginable perversion of morality as part of "who we are" as a nation. Go ahead and search the internet for that phrase, "this is not/ isn't who we are," and note who's made the statement, and about what. The Leftists — Osama Obama, Holderclone Loretta Lynch, Dope Biden, et al, are trying to define *by negation* who 325 million American people are: we are *not* people of sincere faith in an actual God; we are *not* free people who give consent to the government to protect and serve us by the rule of law, but beings that live only by the "grace" of government elites, as long as *we* serve *them*; we are *not* citizens who have the God-given *and* Constitutional right to defend ourselves; we are *not* law-abiding citizens who have God-given and Constitutional rights to own property, and that *free of invasion* and intrusive agency oversight and control, right on up to outright government theft; we are not male OR female individuals only, but "fluid" life-

forms at the head — temporarily — of the chain of evolution (Go, godless Nature! Supercede Humanity, and leave us behind in the dust where we belong!). Etcetera, etcetera. The (D)s have everything turned upside-down and insideout. That's Marxism, folks. Face the truth: the defining talent of Socialists is the ability to destroy; they *can't* build. The party of *(D)eath* is what *they* are. I *prefer* the Republican party because I'm not a God-hating, sin-exalting, evilpromoting, society-destroying moron. Having just recently read both 2016 (R) and (D) official platforms, I am wholly confirmed in my preference for the Republican *platform*; I find little fault with it, other than language about "earning" God's protection and blessing, whereas I will contend that it's much more a matter of God's grace towards a most undeserving nation (who we are in this sinner-worshiping era under the Fraud-in-Chief). I will vote only for those (R)s who are *true* to the whole, *Pro-Life* platform.

Quick Review

No matter who you are, regardless of what you believe, there are three things I know about you:

- Though you were made in God's holy image, you are a sinner, as am I;
- 2. The sinful state which we have *all* inherited, excepting only the absolutely unique God-man, Jesus Christ, has put you, me, and *all* human beings in the position of having severely-impaired knowledge *and* moral judgment;
- 3. In your *conscience* or what remains of it, **you, too,** *know* that there IS an infinitely-existing God, THE Creator, THE sole source of all else, Who is *perfect* in all His ways, *including* in knowledge and what constitutes perfect, *holy* morality.

On the last point, you may object by saying, "I am an avowed *atheist*. I believe that "God" doesn't exist. So away with *your* so-called God!" That last part is the most telling; away with *whom*? How can you remove a *non*-existent entity? You can't, and you know it. That's your real, core problem: you're stacking a manufactured lie against the ultimate truth, by *claiming* — completely against universal hard-wired knowledge — that you don't believe that God exists (THE absolutely necessary and ultimate truth of the universe), when it's obvious to any still-rational mind that you just don't *like* the idea, and the idea will not, can not go away. You fight against the Ever-Existing One behind that idea with all your might, at every turn. What a waste of time and effort, if this "enemy" of yours doesn't even exist! You've defined *yourself* as truly insane. The only sane, safe, healthful, fulfilling way through life is the one provided by the One who IS, perfect in all His ways: God.

The title for this essay speaks about a *review* and begs the question, "A review of *what*?" In the immediate context, this refers to the roughly bi-weekly essay collection I've been stringing together into a full-fledged book, Lord willing, by the end of this year. But beyond that, I'm reiterating elements of my comprehensive worldview; *how* (process) I came to believe *what* (tenets) I do believe. The two most basic building blocks are:

- I know little, *God knows all; I'll try to find out what God knows* about any issue;
- 2. What God knows and *wants* us to know is imparted to us **in His written Word**, the everlasting, unchanging Bible; to this *perfect* testimony I'll go. (Isa 40:8, 8:20; Acts 17:11)

With the immovable foundation in place, and God the Holy Spirit teaching, construction of a God-pleasing worldview is merely a matter of receiving God's word as truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, and applying the wisdom gained from it, putting into practice what *God* has preached. 'Nuff said! Now, *onward and upward*!

But that's just religion-talk, right? What has that to do with politics? Well, everything. Politics means government, and God has ordained government as the servant-protector of families *of* families. In other words, government under God's all-wise design begins with the fathermother-child (in most cases) family. Society is a collection of these families, and this larger group has greater interlocking and overlapping needs that must be met by governments — *also under God* — with *legitimate*, *God-fearing* authority and the power to enforce justly-enacted law. That's Rom 13:1-7 in a nutshell. Government under God, by His design, is a blessing. Over-government — *or* the *lack* of it — is a curse.

So the Biblical way is the *profitable* way. I mean that in every sense: profitable in an *economic* sense, both nationally *and* individually (and even *globally*, if we're willing to be honest about it); profitable in terms of *true* peace and *dependable*, *lasting security*, the main elements of the only atmosphere that actively encourages confident enterprise in *positive* directions, spending less time and resources on defensive measures and on keeping the would-be society-ruiners at bay; profitable, most importantly, to the national *and* individual *soul* (Psa 9:17; Mt 16:26). Remember this simple fact: all of God's laws, rules, and guidelines are meant for our *good*; He is the *exact* opposite of a "cosmic killjoy!" You ought to be able to identify the originator of that lie, and all lies (John 8:44; Gen 3). God will tell you that good will come to you if you do things His way, and He speaks truthfully. *Always*. The followers of the father of lies will tell you that good will come by doing evil (*his* way),

and that will prove to be a lie. *Always*, in the long run (you may gain temporary pleasure, but it will add to your *eternal* misery, and that reality should make you think twice about pursuing evil, to say the very least).

Okay, how does this all relate to 21st-Century politics? And here in America? Here's how: I'm quite serious in saying that everything that God is for and recommends or commands is something that the political Left is dead-set against. And today the Left runs the (D) party. Whatever ideas and policies that Democrats in the past may have culled from a responsible interpretation of God's will in the Bible have been longsince abandoned. Some specifics for you:

+ God demands and deserves acknowledgment for His eternal existence, His creation of the universe, His provision of all things needed, His holy law, His answer to Satan, sin, and eternal death in the person and work of Jesus Christ, and *thanks* for all of the above (God's Word says these things, in such passages as **Heb 11:6; Gen 1-2; Rom 1; Gen 3:15 & 1 Cor 15; Acts 4:12; Jas 1:17**).

—Taking their cues from Lucifer (ala Saul Alinsky), the Left, and therefore, the (D)s, deny all of this. They will assert — depending on the time and the venue — that "God" does not exist, or that if he does, he's a bigoted meanie, constantly killing the legitimate joys of innocent beings evolved from the first (*self-existing*) amoeba, so he is *not* to be heard from or listened to; or that He sanctions lawless behavior as acceptable (our sins are agreeable to this god, and they put a smile on his face); furthermore, if any deity is to be thanked, it's the all-benevolent Government, giver of all and taker of none. *No* scriptures can be cited to support this rot, but that's totally irrelevant to them: "Bible? *Bah*!"

+ God condemns the purposeless torture and murder of babies and "euthanasia" for those who are old, infirm, "useless eaters" or merely "enemies of the state." He thunders, "You shall do no murder!" He affirms and confirms self-defense to protect against harm to body, soul, and privately-owned property. He affirms and confirms the right to maintain secure borders, in order to protect the law*abiding* citizens *within*. Consult such passages as Lev 18-19 (including the abominations of child sacrifice AND sodomy, another body- and soul-killing practice, and the positive command to *respect* our elders); **Ex 20:12-17 & 22:2;** Prov 16:31, 20:29, & 22:28; Luke 22: **26-38; Deut 27:17.** Note two things; 1) the wandering Israelites of the Exodus era had to obtain permission to pass through the lands of even the *heathen* nations; even their borders were held to be inviolable by God, and 2) the *extreme* hypocrisy of Mexico in strictly enforcing *their* southern border, while *officially*

encouraging, aiding, and abetting the constant, unrestricted flow of **ILLEGAL** aliens northward into the United States, AND...those millions of lawbreakers provide the second or third biggest source of revenue for Mexico in *remittances* sent back to that country (the trespass*ing aliens unlawfully earn money* in America — something that's usually recognized, in most contexts, as *stealing* and the bulk of that money does not enrich the American economy, but the hapless Mexican economy!). I must say, if your religion condones this, you've got one warped religion! Isn't that right, "Pope" Francis, Socialist Society-Scolder?

—It should go without saying that in all of this, too, the Left, and hence the (D)s, are directly opposed to God's will. But we all should be aware that Anti-God individuals exist among the (R)s as well. The (R) Party is constantly shifting leftward, increasingly abandoning those of us who actually believe that in their founding ideals, subsequent policies, and current platform, they got it *right*. Right, as much as is humanly possible in recent decades, in that true Republicans have sought to govern by God's design and conform to His will for society on this earth. This is where I part company with the morally clueless *establishment*, Socialist-Lite (R)s, who don't have a worthwhile conviction to stand upon. They disgust me. And when they backstab and undermine *true* Republicans, OR even the (R)-come-lately standardbearing Mr. Trump, who has gathered far more support than any of the oldguard types, I don't want any scolding from *them* about *our* need (we non-DC *peons*, that is) to line up with the Party in *unity*! Sheer hypocrisy! *We* are what's left of the Grand Old Party as it was formed and as it has existed until you tenure-seeking surrender-monkey doormats came along to do the will of the Left, and not the will of God. Maybe Trump *is* God's instrument, after all.

*Q*ueen of Heaven

I'm writing this at a time when my website, www.trutholio.com, has been inaccessible to the public for a full three weeks (and counting). While *I* can get into it through a host-provided control panel, the site's host has been the victim of malicious malware attacks — apparently *system-wide* — so all hosted sites are rendered off-limits for the duration. So when my next essay came "due," I thought there was little point in publishing it at the time, since no one could see it and/or download it. In essence, my free speech outlet to the world, along with those avenues for thousands of others, has been temporarily squelched by some "tolerant" folks who just could brook *no* interference with their chosen agenda(s). But this is life in the techno-age among the anti-God forces, where neither common propriety nor Constitutional law, nor even the will of God Himself hold any sway.

Nonetheless, website or no, I press on, but in the direction of *slightly* more theologically-oriented themes. At the moment, I'm just about "politicked" out. One comment will I yet make in *this* essay that will help to make the transition: I see that the "Queen of *Corruption*" is at long last being exposed for what she is, and I fervently hope that The Judge has it in mind to complete the picture for the voting public before we tragically err *again*! [and don't forget that King Barry still holds the "martial law" card, if the elections don't go his way!]

Now to this "Queen of Heaven" business.

We start with a question: from whom and about whom do we hear this term today? Answer: Roman Catholics who prefer the dogma of their ecclesiastical "leaders" to what *God* has said through His prophet, Jeremiah, and it's in reference to Mary, the mother of Jesus. Here then, we will come to clearly see, as just one example of so *very many*, that the Roman Catholic church is not about glorifying God by taking Him at His word, but about constructing a man-made counter-narrative to correlate with a man-centered mechanism for conquest and control. I mean every word of that, and it's precisely *here* that Islam and Catholicism have something in common: both are systems founded on extrabiblical (outside of the Holy Bible), non-Holy Spirit-inspired teachings of sinful men. And both have world-domination as the goal. Papal Rome has certainly worked towards that goal, right from the start (4th C. AD, from Constantine on), and Mohammedism followed three centuries later, with the *world-wide caliphate* representing the ultimate goal. In both cases, too, when they are *not* in power — politically, in civic rule — and *in the minority*, they often *seem* to be content to assimilate and "endure" peaceful rule by outsiders. But give 'em

an inch, and they'll take a mile. When either Rome or Mecca is *in* the seat of political power (or even in ascendancy to power, as their influence grows),...*look out*! While our current global focus is on Radical Islamic terrorism (increasingly, and rightly so), let's not forget that Catholicism has enthroned Mary as a cogod, the non-biblical "Queen of Heaven" at the side of God The Father, the King of Heaven. What could possibly lend more sanction to Rome's plans to conquer the world, not for *Christ*, but for His earthly mother? After all, Catholics pray to this (non-biblical) co-high priestess as if she has "practically unlimited power, in the distribution of the graces which flow from the Redemption," and with the expectation of receiving power from her to do what pleases *her*. [quoted from 1946 radio message by Pius XII; see Wikipedia articles, "Queen of Heaven" and "Queen of heaven (antiquity)" for background in support of this essay]. And yes, in 1964, the Second

Vatican Council "referred to Mary as *Queen of the Universe*", no less! [Wikipedia, QOH article; bold emphasis mine]. Well, that settles it then, doesn't it? If you want to rule the world, it only makes perfect sense to go right to the very top with your request: ask the Queen of All to empower you to do that, and in *her* name; how could she refuse?

DISCLAIMERS that seem necessary at this point: 1) *My* disagreement is with the Roman Catholic church's founding, teachings, and designs; I do *not* hate the poor souls who have been so grossly misled by the papal system, nor am I calling them stupid (unless they choose to remain ignorant of available truth in their obstinancy; *i.e.*, ignore *God's* Word); 2) I realize that individuals who selfidentify as Roman Catholics vary in the degree to which they agree/disagree with specific church teachings; not all are sold on this Mary-as-Queen-of-Heaven thing, or on Mary as "co-God."

Having said that, we move on to the real battlefield, where the issue must be settled. We will examine the Holy Scriptures. We will listen to what *God* says, in bullet-point style.

- + The ONLY references to a/the queen of heaven are found in **Jer 7:17-18** and **44:15-18**.
- + In both contexts, the 6th/7th C. *BC* Israelites are getting virtually scalded by God — through His inspired prophet, Jeremiah, for their *rank idolatry* in preparing and offering cakes (in worship) to a "queen of heaven." Beyond doubt, God opposes this IDOL, and He would *never* share His throne and His glory with this idol (Isa 42:8, 48:11; 44:6, 8). One God! + Bible scholars are *nearly* unanimous (I'm qualifying this to be safe) in linking this "queen of heaven" to Astarte/Ishtar/Asherah (same deity known also as Aphrodite/Venus).

- + This "deity" was supposedly reigning, we point out again, in the 6th C. BC, and it was a product of the **Babylonian mystery-religion cult** well before that, where the story concocted was that a widow gave *re-birth* to her late husband *as her infant son.* Even way back then, the real point of this weird narrative was to establish the *continuing authority and reign* of the *queen* that survived the departed king. [for an extremely *detailed* account of all of this, consult Alexander Hislop's *The Two Babylons*] + Roman Catholic teachers will *abuse* a text that's *totally unrelated* to the issue (1 Kings 2:20), by claiming that since Solomon honored his mother (Bathsheba) by seating her on a throne beside his, and at least gave the appearance of heeding
 - her advice, God has done the same with the mother of Jesus Christ, the *God*-man.This *obviously* is a stretch.

Why does this not follow? Well, for starters, since when can God, source of *all* wisdom, learn from (and follow) the example of sinful men? Secondly, Solomon didn't do what he had to do (in honoring his mother), but what he *chose* to do; it was a matter of grace. Thirdly, GOD HAS NO MOTHER TO HONOR. Clear enough? Yes, Mary was the mother of Jesus, God as man, but Mary herself was created, and *not* the eternal Creator. The Christ preceded Mary in eternity past, as He was always with God and God Himself (John 1). Mary entered into existence and was chosen for her role in giving birth to the Savior-inhuman-flesh by God's grace. Alone.

When we consider *all* of Scripture, we see that any "queen of heaven" is an *idolatrous fiction*. And nowhere in God's Word is there even a hint that the created being named Mary rules *with* God.

Respecting Power

There are always *two sides to power*.

There is benevolent power that protects, and there is tyrannical power that abuses.

There is power used constructively, and there is power used only to destroy.

There is power to be coveted, and power that must be accepted as justly in place in the hands of others.

There is power lawfully obtained, and there is power fraudulently usurped.

There is power as the be-all, end-game obsession, and there is power as means to obtain good and desirable aims.

There is earthly, temporal power, and there is the eternal power of Almighty God. There was a man you may have heard of who came to know just Who holds the true, overriding power. In AD 1863, as if he were speaking just hours ago in AD 2016, U.S. President Abraham Lincoln cogently observed,

"It behooves us, then, to humble ourselves before the offended **Power**, to confess our national sins, and to pray for clemency and forgiveness." — **Proclamation Appointing a National Fast Day**, March 30, 1863 (excerpts below also from the same document).

What behooves *whom*, and to which "Power" do the offenders confess and pray for forgiveness? I'll offer some hints before I get out of Lincoln's way and return to *his* words. 1) When seeking forgiveness (contingent upon sincere repentance), one must go to a *forgiver*. Buddha is not a forgiver, nor is Moses, Mohammed, a priest, or *anyone* but God, and on the basis of the merits of Christ. 2) The Forgiver *is* holier than thou, no matter who you are, and all offenses against others (and ourselves) are sins against The Holy One; *and* seen by Him.
3) Only the God of the Bible holds *all* ultimate power, including the exclusive power to forgive, or *not* to forgive. 4) Putting this all together, there is only One to Whom we *may* go to plead our case, and only One to Whom we *can* go.

Back to Lincoln and what behooves whom to confess to the offended Power:

"We have been the recipients of the choicest bounties of Heaven. We have been preserved, these many years, in peace and prosperity. We have grown in numbers, wealth and power, as no other nation has ever grown. **But we have forgotten God.** We have forgotten the gracious hand which preserved us in peace, and multiplied and enriched and strengthened us; and we have vainly imagined, in the deceitfulness of our hearts, that all these blessings were produced by some superior wisdom and virtue of our own. Intoxicated with unbroken success, we have become too selfsufficient to feel the **necessity of redeeming and preserving grace**, **too proud to pray to the God that made us!**"

"It behooves us then..."

Now that who, what, and why have been established by one who is revered in this country by the right winger, left winger, and centrist alike, who can justify any *more* delay in bowing — as a nation, as well as individually — before the offended Power, "*devoutly recognizing the Supreme Authority and just Government of Almighty God, in all the affairs of men and of nations*?" Keep in mind, too, that this was over a century *before* we *further* offended the Power with "legal" baby-murder and gay "marriage!"

Reverse Engineering and Rewarding Bad Behavior

Giving the benefit of the doubt, I'll say that *most* people have at least a tiny shred of conscience left. Even a muchdefaced, much-reduced *remnant* of the image of God that was originally hardwired into a person can enable one to tell right from wrong. Even among socalled atheists/humanists, the knowledge that stealing *from them* is wrong is a universal, a given, even though their theft *from you or me* is not necessarily wrong, and even — quite likely — justi*fied* in their eyes. When "the ends justify the means" — a basic radical/leftist/ Marxist tenet — any "wrong" is made right when used to obtain the worthy goal (the ends). And what's a "worthy" goal? Well, any goal of the anti-God mob is worthy by definition. Conversely, any goal of the God-honoring person is nothing less than ludicrous and *harmful* to

any *progressive* society. You do want society to make *progress*, don't you? Well, then, you'll have to abandon your silly, dead-weight superstitions about a god in heaven, or a *spiritual* guide in your life; get with the program, you selfish, progress-retarding lout! So says (or *intimates*, at least) the smug, self-satisfied "progressive." Message: *you* are in the way of the *good* people; remove yourself or be removed, faith-freak!

You may not have looked at what the progressives do in this way before, but if you think about it a little, *much* of their agenda involves **reverse engineering**. That is, they work *backwards* from the conclusion they simply *must* reach, to the means necessary to get there. The *ends* are obvious: a world without God, true, consistent morality, and anyone who reminds them that certain behaviors are in fact *wrong* and damaging to ordered, peaceful, secure society. Then, with conclusion in hand, how to get

there becomes the subject of intense study. The final step is to implement, piece-by-piece if necessary, the court decisions, executive actions, agency policies, and, very rarely, the *legislation* that will make it happen. The most glaring recent example is — need I say it? the codifying of homosexual "marriage." See how this works now? The end-game goal was *not* to "gain *equality* in marriage," nor was it that first step of merely gaining "respect" for sinners of a certain type (as *NON*-sinners engaging in what was normal, wholly-acceptable behavior for them), nor any of the stuff in between. The real goals have always been to throw off God, His rules, and His followers. The other things were and are only battles and skirmishes, but that's the *war*. And right now, they think they've won. We should pity them for their lamentable ignorance in denying God's existence, holiness, and justice, which will be carried out in eternal sentences. God never rewards evil.

Now we turn our attention to two morethan-obvious examples of humans rewarding bad behavior. First, there are the trespassing, lying, thieving ILLEGAL aliens who are *at best* guilty of only these offenses mentioned, but way too often are guilty of unrepentant destruction of property, bodies, and lives. By the hundreds of thousands, even mil*lions*! And who is the main culprit (by far) in *rewarding* this bad behavior? Why, the fraudulent Illegal-Alien-in-Chief, who has "sworn" to protect legal, *law-abiding* U.S. citizens from such harm by enforcing the laws that have been Constitutionally enacted. Understand this: the man who was born in both Kenya AND Hawaii (in two different hospitals, no less) — by his *own* claims made at various times, and who holds a *Connecticut* Social Security number that had belonged to a person long-since deceased, is *inviting* them by issuing executive orders and thinly-veiled codespeak for promises *not* to prosecute.

Understand this as well: this isn't mere benevolence on the part of our American *king* (at the expense of the nation which he supposedly *serves*). There *is* a goal, and its achievement *is* in sight, at no long distance. By flooding our land with *criminals* who will naturally scoff at any restrictions on *voting* illegally also (*early and often* is the pass phrase), many thousands of D-rat votes will be gained, and who-knows-how-many *more* elections will be literally stolen this very year! So the anti-God, pro-sin, pro-death party rolls on, after coveting, grabbing, expanding, and cementing into place complete power and control. But if you think I'm exaggerating, you need only to look at what Terry McAuliffe, governor of Virginia, has recently done. With a few strokes of his executive-order pen, 206,000 convicted felons had their voting *privileges* (they have no such *rights*, under the Constitution) restored. Kaching! Truckloads of new (D) voters eager to return the favor! No campaign

talk needed! Which brings us to the career-criminal Clintons (McAuliffe worked closely with both prison-worthy devils). If there is any woman on the planet who has gotten away with more bad, *evil* behavior than HRC, I don't know who that could be. She has skated through *dozens* of scandals, in which she has undoubtedly had a *central* role, all the while spinning out *endless* lies. Only King Barry is in her league as an accomplished, world-class liar (even her husband-in-name-only takes a back seat to her, I dare say). Evil *often* rewarded!

So now we're expected to reward a *life-time* of bad, *evil* behavior by electing this witch?!? In the same way we *had* to elect the first African-American POTUS, regardless of his warped, nation-hating ideology and ultra-deceptive ways, and we *had* to keep him in office until we could elect the first *woman* POTUS, it's now our solemn duty to pick hell-bent Hillary to "lead" us? I think not!

Setting the Record Straight

111

In a rare departure from my usual essay format, I'd like to turn this one into more of a resource-recommendation vehicle. But make no mistake, my usual *passion* — for truth and the *right* ways of the God of all truth — accompanies this as well as any other of my writings. When it comes to the truth, although it was certainly not always the case with me in too many years of my six decades on earth, *these* days, I'm always "on." So when I call your attention to these fine resources, I do it *passionately*, with a definite sense of urgency and purpose.

The title of this essay is taken directly from the book and companion DVD, *"SETTING THE RECORD STRAIGHT: American History in Black & White*, by historian David Barton (Wallbuilders). If you sincerely desire to know the *actual, thoroughly-documented* history of both Republicans *and* Democrats with respect

to racial relations in words *and* deeds, this is where you're going to find it. I've benefited from the book and DVD for several years now, and I've given copies of each to family members. What prompted my earnest recommendation at this particular time is the fact that I learned — not from the sold-out for Drat mainstream media, of course — but from perusal of trending YouTube videos, that Dinesh D'Souza has recently released his latest feature film titled, "Hillary's America: The Secret History of the Democratic Party." [Full disclosure: I have not seen this movie (yet); I do enjoy D'Souza's books *and* films, and I own copies of both "2016: OBAMA'S AMERICA" and "AMERICA: IMAGINE THE WORLD WITHOUT HER."] From a sampling of reviews and short clips, I gather that D'Souza has hit the (D)s in some rather sensitive areas. But if his shots are landing "below the belt," it's because the (D)s have pulled their belts up to their armpits; the shots are *legitimate*

because *the history is accurate* to a very large degree. Having said that, however, I am willing to acknowledge that any time you're dealing with *political* history, there is an obvious (even if *natural*) tendency to be a bit selective in perceptions, connections, and conclusions. And this is *exactly* why I'll give more weight to David Barton's testimony on the page and on the screen: he's got the unassailable documentation in hand, and he shared the same evidence (and much more) with us in 2004 (book) and 2006 (DVD); he was out there *first* with these truths 10-12 years ago! I say it's about time that we give Barton his due and stand with him when the ever-present historical revisionists attack him simply because darkness hates the light (John **3:19**). As a matter of fact, on pages 14 and 124-125 of the book, screen shots of the official Democratic National Committee website, at "BRIEF HISTORY OF THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY" are reproduced. See *their* words (and what they

don't say) for yourself. [Optional webwork assignment: sample various versions of their "brief history" page as it was *changed* — that's right, they're trying to *change* history by playing with it, folks — over the years via the Wayback Machine internet archives site; see the pages that existed at different stages of their "official" ever-changing narrative.]

Once again, I *strongly urge* all of those who genuinely value the truth *as it happened* (not how deceivers *want* it to be, in order to perpetuate their best-selling lies), to head straight for the book, the DVD, or both. If you do so, you shall come to know the truth about the founding of the Republican Party, the Democratic Party, and....the KKK. With all due respect for my first baseball hero, Hank Aaron, *he* also needs to read this book. He needs to set the record straight in *his* mind, too, so that he can recant his cringe-worthy linking of (some) (R)s to the KKK. The truth will set us *all* free.

Slipping Into A Socialist Coma

115

Assuming that you are not already there, this is where you are headed. At least, that is, if you're susceptible to the usual external forces that have been gathered against you. Because, due to the combined efforts of the "mainstream media," all but scattered remnants of academia, the entire D-rat party (and their RINO allies), and the daily influence of an army of under-educated and/ or grossly misled friends, neighbors, coworkers, and yes, *clergy*, your mind is no longer your own; you don't have the use of it for doing your *own* thinking. Unless you've retained the "mind of Christ" (1 Cor 2:14-16), so that you *do* have the power to discern between truth and falsehood, and between good and evil, you've slipped into a Socialist "walking" coma (like "walking pneumonia" but not as temporary). In this *un*thinking, helplessly inactive lethargy, you go zombie-like through life, with

nary a genuine thought being generated *within*, where your soul used to be. All of "your" thoughts are coming from the *outside*, like mere injections *into* your brain. This is what a steady diet of socialism, be it blatant or sneaky, *can* do to you. And this is why I keep personalizing this insidious and highly-destructive process by using "you" instead of "some people" or "folks." This can happen to YOU; *that's* the point. DON'T let this happen to YOU is the warning.

Here are a few important words that I hope can still find their way into *your* brain: *Socialism will steal your soul*.

I mean that quite literally, since Socialism/Marxism/Communism/ANY form of totalitarianism, *including* Radical Islam, lest we forget — name your poison of choice from among these — is a Godreplacement ideology, and therefore a soul-stealing proposition **by design**. All such statists want control of all souls. The *true* God will not share His *true* glory with another (**Isa 42:8, 48:11**), and the *State* (or *Caliphate*) demands all glory and allegiance, too. Obviously, something has to give. God and socialism are NOT compatible. Rehearsing some facts quickly, we should recall:

+ God declares and upholds rights to private property; all forms of statism (*fascism* included) deny them.

+ God grants the rights of individuals to act according to Spirit-led conscience; statists claim to grant the only rights that are to be had by a person, as a part of the masses *only*.

+ God *actually* meets needs, in accordance with His plans (**Rom 8:28**); statists *promise* much, deliver little, and deliver only what can be stolen from parties considered to be less friendly to the state (CRONYISM); Socialism is envy-driven State *theft*. + God can not lie (though He can reinforce you in your chosen delusion, Titus 1:2, Heb 6:18, 2 Thes 2:11); Socialists must *depend* on lie after lie, in order to overcome the mind's God-given defense mechanism (the conscience, and His hard-wired Law); in ALL totalitarian systems, the lie is not only *permissible*, but the tool that gets *used the most*, as it's very effective in chipping away any conscience that yet remains; the only things that are *never in short supply* in statist systems are poverty, misery, and lies.

One qualifying statement on the lies of Socialists before we go. When speaking at *fundraisers*, the truth *does* come out. By these rare NON-lies, we know that all of their opponents (like you and me) are religiously-bigoted racists, scum and "deplorables." So we must be put into a proper comatose state ASAP. Maybe now, though, you can see 'em coming.

Theological Hash

Roast beef hash is a *good* thing, in my book. I'd like to enjoy it often, but in *my* world, 3 or 4 dollars for a can of the stuff is a bit pricey. Compare with 3 or 4 *pounds* of healthful, cancer-fighting broccoli, for example, at the same price. Still, roast beef hash can be a treat. But *theological* hash is to be avoided like the plague. *Any* amount of poisonous falsehoods on your theological plate will turn even the truths into deadly abettors.

In previous essays and in my books, I've often expressed my revulsion for any thought or teaching that "butts heads" with God's Holy Word. When "the world" does it — those who don't claim to be spiritually awake in Christ — I certainly don't like it, but I certainly *do* understand it. A wall must be torn down by the Holy Spirit before the human being in a natural state can make out anything on the spiritual side (**1 Cor 2:11-14**). But when "the vicar of Christ on earth" does it, you'd better believe that it riles me! *Big*-time! I'll next relate what set me off.

The better part of most of my Lord's Days (Sundays to most of you, Game Day to too many) is spent reading and studying the Bible and related Christian literature. In this particular case, I was reading through a supposed "Christian" magazine that operates on this equation: Christen*dom* = *true* Christians in sum. In other words, Christians as defined by the culture; as opposed to Muslims, Buddhists, Hindus, etc., but very often *including* the adherents of papal Rome, the Eastern Orthodox, and even Mormons, and ... NT Christians are carelessly lumped together with Christ*denying* Jews. This is an *un*biblical mix, to say the least. It makes a *hash* of the pure truths that Christ preached, taught, and *lived* out. I'm talking, remember, about THE Head of His own Church; we who are *Christ*ians are of *His* flock only.

More specifics now: It seems the current "pope" wrote an "encyclical" addressed to "every person living on this *planet.*" I'll let the reader pause and consider that for a moment, let it sink in. My take in two words? Astonishing arro*gance!* It made me wonder aloud — for the umpteenth time — just who do these propped-up sinners ("popes") think they are?!? And what is the place of GOD, THE HOLY SPIRIT in Rome's Babylon-based scheme? Is *He* out of a job? I mean, if the "vicar of Christ on earth" controls spiritual life on earth on behalf of Christ, what's left for *Christ's Biblically*appointed Helper (The Paraclete, **John** 14:16-17, 26; 15:26; 16:7, 13) to do? *Anyone* who sincerely wants to follow the religion of *Christ*, where *all* is *centered* in *Him*, must take these questions completely seriously; there's no hemming-and-hawing here, no wiggle room. Either the sinless Messiah is Head of His Church, or a deluded sinner attempting to speak *as* God, and *for* God rules in

the place of God, in which case "God" is not holy after all, and therefore, not the true God. It makes my blood boil.

So this *particular* throne-usurping deceiver shoehorned in a *third* table of The Law to add to *God's* Ten Commandments. Almost 20 centuries after Christ ascended to THE Father in Heaven, "our" [strike 1] "Holy" [strike 2] "Father" [strike 3, see Matthew 23:9!] told "every person living on this planet" that not only do we have "fundamental and closely intertwined relationships with God [yes, first table of the Law, Commandments 1-4], with our neighbor [yes, second table, nos. 5-10] and with the earth itself" [yesss...wait! Huh? Thou shalt not fail to recycle properly? Or think globally and buy locally? Just where is this in Exodus 20?]. *This* is a prime example of theological hash, and it's just one of *many* instances tied to this sold-out Socialist *squatter* in the rightful throne of the holy triune God.

Thinking Things Through (Part 1: Politics)

Like all of the other muscles in our bodies, the *brain* needs to be nourished and exercised, or it will be subject to atrophy and die "before its time." Use it or lose it, in other words; nurture it or murder it. As is the case with most issues, there's a "two kinds of people" statement that applies here, too: "There are two kinds of people in this world: Those who think things through and those who don't." In political terms, this roughly correlates to "conservatives" and "liberals," respectively. [Liberals, your objections are duly noted here, but not allowed; this is *my* essay, so go dictate your latest narrative to the Kool Aid-drinkers in your thrall; more along these lines as we go.]

One qualifying/defining statement is deemed necessary before we proceed.

Generally speaking, I do not use the terminology forced upon us by the "mainstream media." For example, I am not a "right-wing extremist" as they would usually have it, but a true *centrist* on the big-government (far left) to nogovernment (far right) scale. But "conservative" fits me well enough because I seek to conserve what remains of our Biblically-rooted founding principles and mores. So, for the purposes of this essay, count me among conservatives, those who aren't afraid to do some thinking of their own. Now onward, into the fray.

I've observed this probably a thousand times: Liberals will toss out a "talking point" agreed upon by those atop the propaganda pyramid — some assertion without proof — and the brainwashed masses will repeat it like mindless myna birds. So whatever "thinking" is involved usually stops with the first step; the process *ends* there for liberals. On the other hand, conservatives want to *continue* to think on through, to get to the true-or-false part. Any time you're evaluating a statement made by a *hu-man being*, this is at issue; only *God* can be taken at His word in *every* instance. Let me illustrate how the process *should* proceed. I'll use a common example.

A liberal asserts that every person everywhere is *entitled* to a home in the location of their choice, adequate food to fit their tastes, and free health care, AND...(wait for it...) the animals have essentially the same rights (or rights even *superior* to ours), as do the trees, rivers, and all other natural features. This liberal avers and infers that if all of these Left-defined "rights" are honored, *all* can then live in peace and harmony, all wars and skirmishes will cease to exist, and if people ever die in this Rainbow-World Utopia, it'll be from smiling too much. Thinking done, problems solved, moveon.org, right? Wrong, myna-breath! A conservative should reply in the following fashion. Preface each of these with, "In this ideal world of yours, …"

> 1. Do two or more people ever want the *same* space for their home? How is that to be resolved? Skyscraper huts, perhaps? Time-share? 2. Who has claims to any *materials* to be used in constructing "their" homes in this no-private-property collectivist scheme? And aren't the local material-resources *limited*? 3. If animals and plants can't be lawfully (and reasonably) used for food and shelter, just how are human beings supposed to exist? Must we all live in completely *natural* caves (no disturbance of the landscape allowed!), and subsist on a diet of gravel and bat guano? 4. Assuming that people will still have something to..er,....*eliminate*, how and where is that waste to be deposited in an *eco-friendly* way?

5. What is the place for education? In the current scheme of things, education is held up as *the* solution for all of society's ills, so what if all land use and construction efforts are committed to merely housing the billions of people (and the animals, don't you *dare* forget!) who each...deserve...a decent place to live? After all, where can folks be gathered in one place to receive an education if fossil fuels and the vehicles that use them are outlawed, and would-be teachers and students can't traipse to a common location for fear of desecrating the natural habitat of some slug or toad? Perhaps *all* travel is out, eh? 6. If a self-motivated person produces food for his own, what recourse is available — *if any* — to this person when another party takes it without permission? How does any society last without a bona fide legal-moral framework?

7. If a tree falls in the woods, is the closest guy with an axe in his hands to be beheaded in exchange? 8. Are *all* of society's *producers* to be gang-tried and punished for crimes against nature? [Like, for... oh, I don't know... maybe for constructing one or more of those billions of *entitled* residences, using materials provided by nature?].

Of course, the questions could go on for pages, and I could go on "killing trees" by the score, but the obvious, simple point should be clear. Even an idealized society must be moored in the realities and rules of the universe that exists. You may choose to ignore or fight reality, but reality wins in the end. Every time. For shelters to be built, materials and co-ordinated labor must be available locally or brought in. *Productive* humans are required for any needs to be met, and natural resources are there for our *productive* use. Think it through!

Thinking Things Through (Part 2: Theology)

I'm used to proceeding from the theological to the political, so today we're going against the usual flow. It's just that I suspect more people want to deal with the political stuff these days (I'm told it's an election year of some sort), so that came first for the sake of *both* of my readers. [I *hope* I'm joking!]

So now, in *this* essay, we'll take another look at the importance of thinking things through — *beyond* the initial unproved assertion of the liberal — to the determination that the claim is either true or false, but from a *theological* perspective. In the previous *political* approach, it was noted in passing that only *God's* statements can be taken as true in each and every case. All *human* claims, however, are subject to verification via a process of honest inquiry, since many humans have been known to lie from time to time (from midnight to 11:59 PM, in the case of BHO or HRC, or "I rather like being a god" Soros, or maybe "Nosferatu" Schumer, to name a few). But I digress, and I must remind myself that *theology* is the subject at hand. So now we look at the way *religious* liberals among the masses (not the "scholars" who are prone to *over*-think themselves into untenable corners) put their *un*exercised brains into full-sleep/trance mode at the hearing of the latest pronouncement by an end-times "prophet," a Billy Graham, an archbishop, patriarch, or pope; all of 'em finite in knowledge and oh-so-capable of telling falsehoods. And they *frequently* demonstrate, to any who would notice, these serious limitations. Compare these *sinners* to the God who can't lie (**Titus 1:2, Heb 6:18**, *etc.*).

The reader may have noticed that I often "pick on the Pope" and those under his spiritual "care." Let me explain why. It has nothing to do with "bigotry" by a "Protestant" towards those of the Roman Catholic persuasion. Mock my sincerity in this, if you will, but the only woman I ever intended to marry (and proposed to) was a Catholic, as was (and is?) my best friend since high school. Most of the people with whom I have any faceto-face interaction these days are at least nominally Catholic. I like all of these people very much, and the thought that *any* of them is actually being "shepherded" into the afterlife by such a charlatan as the "pope" is a constant source of consternation and grief to me. Because I *firmly* believe that they are being led into a *hopeless* eternity by a deceiver who sets himself up in a throne that belongs *exclusively* to the Holy, holy, holy (Isa 6:3) Creator-God described in the Bible. In the pages of God's very Word of Truth, NO place is given to a man as "father" of CHRIST's flock, to Peter, or Mary, or any being *period* other than the one true God. It's

utter and obvious *blasphemy* to construct and spin out a counter-narrative that attempts to rob God of the glory that's due to Him *alone* (Ex 20:3-6; Isa 42:5, 8-9; & 48:11; Matt 23:9-10; Rev 15:3-4; 19:1; 21:7 ("*I* will be his God and he shall be *My* son") and 21:22-23, where *God's* glory illuminates the New Jerusalem; neither Mary nor any "pope" is *ever* in view when proper (idolatryfree) worship is the subject in Scripture. Please take the time to read and absorb the texts cited above. THESE are the Words of God; no *sinner* speaks for God!

So what about this idea of "thinking things through?" Okay, it's just this simple: God knows all, can't lie, IS the eternal Creator-Sustainer-Redeemer, THE Holy One who *alone* can convict morally culpable humans of their sinfulness and bring them from spiritual death into *eternal* spiritual life, and gently but firmly and securely guides *all* who are to be saved by *His* grace; **THE** Shepherd! Contrast all of that (and much, much more that could also be said) with a farfrom-omniscient, *finite* and mortal sinner in need of salvation himself "dispensing" grace and salvation as if they were commodities that belonged to him, and taking the place of *God*, The Holy Spirit (!!!) as Christ's "holy" representative on earth *AND*...the place of *God*, **THE** Shepherd (**John 10**)! And for how many souls has any pope suffered and died? Or *Mary*? Or any Orthodox or *Protestant* "saint" for that matter?

People, I *beg* you! Think these things through! It's not enough to recognize that the atheists are wrong, the JWs are wrong, or the Mormons are wrong, or the Muslims are wrong, but stop and think about who *else* has been telling you lies and "shepherding" you right into hell! This isn't some wild conspiracy theory; this is *Biblical* wisdom, true knowledge supplied and applied. Only *Christ* is the Way, Truth, and Life!

Under God or Under the Boot

134

If I did *not* come up with the slogan/essay title above on my own, I apologize up front for any misappropriation of the term (authorship and/or meaning). I first hinted at the phrase (in *print*, that is) on page 57 of my work, *ATHEOS: 6 PATHS TO DESTRUCTION*, *Vol. I, Section Two* (on Totalitarianism), and spelled it out on page 60 of the same work, among other "Totalist Type-Bytes." Here, I'll just reiterate what was written there:

> "The Boot" is that of your godless overlords on your throat. Try to exercise your free speech from that position!

Now, it didn't take one of God's inspired "seers" — in October of 2014, after almost 6 full years *already* under the thugocratic boot that *still* presses everheavier on our throats — to see what was coming. But I *did* have open eyes. Now, with the *utmost sense of urgency*, I'd like to do my best to open the eyes of any who still do not see. Then, when the truth of the stark contrast between liberty and prosperity under God and misery and poverty under The Boot is made clearer, each of us can aspire to emulate Esther (Esther 4:13-14). At Mordecai's urging (and admonition), Esther came to realize that *her* advocacy for *God's* own cause was what was needed at a very specific crisis-point, and it was so by *God's* design. Certainly, God could have used another instrument, or no instrument at all to do His will. But because of His grace, He — in all ages — grants His human agent-soldiers the incredible *privilege* of fighting *under* Him, *with* Him, and *for* what is ultimately *His victo*rious cause. God-fearing people will naturally seek to *please* God through obedience and reliance upon *His* strength. If we shirk our *individual* duties under our Commander, we dishonor "our house." "To such a time as this" we're *all* called!

We, the people, have been given our marching orders, and we move out *now*. As I write this, a little over a month out from Election Day, already the Government-as-god forces are busy rigging the electoral processes and stealing the elections themselves. Witness the first Presidential "Debate," where one side was given the questions in advance; had an illegal (by FEC law) electronicallywired candidate-moderator advising feed; and hand-signal prompts from Liarly Clinton to her bought-and-paidfor "moderator," who interrupted the other candidate over three dozen times (when prompted)! [research this for yourself via YouTube clips and official footage; even you KoolAid drinkers! that's your "heroine" in action]

In order to train the spotlight on what the Left wants to hide, I'm ending with a copy of my chart-form comparison that first appeared on pages 89-95 of my *ATHEOS...Vol. I.* It's the best *I* can do.

GOVERNMENT UNDER GOD BY HIS DESIGN	ISSUE/CONCEPT	GOVERNMENT UNDER THE BOOT OF MEN
God first, the people second	Allegiance (to)	The state/ruling power alone
Evil and ungodly	Autocratic rule	The only way to progress
Power granted by God, but shared by the people through representatives	Centralization/ concentration of power	All power ultimately concen- trated in one human head of state; people subservient
Primarily the responsibility of parents who are account- able to God	Child-raising/ Education	Exclusive domain of the "Village" (Government); kids <i>belong</i> to it from birth
Primary reason for God to protect, bless, and advance any nation	Christians	Worst obstacles to progress, must be ignored or silenced; out-of-touch with reality
Perversion of justice: Ex 23:6-8; Deut 16:19; 1 Sam 12:3; Psa 26:10; Amos 5:12,15; Acts 24:26	Corruption	The necessary and natural oil that keeps things running smoothly; just how govern- ment works, so get over it
Violation of 10th Command- ment, equated with idolatry in Col 3:5 & Eph 5:5 ; cov- etous ones have no inheri- tance in Kingdom of God (Rom 1:29; 1 Cor 6:10)	Coveting	Basis for all socialism, the "gospel of envy"; you <i>shall</i> covet before the state can help you with the problem you didn't know you had; Utopia open to you
God gives gifts to individuals for His reasons and <i>holy</i> pur- poses, merit not negated	Cronyism	The ruling power gets to pick winners and losers (think, <i>Solyndra</i>)

138		
GOVERNMENT UNDER GOD BY HIS DESIGN	ISSUE/CONCEPT	GOVERNMENT UNDER THE BOOT OF MEN
Free market, with moral constraints under God's Law	Economy	Centrally-planned, top- down, the State is the law
Families raising godly off- spring for God (Mal 2:15; Ezra 9:2; Eph 6:1-4)	Educational focus	"Your children belong to us" (Hitler), to be educated to love and obey the State
All individuals created equal in the sight of God, should be given equal <i>opportunity</i>	Equality	Man has evolved, wasn't cre- ated; Govt. equalizes all <i>out-</i> <i>comes</i> (excepting new elite)
God the Father sees, cares, protects, rewards (Matt 6 , 23:9)	Father Figure	Government is your Big Daddy, but don't cross him! (think, "Papa Joe")
Ordained & granted by God to mankind as an inalienable right	Freedom of assembly	Only State-approved assem- blies allowed; violators harshly punished
No religion to be <i>forced</i> upon anyone; matter of indi- vidual conscience	Freedom of <i>religion</i>	<i>Religious</i> freedom (<i>public</i> expression of non-state religious practice) not allowed
Sacrosanct right of all indi- viduals; <i>also</i> a matter of con- science and personal ac- countability to God	Freedom of <i>worship</i>	One is (supposedly, at least in political rhetoric) free to worship <i>privately</i> (lip service paid to this right)
Another God-ordained, in- alienable right restricted only by His Law	Freedom of speech	Non-existent, for anyone outside the ruling elite and perceived loyalists

GOVERNMENT UNDER	139 ISSUE/CONCEPT	CEPT GOVERNMENT UNDER	
GOD BY HIS DESIGN		THE BOOT OF MEN	
Real and essential; let us <i>pray</i>	God-individual con- nection	Complete fantasy; let us <i>play</i>	
Govt. is there only to protect and serve the people ("of/ for/by the people"), and in- dividuals matter	Government-people relationship	The collective masses exist only to serve and protect the ruling power; individuals are totally expendable	
Merit should be rewarded, sloth must not be rewarded (2 Thes 3:10-11)	Government or <i>Giver</i> ment? (Entitlements)	Take from the productive and give to the unproductive; buys loyalty and votes	
Nothing wrong with <i>legal</i> entry into a country under the rule of law (<i>e.g.</i> , Deut 27:17, Job 24:2) with the in- tent of <i>adding to</i> national prosperity; <i>illegal</i> entry is coveting, criminal trespass- ing, theft, and destructive to the invaded territories	Immigration	Watch how people "vote with their feet": which way are they gate-crashing? Try- ing to get out or trying to get in? Open-borders advocates throw gates open until votes are secured and control over the new wave of "useful id- iots" is total; then, look out!	
Christ-won, Christ-secured, so let no man be enslaved by another(1 Cor 7:23,Gal 5:1)	Liberty	Paperweight analogy: noth- ing moves until the king moves; State-slaves for life	
True <i>liberty</i> to obey God's Law, which is only there for our own good, individually <i>and</i> collectively; necessary moral restraints	Liberty or licen- tiousness	<i>Licentiousness</i> (God is not in the picture, so anything goes); the more moral chaos, the more govt. is called for, justified	

140		
GOVERNMENT UNDER GOD BY HIS DESIGN	ISSUE/CONCEPT	GOVERNMENT UNDER THE BOOT OF MEN
Limited to job description: protect and serve the people	Limits on govern- ment	None, really. Government- as-god is unlimited
Necessary to protect the people and their <i>worthy</i> in- terests; defense against <i>out-</i> <i>side</i> attacks	Military	Useful weapon against out- siders AND the people; <i>all</i> State interests are worthy and automatically justified
What <i>God</i> does: Job 12:23; Isa 26:15	Nation-building	"Is not this great Babylon, that <i>I</i> have built?" (Dan 4:30)
Triune God alone	Omnipresence, om- niscience, omnipo- tence	The ruling human power
Responsibility to help <i>volun-</i> <i>tarily</i> (charity free of coer- cion) whenever/wherever possible, but they will always be with us: Mark 14:7	The poor	State-constructed "Utopia" will be "poverty-free" due to <i>involuntary</i> redistribution; note: almost 50 years since "War On Poverty" launched
God has established it and safeguarded it as a right in His Law: 8th & 10th Com- mandments	Private property	No such thing under total- ism; all property is <i>commu-</i> <i>nity</i> property (where com- munists take their name)
Individual dreams, goals, and their pursuit laudable and to be encouraged to aid human society; subject only to God and His Law	Pursuit of happiness	The State will tell you what you'll do, where you'll live, and what makes the <i>State</i> happy, which is all that really matters

GOVERNMENT UNDER	141 ISSUE/CONCEPT	GOVERNMENT UNDER
GOD BY HIS DESIGN		THE BOOT OF MEN
Objective, defined by God	Reality	As <i>perceived</i> , ever-shifting
Each life, from conception to death (per <i>God's</i> timing) is sent to earth by God, and therefore, sacred; <i>He</i> says we each have the right to life	Right to life itself	The individual's life means nothing, except as a part of the collective; for the pur- poses of the State until deemed useless <i>by</i> the State
The Triune God's eternal, heavenly throne	Seat of power	Wherever on earth the rul- ing human power sits
Each one created by God; multitudes of them re- deemed by God	(The) Soul	No such thing; the State owns all bodies <i>in toto</i> , each one <i>soul-less</i>
God, and they are <i>inalienable</i> (can't be taken away by men)	Source of all rights	The ruling human power; <i>always</i> subject to removal
Lawful and necessary for the <i>benefit</i> of the people (Matt 22:15-22 & 17:24-27; Rom 13:6-7) to fund large, agreed-upon (duly legislated) projects	Taxation	Spend <i>first</i> , confiscate funds <i>second</i> is the way it works; name the State "requirement" and then bill the taxpayers for the redistribution pro- cess; like your \$, but not you
God has delegated the right and responsibility to elect our representatives, so we must be properly informed about what they're doing on our behalf	Transparency in gov- ernment	Entirely unnecessary, not worth the government's time; just slows real progress, and the masses are too ignorant to understand govt. workings anyway

142		
GOVERNMENT UNDER GOD BY HIS DESIGN	ISSUE/CONCEPT	GOVERNMENT UNDER THE BOOT OF MEN
God <i>can't</i> lie (Titus 1:2), commands <i>us</i> not to lie (9th Commandment), and in our courts we swear <i>to God</i> to tell the truth, whole truth, and nothing but the truth (so help me, <i>God</i>); truth is <i>con</i> - structive, lies <i>de</i> structive	Truth and lies	What is truth, anyway? Your truth may not be my truth, and all the truth that matters comes from the mouth of the ruling power, which reserves the right to "lie" for the good of the people; thus "lies" are often the most useful truth
There is no wedge to sever the God-individual connec- tion for those who trust in Jesus Christ alone; He is the Almighty wedge-removing Mediator (1 Tim 2:5)	(The) Wedge of sep- aration and division ("divide and con- quer")	The Wedge takes many forms, any of which can be most effective: hammer it in between family members, classes, "races," but especially between God and humans
The will of the one true, tri- une God is supreme and will be done	(The) Will	As the ruling power wills, so shall it be done, and without question

WRAP-UP

One thing can be said for the totalists: they are unswervingly consistent. They are wrong *100%* of the time; they've got *everything* turned inside-out andbackwards. Why, even a broken clock, with its hands fixed in place, is at least right *twice* each day! But totalists are *totally* wrong *at* every time *in* every time!

Final suggestions: There's *only one more page* (!) of my material to get through in this section, but please read and absorb it. Beyond that, I'm content to turn you over to more qualified writers such as those listed on the ensuing pages.

143 *F.A.T.W.A.*

Early in this section, it was asserted that the political-religious system of Islam is indeed a form of totalitarianism. There won't be any backing away from that assertion here. We will reiterate: Islam (literally, "*submission*") is a *political system first*, though it's dressed in religious garb in order to be able to take full advantage of religious freedom in this country (as well as others). *Full* advantage? Make that full advantage *and then some*, as Muslims have succeeded in becoming the darlings of the politically-correct set. Anything Judeo-Christian must be eliminated, and the "religion of peace" must be given every consideration.

Back on page 56, I introduced the acronym, *EA.T.W.A.* for Fundamental America-Transforming War-Action. Alert readers probably caught on right off the bat: there are three "veiled" references involved. First, the current *bad* ministration, when coming into power, bragged that they were just five days away from "*fundamentally transforming America.*" Of course, it became obvious almost immediately that the fundamental-transformation process was going to take on all the aspects of a leftist, fascist "holy War," where Action, *constant aggressive action*, was the keyword (this is another thing regarding the methodology of fascists that was made quite clear by Jonah Goldberg in *Liberal Fascism*). So there's the second reference, which readily leads to the third: *fatwa* is an Arabic-Muslim word for an edict—usually a death sentence—issued by a religious authority (only an *Islamic* religious authority, of course). In the case of Osama Obama's *fatwa*, a death sentence against *America* is being carried out by the Osama himself and apparently, it's going to be death by a thousand cuts.

If you happen to be committed to the complete-skeptic's stance, that those of us who see virtually seamless co-operation between this Manchurian Candidate Impostor and the Islamofascists are way off the mark, keep your mind open just enough to absorb two quick facts: 1) for both Alinsky-trained Marxists and Qu'ran-trained Muslims, the ends justify the means, so lying to infidels/enemies is perfectly acceptable, even honorable (Muslims call it *taqiyya*; Marxists call it "business as usual"); 2. "Barack Hussein Obama" is both Qu'ran-trained and Alinsky-trained; he never feels obligated to tell the truth. Think hard about that.

Unfettered (Essay U2, an appendix to Essay U)

Following up on the subject of *true freedom*, and which system —one that is *un*der God or one that's anti-God ("under The Boot") is more conducive to it, I have some pertinent specifics to add. In other words, we're now looking at the two potential successors to a decidedly anti-God, heavily totalitarian-tinged autocrat who shall herein remain nameless (this is assuming that he does not impose martial law before his term runs out). I speak of Mrs. Clinton and Mr. Trump. We'll look at their rather obvious personality traits, and much more importantly, who will advocate for a system where religious freedom — keystone right #1- and the freedoms of speech, assembly, and association are best preserved, and not *stripped*. Now, please don't get ahead of me. And remember, though I probably qualify as a

"deplorable" in HRC's book because of my conservative, *Biblical* stances, I'm by no means an ardent Trump supporter either. So let's walk through this together.

As any reader of my materials is probably (*acutely?*) aware, for me, the *Biblical* position on anything is *my* position. My intentions are clear: I strive to discover, embrace, and share the truth in all areas. But that I will often err in my imperfect, less-than-tactful *manner* is a given; that's just how I'm "wired." Which brings us to Donald Trump, whose main problem, in the eyes of many observers, is his direct mind-to-mouth speechdelivery system. He "shoots from the hip" and sometimes the bullets hit his own foot. So we may say that Mr. Trump "suffers from" unfettered free speech. But while he's prone to make regrettable statements, he *is* exercising his rights as an American to speak his mind without fear of imprisonment for saying something that doesn't please the gods of the

new, global "secular" religious pantheon. By this I mean the government elitists who set themselves up as rulers *for* life over all aspects of your life, my life, and the lives of all of the deplorable "little people" who just don't understand much at all, who can't decide anything for themselves. That's *secular*? No, that's anti-God *replacement religion*. And that brings us to Ms. Clinton and her "husband," both of whom are high priests in this government-as-God cult. Both of them, I should add, have tons of experience in administering the Sacrament of Corruption. Can o' worms, I know. Can't dwell on that now. Onward.

Now *Hellary* (*sic*) does NOT shoot from the hip. She filters *everything*, so that it's almost impossible to "catch" her in a *truth*, *unless*...she's among wall-to-wall KoolAid-drinking worshipers and *new* brainwashing fodder ("off the record"), so that what she actually believes — at that moment, anyway — *can* emerge. She *lies*, not from the hip, but through filters that screen out the truth to *most* hearers, and with an *unearthly facility* that is startling. She's an *unfettered* and calculating *deceiver*. I suggest to you and I'm certainly not the first to do so that the *father* of lies (**John 8:44**, please, go read it!) has had her ear and allegiance for decades (think of the direct Lucifer-Alinsky-HRC connection). The fact that this evil woman is not in prison for her *career* of crime says more about *rampant* corruption than any "innocence" on her part. But here's the all-important, ironic connection:

Under a President Trump, we *law-abiding* "people of faith" will have an advocate for *religious liberty*, and we WON'T be imprisoned for criticizing the powers-that-be.

Under a President Clinton II, we WILL be occupying cells that *should* confine both Clintons and their cronies.

Now back to Mr. Trump. My personal view of recent political history and the Presidential candidates who have sought to represent the GOP can be summarized in this fashion:

- I've been less than impressed with Republican *nominees* since Reagan. (And I can take NO credit for voting for *him* or *anyone* at all during my "apolitical" years, c.1977-1990; I resumed voting in 1992, for Bush Sr., after my *shameful* hiatus).
- 2. In no election cycle did my *first* choice survive the primaries. For example, I contributed more (financially) to Michele Bachmann's campaign than any since because I saw that she went to DC with her *convictions intact*, and they didn't change, as far as I could tell. *This* time around, Carson, Paul, and Cruz were people I thought I could support (and *did*, to a necessarilylimited extent), but all were derailed.

3. Then along came the billionaire *populist* (ironic, no?), the choice of the tons of Americans who are clearly crying out, "More than enough is enough! Back to the Constitutional *Republic*, and *one nation under God*, *indivisible;* the one *with liberty and justice for all*." What *I* want, too.

So here we are again. Politically speaking, we want to see the Reaganesque 3legged stool, where Economy, Moral Values, and National Security voters can be merged into a winning coalition. Okay, I get that, on the horizontal, human-tohuman level. But what if we keep insisting that acknowledging God is irrelevant, that it has no real effect? And yes, we're still talking about religious liberty. Well, what happens to nations that forget God or make public acknowledgment of Him a crime, as is now the case? They are turned into hell. Not Utopia, not Paradise, ...HELL. True freedom to live well is only possible under the true God.

U.S. Undone

[First, some background on the chain-ofevents that immediately preceded this:]

- 1. 10/6/16—I wrote **Essay U2**, "Unfettered" (Trump's unfettered use of unfiltered free speech, *Hell*ary Clinton's unfettered deception)
- 2. 10/7 AM—I posted U2 to the Trutholio website *before* I even checked my e-mail or any news
- 3. 10/7 AM, an hour or so later—after an e-mail alerted me to the fact that Trump was being attacked for some remarks he'd made, I started checking out the story

That sets the stage as far as timing goes. Next, I'll suggest a more *balanced* take on the whole sordid event-and-firestorm, simply because you'll never get this from the utterly *HYPOCRITICAL* media or their goddess, dressed all in white, as she ascends to her throne. Finally, I'll try to articulate just what I *think* it all means for America in 2016 and beyond. The title provides a strong hint.

Okay, now I've seen the *11-year-old* video clip, an *outtake* from a morning show, where The Donald and his nowsuspended accomplice (co-host of the show that I've never seen) are caught engaging in quite offensive language that *certainly* was demeaning to women. There was some bragging about groping them and getting away with it. And filthy language was used. Finally, whether or not his bit about the "married woman" — and therefore, his *actual marital infidelity* — was indeed factual or just a story, it was *wrong*. In God's eyes, even *thinking* about committing adultery (lusting) is adultery itself (liberals, have a conservative read the Bible to you at Matthew 5:27-28, to see that this is true). So these two immature, immoral chuckleheads were *w-r-o-n-g*.

Now for some balance, some *perspective*, Part I. Points to consider:

1. If this episode is so horrificly offensive *now*, why was it not offensive enough *11 years ago* to warrant criminal prosecution? Well, *I* have a theory: by releasing this old Trumpbeing-Trump clip *NOW*, it puts the (R)s in an impossible situation, where it's officially *too late* to get a replacement on state election ballots (if they wanted to do so). Cynical? No, *realistic*. I know how the (D) power-whores operate.

2. Speaking of whores and hypocrites, I can hardly think of a guiltier mob than the "mainstream" *media*! What percentage of the liberal media do you suppose have ever had such desires, expressed them, acted upon them, or used filthy language? My guess? **100 %**. *Every*. *Hypocritical*. *Attacker*. In case you don't recognize this tactic, it's the old Leftist-Marxist-Alinskyist *double standard* at work, where your *enemies* are held to their own higher moral standard, while *you* can do as you jollywell please because, hey, that's not *your* standard. Convenient, isn't it?

So when the morally bankrupt media do the strut of righteousness, it would be *Hillarious*, if it wasn't so pathetic.

Part II (balance and perspective):

1. According to Madame Hillary, Trump's remarks and behavior (in 2005, remember) were "horrific." Yep. Yes, they were. Yes, indeed. You know who *also* has been known (well, only *somewhat* known, because of the sold-out media) to lust after, kiss, grope, and fornicate with women? Not only her virginpure "husband," but....*Hillary*! Go and ask around in DC, New York, and anywhere you can *possibly* find *one* honest person who *knows* that there's actual truth in those countless rumors and whispers.

Unless I miss my guess, you'll probably find scores of LGBT-types who are not only *aware* of her same-sex activities, but busting with "gay pride" about the fact.

2. Oh, and isn't it true that *Hillary* has a spouse, like Trump? You know, that uber-faithful Slick Willy? Well, if that's her *husband*, then...*SHE'S* a "married woman," is she not? If Trump's cheating on his spouse is/was reprehensible, how is either Bill's *OR...Hillary's* cheating on the spouse NOT reprehensible? Lesbian adultery is at least as wicked as heterosexual adultery (according to *God*, **Leviticus 18**, **Romans 1**). And BJ Clinton saying worse than Trump on the golf course? *Believe* it!

3. Or was it the *language* used by Trump that flat-out disqualifies him as a Presidential candidate? Is that the big bugaboo? Well, I have two witnesses to call in that regard. First, read or listen to **Unlimited Access** by former FBI agent, Gary Aldrich. He was there in the Clinton I White House at point-blank range. Liberals, your utter hypocrisy is again on dis play, because your goddess *excels* at pottymouth, per Aldrich. Witness #2: from the book, Conduct Unbecom*ing*, by "Buzz" Patterson, on p. 48, we read.

"Nobody could throw more fourletter words around as effortlessly as Rahm Emanuel [another swell (D)-rat!] — NOT EVEN HILLARY CLINTON." [bracketed, emphasis mine].

There's your pure, flawless heroine, you finger-wagging hypocrites!

The "Undone" part

First of all, I didn't write this to defend Donald Trump. In a way, I felt an odd sort of relief when his true character if it *hasn't* improved in *11 years*, at least — was exposed. Because *now*, I feel no external pressure to cast my single little vote for a man who no longer has any real chance of winning, nor do I sense a call to urge others to vote for such a man. Which is a shame, because on the issues, he's got it all over HRC. And as far as *evildoing* goes, he can't hold a candle to Hellary. But he's dead in the water, a victim of character assasination by the Soros-Clinton Mafia cabal. The Clinton body count has grown again, even if *this* one is only a figurative corpse (so far). And the Teflon-coated witch proceeds to her birthright coronation, attended by her media courtesans. For her reckless treason, and scandal upon scandal upon scandal, she gets *not* prison, but the reward of a throne.

So what does this portend for America? In a word, *judgment*. I'm convinced now. In previous writings, I've often pointed to the fact that our national destiny is in the hands of Almighty God (I refer you *again* to **Job 12:23**). Warnings are all over the place on my website: it's the *morality, obedience,* NOT forgetting God, abortion is baby-murder, etc. etc. etc. Call me a Jeremiah, if you will, but we "Jeremiahs" number by the hundreds of thousands, if not millions, so I am hardly alone in seeing what I'm seeing. If the "legal" torture and slaughter of well over 55 million defenseless human beings in the sacred wombs (or just outside of them) wasn't enough to bring God's just wrath down upon us, how about the *abomination* (GOD's Word, people) of homosexual SIN being elevated to the insane degree of a specially-protected and *revered* "lifestyle?" Or how about brainwashing the "little ones" with an impossible tale of *life* popping into existence from *non*-life? *Evillusion*.

The "gentle Jesus" of the liberal imagination says of false teachers who mislead others into sin (like denying that the Creator is the source of all life), that it would be better for them if millstones were hung about their necks, and they were drowned in the depth of the sea (Matt 18:6; Luke 17:2). That's what God, the Son, thinks of you deceivers! Consider the whole thrust of the John Dewey-Leftist academia-Common Core agenda: ALL anti-God mind-whacking. Killing brains, searing consciences. Godpleasing?!? And more than ever, Ego, Power, and the Almighty Dollar take their places in the national pantheon.

So now we have to pick one of two very undesirable people to "lead" Americans in the proper way forward. *Both* are *morally clueless*. This is what convinces me that God *has* withdrawn His absolutely necessary protection, and judgment-time it is. You say, "I'm Ready For Hillary." I say, "*Hell* comes with her!"

Vengeance and Vindication

159

[Note on the writing process: In mid-October, I decided that I'd said what I wanted to say with regard to *politics* in this ultra-important election year, and that my focus should return to theological themes. Essays V through Z will deal with subjects closer to my heart.]

When I ended my "political analysis" avocation on my website, the last essay I posted (U3, "U.S. Undone") revealed to those few still surfing my neck of the net that I had just then been *convinced* by recent events that God's patience with sin-soaked America had finally run out: Judgment Time! Because I'm not able to see into the future — and I would remind everyone else that they also suffer from this infirmity — I can't say with certainty what will transpire on Election Day, Inauguration Day 2017, or beyond. But for *me*, all of the hard-to-miss *signs*

point to the same destination:

The wicked shall be turned into hell, *and* all the nations that forget God—Psa 9:17

Two things we *do* know: 1) Since the Bible is ultra-clear on the absolute sovereignty of God Almighty (see, for example: +++**Job 12:13-25; +++Psa** 33:8-19; +++Prov 21:1; +++Dan 4:34-35; +++Rom 9:20; +++Rev 4:9-11; and hundreds more), it's also ultra-clear that the continuation of our ruination is not happening *without* God noticing it, but much more than that, it's by His will and design. Because... 2) America has lost its moral compass; as a nation, we've done all we can to push God completely out of our consciousness and memory. We *have* rejected God's moral authority and turned to embrace *everything* that's wicked, and we *have* forgotten Him. We reap what we sow. That's the bitter pill of reality that we must now swallow.

Historical Precedent

There are striking parallels between what has been occurring in America in 2009-2016 and what occurred in *Judea* in 63-70. Any perceptive reader of passages like **Matthew 23-25, Mark 13,** or **Luke 17-21** can see that God *does* run out of patience with nations. And when the unbelieving Jews taunted God by saying...

"[Let] his blood [Jesus Christ's] *be* on us and our children" (**Mt 27:25**)

...within *that generation*, their "desire" came true. Acting through the armies of far-flung Rome (*gentiles*, see Lk 21:24), the *Lord* of hosts (armies) decimated Judea and the environs of Jerusalem (**Mt** 24:15-16; Lk 21:20-22), Jerusalem and the temple (**Mt** 24:1-2), *and* the two selfcursed generations. So in that 7-year period in the *first* century AD, God *enacted* His vengeance on the nation of Israel, though it had been long in coming. Now, in the *twenty*-first century AD, *America*, too, has pumped a collective fist in the air and called Christ's blood down upon our own heads. And as before, God will have *His* vengeance (**Deut 32:35**) upon a most ungrateful and sinful people. The world itself will continue spinning, but our world in America as we knew it will come to an end. The "leaders" we've (*supposedly*) elected in recent years are serving as instruments of God's wrath, and *not* as public servants for our protection and advancement. That's rather obvious now, isn't it? Sovereign God has willed it, and so it has been done. Vengeance belongs to *Whom*? To *God*, not to man. Who shall *always* be *vindicated*? The One who shed His blood for all of *His* people, in Whom we alone find true liberty: 2 Cor 3:17. He *was* vindicated during His *Parousia* when He avenged the martyrs, emptied Hades, and delivered the blood-bought to Heaven. God's people will find vindication *in Him*.

Wealth of a Different Kind

Wealth is *money*, or at least material possessions, right? Usually, that's what we're talking about. *Wealth* is what the "haves" have and the "have-nots" do not, in the ordinary use of the term. It has gotten to the point that the *radicals* preside over all definitions and all the nuances of nomenclature, so when there is talk of "redistribution of wealth," we all are supposed to be in agreement with the definition and the "fairness" of the concept, even if it *really* means "stealing from some to give to undeserving others" (breaking God's Commandments nos. 8 & 10, with support from breaking no. 9 on lying to achieve that). Well, this dissenting pipsqueak has another take on the question.

Decades ago, while attending college, I posted a couple of pretentious, selfdefining notes over my desk in my dorm room. One of those little scrap-banners read, "My Independence Is My Wealth." Thus spake the one who was on the hook for repayment of thousands of dollars to student loan providers upon graduation (not to mention the fact that his folks were still providing for most of his needs *without* calling for repayment; NOT so independent, truth be told). So it was typical for a 19- or 20-year-old to exaggerate his own importance, dignity, and place in the grand scheme of things. But like I said, it was also *pretentious*. "Poorer than thou" = "holier than thou." So my (true) wealth isn't your (moneygrubbing) "wealth." Saint Cookstan, then, patron of wannabe hippies (that's another story or two, since I'm not fluent in Catholic-speak). The point for us now is that I did say that ("my independence is my wealth") when I didn't know what I was talking about, but now, I can see that it *could* be true. I'll try to explain my meaning in the next two pages, so we all can get out of here on time.

In purely financial terms, I am now *debtfree*. The student loans were paid off long ago; I've worked for what I considered to be fair wages, and was able to buy homes, including my current mobile home (my favorite place on earth to be); there *is* space rent, but no mortgage, and very low property taxes; credit card balances never get out of hand, and I pay them off *on* time *every* time. When added to the fact that I do NOT have to leave my "castle" to work in support of some other person's (or corporation's) less-than-God-pleasing agenda, yes, I'd say that I'm rather independent; I live simply and guard against coveting more than what God has seen fit to grant me. And what He's granted me is *freedom*, true freedom. Freedom to serve Him with my meager talents and bountiful time. Freedom to commune with Him in a sort of running conversation (although I sometimes imagine Christ clearing His throat to remind me of His presence in the room, as *you* can probably imagine).

Last page, bottom lines: I may have retired from working *outside* my home and for someone else; I did that nearly four years ago, and in that (classic) sense, I *am* retired. By *government* definition, I'm *well* below the "poverty line," since ZERO income from sources other than dwindling life's savings and stock payouts qualifies as "poor." [SoSec checks are several months away at minimum] But poor in my *spirit*? No! *Besides* the *paramount* benefit of eternal salvation in and through Jesus Christ, my Great Benefactor has led me to this place of independence. "My" time is my own (it's really His, on loan to me, but I'm speaking human-to-human now). If I *want* to work 8-14 hours in a day (as I often do), I can do that. But I work at my speed, and on what I want. I support the charitable organizations that I choose, and I boycott anti-God businesses. My time, my choices, my God. *That's* freedom and true independence. These days, that is my wealth. To God be the glory!

Zeal

Like change, zeal isn't always good or always bad. There's good change, like a change of underwear, and *bad* change, like a change into *dirty* underwear (sorry, I lapsed into talking about Socialism again). It's the same with zeal; whereas zeal properly directed and correctly applied is good, even *Biblically* good, but zeal *im*properly directed or motivated or *mis*applied, a kind of zeal that is "not according to knowledge" (**Rom 10:2**) is most definitely *bad*. The word "zeal" is hardly ever heard today. "Passion" has largely replaced it, as has "passionate" replaced "zealous." And in recent years especially, but actually for several decades stretching back (think the *sixties*), *all* change is said to be good and *whatever* one is passionate about is okay; that's his or her "thing," and nobody can speak against it (*unless* your thing is moral discretion per the Bible).

Well, I'm one of those going "against the grain" because the foundation for *my* beliefs and practices IS the Holy Bible, the very Word of God. *God* is the *sine* qua non of all existence, and His Word is the sine qua non for any valid worldview. Without that starting point, humans are doomed to "firmly planting" their feet in mid-air/space, as Reformed theologian-philosopher Cornelius Van Til was fond of saying. If a person lacks a Biblical foundation, life will become a series of flitting about from one causeof-the-moment to another: Down with capitalism; down with dead white-man influence and patriarchal society; down with global cooling-global warmingclimate change- *deniers*; down with "gender discrimination" in all public restrooms, changing rooms, and locker

rooms; down with *God.* In the cesspool that is American politics, we *must* join the movements to "elect" (install) the first black president, the first female president, the first "gay" pr.....oops, I

forgot, we got that covered as a "bonus" with Barry. I suppose that next on our list of electorate "duties" is (after the first woman *and* lesbian president has been installed and has served until her death, per her unilateral decree) is to get some transgender...er...what's the P.C. term nowadays?...uh, *person* into a White House where *all* rest rooms are free of gender designation, and none have doors (what would be the point?). Or maybe the push will be for the first Latin-American prez, first Asian-American, first non-human mammal, ...who knows?!? The point? It could be *anything*! Anything that the Anti-God forces want to turn *all* attention to will be *your* cause that you must passionately support or *else*! [I'm SO glad that I'm no younger than I am, but I *shudder* at what my nephew and nieces will run up against in the *insane* future.]

By now, it should be clear that *everyone* has a worldview, and it's either based on

what God has *declared* to be true in His unshifting Word and appeals to conscience, OR it will reflect what has been *imposed* from top-down by the evershifting powers-that-be via mental slavery. When we listen to mere humans, we get near-sighted opinions. When we listen to *God*, we get the *facts* of the past, the present, AND the future. Only. God. **KNOWS.** *Without* error, without having to retract something that was "misquoted" or "taken out of context." Zeal for the *true triune God* and *His* ways is always good. Jesus Himself displayed this *holy* zeal in a very public way (**John** 2:13-17). He displayed it every day of His public earthly ministry among the multitudes of the lost, and it drove Him relentlessly towards the cross on the behalf of His people (*repentant sinners*, like you and me). But passion for petty politically-correct "causes?" Dung. Vapid. Worthless, a waste of time and life. Escape from soul-slavery, flee to Christ, and be zealous in *freely* serving Him!